ARCANA DOGMATUM
Anti-Remonstrantium.

Or the

CALVINISTS Cabinet unlock'd.

N

An Apology for TILENUS, against a pretended Vindication of the Synod of Dort.

At the provocation of Master R. Eaxier, held forth in the Preface to his Grotian Religion.

Together,
With a few fost Drops let fall upon the Papers
of Master HICKMAN.

L U K. 12, 32.

And when thou are converted, It engineen thy Brethren.

LONDON,

Printed for Richard Royslon, at the Angel in Ivie lane. M. D.C. LIX.

A

PRÆFATORY EPISTLE.

To my old Friend M. B.

Sir,

Have finisher the task, to which, where ther your bæstration or euriosity did more earnestly solicite me, I shall not determine. A task, I confesse, so much the more unpleasing, as I had reason to conceive it the lesse needfull. For (to tell you the truth,) 'tis the observation of Judicious men, that your great Champion comes off, in this incounter, with as little reputation, (though he falls on with as much feorn and passion) as the Proud Philistine in his Combate with little David. Indeed he makes out very fierce and frequent

Sallies upon the face of our Tilenus, and defies him utterly in the bitterest asperity of foul Language: But withall he does him the honour to leave him Master of the Field, and exposeth his own Caule to the danger of being destroyd by his own weapons. I do not impute this to any want of skill or mettle in him; for his abilities have approved themselves sufficient to advance him above the pitch of contemptible, would he be carefull to manage them with that Prudence and Christian temper, that becomes a faithfull Souldier of Jesus Christ, minding nothing more than the interest of his Church. But forgetting his duty herein, like a Souldier of fortune, who lives by warrs, or some young Gallant flesht by the weaknesse of an Aggressor, whom he hath worsted upon advantage, he is apt to have a hand in every quarrell; and though he be not fatisfied in the grounds of the difference, yet rather than take up the dispute, and make men friends, he will take a side and make a Party. versie is evident to every impartiall Reader, that is conversant in his writings. Nay, he hath much ado to keep his vizor close about him, he is ready to unmask himself upon occasion, as the attentive eye may easily perceive, even whilest he is in conflict with Tilenus. For his fix daies hard march in purfuit after the Grotian Religion, as I am not concern'd, so neither do I think it tant-amount to observe the motions of it. But I pray God (besides design in order to the carrying on of that old. dispute * amongst the Di-* Luk- 28. verf. 24 sciples) there be not as much uncharitablenesse, (and that of as ill an influence and aboad) in Letying this war, as well against the honour and integrity, as against the most Christian (if equally managed) enterprize of that Learned man, as Master He himself concludes to be + Preface. Sea. 20, in Master P. his book †, for which he strongly insinuaces,

That he hath done thus in this Contro-

dy; and besides, my information doth assure me, Master P. is Mustering his forces, to go out, with Letters of Reprisall, to recover all such spoils of him; of the successe of which accomplishment, no doubt, we shall have sull Intelligence in due season.

In the interim I have addressed my self, for pitty sake, to the rescue of a poore Fathersesse whom Master Baxter falls so foul up-

Grotius.

on, in his forlorn hope *,

in this Expedition against

You may be confident Tilenus expe-

Sted fairer Quarter at his hands, having

some temptation to think he was as in-

that for the Present in his account, he is more then uncapable of salvation.

He hath snatthe to be faire flowers

from off the Herse of the immortall

Grotius; but his expectation will de-

ceive him, if he flatters himlelf with an

opinion, that they will ferve to dresse

up his own reputation. For they be-

gin to welk and fade in his hands alrea-

clinable to a Case of neutrality, as to an ingagement with Ochinquarticular Contestation. Whereit it I be not much mistaken, he hash made the greatest misadventure that ere befell him, whether you consider the Party or the Tenents, whose Vindication he hath so roundly undertaken. Yet I must prosesse ingenuously, that from my first perusall of his Aphorisms, and my taking notice of his purpole to reduce them to a better Method, and annex what, he faith, † he had + Postscript prepared [Of univerfall Reto bis plaine Script proof demption, I have expected of Infants, with some degree of Longing and impatience this performance from him. And my defires have been much inflamed by some Colours which he hath fince hung out and difplayed in a Preface to [Certain Disputations of Right to Sacraments, | whereby he invites and tolis in his Reader, as with the found of Drum and Trumper, to admire his Invention and Acutenesse in these words, [To the praise of God 1

Calvinist, esuit and Dominican, I have discerned those Principles which quiet my own minde, and which, I am confident, were they received according to their Evidence, would quiet the now contending world: But, laith he, I am past doubt, to be derided as arrogant for this Confidence; And should the Principles in a Method with Evidence be propounded, though purposely to heal the divisions of the Church, many of the severall Parties, would but rage at the Reconciler, and pour out their impotent accufations and reproaches against him, because he would attempt the healing of their drussions, and would feign him to be Author of some new sest, for seeking to put an end to Selfs. But let any man make good my just demand, that the Principles propounded shall have an impartial reception according to their Exidence, and I will give you security to make good my Confidence, that they shall quiet the Christian world hereabouts. Thus far M. Baxter.

peak it, tha in those ancient common di-

sturbing Controversies between the Armini-

an and Anti-Arminian, Lutheran and

Now if it were but a piece of curiosuy in me, to desire to see what prize Master B. would play, if he were brought upon the stage, after this goodly flourish, yet it were very innocent and pardonable. But to a Person that professe to bear a cordiall affection to Gods Truth and the Peace of his Church, finding himself much unsatisfied too in the common way of stating these Controversies, it is very allowable, if his heart entertained some passion, and were transported with some longings to tast the fruit of this so deep rooted and full blown a Confidence. When the Jewes met with any difficulty, for which they could finde no competent folution, they were wont to lay, that Elias would come; and when he came, he would untie all fuch knots, and unravell such intricacies, scatter the cloud, and adminificra clear light of satisfaction. It was the defire of my foul that God would vouchfafe to fend fome such Elias, as might be able to difintangle the intricacies of these though I must adde withall, that too many Reasons throng'd in on a sudden, and offered themselves to oppose that thought, and did actually check and silence it. At last forth comes the Examination of Tilenus; and by that means, I thought, à saire Price was now put into Master Baxters hand, or rather a handlome invitation and an opportunity offered to him, to shew his wildome. But what was the Refult of it? He took the lait, but one would think, by his mere nibbling at it, that he was affraid, there was a hook too harp for him, either to fwallow or dally with. In earnest, (because you are so very urgent to gain my opinion of it) I tell you, though I finde little abatement of the confidence he protest, yet I can observe nothing of the successe he boasted himself able to accomplish; for indeed his Arrogance flew so high a pitch, that it flew to Lessening; he could not see the

Controversies; and why, thought I,

may not this Master Baxter be the man?

game he had undertaken; if he had, he would never have offered his fecurity to perform that, whereof in his very next line, he faith But I know thus In the faid Præface. is to be expeded from none but God. What shall we say then, that he was fonice and chary of his healing Principles that he would not affoatd the Church of God their Benefit? I dare not think him so uncharitable. He tels us, I remember, (in his forementioned Postscript) I am not onely distracted between mens contrary Judgements and defires : but far more, between a fear of wronging the Church by mistakes, and of wronging it by my sitence, and Christ by hiding my Talent and his precious Trushs, which after hard study and earnest supplication, he recealed to me on these terms, that I should reveale them to others. I hope mens contrary Judgements did not still hold M. Baxter in distraction, (from November 12. 1650, till April 14. 1658.) when he wrote against Tilenus; and fure we may conclude [bis

fear of wronging the Church by mistakes was blown over, when he made this proclamation to the world. 1657. VIZ. Ubi supra. [And to the Praise of God I speak it, that in those —— Controversies ___ I have discerned those Principles, which quiet my own minde, and which I am confident, were they received according to their Evidence, mould quiet the now-contending Christian world. And now his fear of wronging the Church by mistakes was so well blown over, that he could fee nothing but fair weather, and so clear a light of evidence round about him, might we not very well expect that his o her fear should work upon him, His fear of wronging the Church by his silence, and Christ by hiding his Talent and his precious Truths, which (he saith) after hard study and earnest supplication, he (Christ) revealed to me on these terms, that I should receal them to others? May we not very well conclude from hence, that Master Baxter hath unfolded his mapkin, and disburst his Talents, and

held forth his Revelations?

hath to reveal for the worlds latistaction in these Controversies? Some of his Principles, no doubt, he hath communicated in these papers: but unlesse those Principles be propounded to us in a Method with Evidence, haply they will not conveigh their healing virtue to us; and that Method, that charming Method, I suspect he hath yet concealed from us. But whole fault is this? Why, he was now in pursuit after Tilenus, and fo engaged to leave his own Method, that he might trace his Adversarie by his steps. But grant this to be true, in respect of that Part of his Preface, (from Self. 6. to 17.) wherein he had concerned himtelf against Tilenus; yet when he came to review the severall heads of Controversic (from Section 28. to the end,) he was then at Liberty to propound his *Principles* as himself pleased; why did he not then give us his own Method with Exidence, but put Master P. off by playing his old Notes over a-

What then, have we all, or the

Quintessence of all, that Matter Baxter

good Master Baxters just demand, in giving his Principles an Impartial Reception according to their Evidence; if you pleale you shall have my Protestation, that I any verily perfuaded, I have done it; and whether I have or no, is referred to the Reader to judge by this Reply. I shall but adde as a further evidence hereof, that the Effect of this Receipt of his Principles, hath brought much comfort to me; for observing that his promise amounts to so great Considence, and his performance brings so little satisfaction, he hath healed much of my hefitation, and quieted my mind, with a stronger persuasion than ever, that the certain Truth abideth on the Remonstrants side, in these Controverlies. For the matter of Fast charged by Tilenus upon the Synod, and their Adherents; that M. Baxters VIND1-CATION with all his Artifice and ill language, will not serve to make a suf-

again with a little new descant?

If you object, that I have not made

head that foar, is abundantly evinced in the infueing Papers, but, for the Synods part, especially in the Reflexions upon Master Baxter's discourse, relating to each Article. To which I can now add a further proof from some Intelligence which came very lately to my hands from a Forreigner +; who tells us of a most bitter contention betwixt Voetius and Marefim about the fense of that Synod. One of them maintains that the syred determined the Decree of Predestination and Reprobation to antecede the consideration of the fall of Adam; to which afsertion the other hath opposed an Apalogy for the Synod. So that, though Assembled on purpose to decide these Controversies, and appeale the broiles that emerged, and were inflamed upon them, yet (that they might feem to agree together in something) have they wrapt up their Decrees and Canons in so many clouds, and Confounded them

ficient plaister to cover, much lesse to

pretation) that they are like to fall into a New Schisme, before they come to a settled Resolution, what the meaning of that Synod is; whereof notwithstanding M. Baxter makes himself so great an Advocate. By which his Advocation, I grow a little jealous, he hath given Scandall, and led his Brother into temptation. For was it not upon the account of his Accusations, and the persuasion he had of the truth thereof, that Master Hickman, (whole Pamphlet you mention, as a smart piece) takes the boldnesse to inveigh and raile so uncivilly + (without any provocation from him) upon Tilenus; calling him by the Names of Æthiopian, Scribler, this poore Fellon? I know it is the Apostles Lesson, Rom. 12. 17. Recompense to no man evil for evil. And this is to follow that Copy that our Blessed Master hath set us, * 1 Pet 2. Who being reviled, reviled not 21, with 23, again. * Nay Michael the

with so many intricacies (if a man hath

recourse to their Suffrages for an inter-

his Antagonist in that dispute, yet he durst not bring against him a railing Jude epist. vers. 9. accusation. Master Hickman may passe muster for a precious Saint, as the present Accounts are made below, but I am sure he can gather none of those flowers of Rhetorick from the Discourses of the Holy Angels that converse above. He chargeth that Author with impudence in abusing the Triers: but I must tell him (on his behalf) when such Schemes of Rhetorick are used, (as they may be with wonderfull advantage, being not onely instrumentall to illustrate and adorn a Truth, but also to make it the more pungent, and take impression,) the abuse imagined to result from them, is ever, amongst wife men, ascribed to him that takes the *impudence* to make the Application. And whereas he saith further, that the Synod of Dort, which Tilenus writes against, is a man made up of his own ugly clouts, (or to that purpole; for I have no list to look upon his Scur-

Archangel, though he had the Devil for

rilous language) I must tell you; he shall find before he hath read these Papers half way thorow, that those clouts, as ugly as they leem to him, are genuine parts of that Home-spun-stuffe; which was warpt and moven and mill'd too, by that very Synod of the town of Dort. Neither hath Tilenus fet this web upon the tenter-backs; how with any part, to make ugly clouts of it: but onely used that Liberry, which is allowed to all Artists of this kinde, fairely to cut out of the whole piece; such Proportions, as might best serve, to doathe his discourse, in that fulbrond is now Represented in, This is all I am willing to return to Master Hickman. But because I perceive his Pamphlet hath raised a double scrupte in you, I shall adventure to apply something in order for your satisfaction. First, you lay, that his Evidence, to prove the Anti-Arminian principles, to be according to the Faith of the Church of England, is to pregnant, that it must needs beget a great prejudice in the minds of

men against such as attempt the diffenimation of another Doctrine. To which I answer, (1.) Seeing these men have razed the very Foundations of the Church of England, upon which it was established the Reformation; and made it their design to erect a new Fabrick upon, the Platforme of a new Confession, a new Catechisme, a new Directory, a new Government; why should such a Seal of Secresie be stampt upon these Controversies alone; why may not these be examined by some new Triers in order to a further Approbation, before they be admitted to take place of Authority in this Church? 2. It thele Principles, which you call Anti-Arminian, were embraced as part of the Faith of the Church of England, I might puzzle you perhaps, by asking you, which of them, the Supralapfarian, or the Sublapsarian Principles? But I intend to be brief and clear, with you; I lay therefore, though those opinions were Can afed as Problems of the School, yet they were not intertained as Do-

irrefragable Argument to this purpole, is as good as ten thousand, and it shall be this. Doctor whitaker, having obtain'd the Bishops approbation to the Lambeth Articles, (and not discerning that the Alteration of certain words and Phrases in them, had made them capable of a different fense and interpretation to what he intended in their first contrivance) big with joy, as he was, at the apprehenfion of this conceited victory, he addresleth himself to the Chancellour of their University, the Lord Burleigh; shews him the Thefes, and acquaints him with all that had been done, (in favour of his opinions, as he thought, and the rather because these Theses were drawn up in the absence of some that opposed him) in that Convention. But contrary to Whitakers expecta-Artic. Lambethæ exhi. tion, that Great man, and wife bit. Historia Counseller, was extreamly dis-P. 4, 5, 6,7. talled at this transaction; and

Arines of the Church, much lesse deter-

mined to be Aricles of the Faith. O'e

thors repent them of it. In purluance whereof, having declared to the Queen how her Majesties Authority, and the Lawes of England were hereby violated, he added as the very burden of his Complaint, That it was no hard matter to discern what they aimed at, who stickled in this attempt; For, saith he, this is their Opinion and Doctrine; That every humane action be it good or exill, it is all restrain'd and bound up by the Law of an immutable Decree; That upon the very wills of men also this necessity is imposed, ut aliter quam vellent homines velle non possent, that men could not will otherwise then they did will. Which assertions Madam, saith Burleigh, if they be true, frustrà ego alique fideles Majestatis tuæ Ministri, quid in re quaque opus sit sacto, quid ex usu suturum sit & Regni & tuo, suspensa diu consilia versamus, cum de his que eveniunt necessario, stulta sit plane omnis consultatio; I and the rest of your Majesties faithfull Ministers do sit in Counfell to no purpose, 't is in vain to de-

threatned that he would make the Au-

your Realm, since in those things that come to passe of necessity, all Consultation is foolish and ridiculous. At this narration of the Lord Burleigh the Queen was much moved, and sent for whitgift, and the Councell in her Majesties prolence, fell sharply upon him. At last they came to the Question de Faslo, (meaning the Absolute Decree) & Dogma (u ipsis videbaius) bonis moribus, Reique publica, adversum graviter exagitant, and did vehemently charge that opinion, as opposite to good manners and the Weal Publike. The Result of tins debate, or rather Increpation was this; The Arch-lishop begged pardon for his temerity, and promifed he would write to Cambridge, that those Lambeth Articles might be supprest, and never come to Publick notice. If the Fundamentall point of all these Controversies, and that upon which the rest do inseparably depend, had had so ill an influence upon good manners, in the judgement of this Sage Councell, and

liberate and advise about the affairs of

tended so manifestly, (as they thought) to the frustration of Law, Counfell, Government; certainly such as now sit at the stern, are so Prudent, they will not be induced to believe that those opinions were ever Adopted into the Articles or Doctrine of This Church, though there were alleaged many more instances of fingle persons that did Pretend to have it so. And yet how invalid these Instances are otherwise, might easily be demonstrated, if I were, not onely loath to exceed the limits of an Epifle, but also confident that this work will be undertaken by a more accurate hand, to the Readers abundant satisfaction. As for you, my worthy Friend, if your scruple, about this branch of Master Hickmans Book, be not yet removed; Let me offer one thing more to your confideration. If in any part of the Christian world these opinions be established, as their Dodrine, you will easily grant, it is likelyest to be in the

Belgick Churches, for the lettling of

whose distractions (about these points,) that Synod of Dort was Assembled. But do you think these are propounded, as Articles of their Creed there, or accounted currant Pulvit-Doctrine among them? You must not believe it. For now adayes how many are there that dare tell them out of that Place, God will not have All men to be faved; and the greater part of markind are Reprobated by Gods absolute Decree; or that Christ did not die for all men; or that God calls those, whom he would not in any wife should come to him; or that the Elect (as they are called) cannot by any, no not the most grievous sins, be removed from their Election? Inlanire credas eum, qui jam bee dogmata pro concione aulit defendere, saith a Learned person * * Arnold. Poelenb. ubi fupra in Ep. dedicat. imployed amongst them, you may very well conclude the man is not found in his brain, not well in his wits, that takes the boldnesse to maintain these Points in his And if any person lesse discreet and provident, bath exposed the Arcana,

the understanding of the people, illico magna animorum perturbatio existit, there follows presently such a great Commotion and disturbance in their minds, as looks like the Præface to a new Schilme: which thing, laith that Reverend Perion, we remember to have fall n out in this very town, (of Horn) where we now exercise our Sacred Ministerial Function. This, Sir, I hope, will be sufficient Antidote to allay and cure the Palpitation of your heart, though it had beaten a great deal thicker upon M. Hickmans luggestion, that his Quinquarticular opinions were the Doarine of the Church of England. And for the other branch of his discourse you may acquiesce in a confidence, that Master P. never intended to affert a positivity of every sin, not of sins of omission to be sure. But he is of age to answer for himself. Yet since you presse me for my sense of Master H. Metaphysicall Divinity, you shall have fomething towards it.

or Secrets of that his Doctrine, naked to

I am not latisfied, that his distinctions to avoid the Possibility of fin, are sufficient to avoid the making God the Author of it. For thus he saith †, † Edit. the pag. 91. Because it belongs to first. the Universality of the first cause to produce not onely every reall being, but also the reall positive Modifications of beings; therefore we say, that in good works, both the works themselves, and their restitude are positive, and are from God; in evill works there are also two things considevable, the works themselves, and their pravitie; the works themselves we doubt not, are positive, and from God, as all other positive things (are); but their pravities adde no new entities to them, but consist in a mere privation. Thus Master Hickman. In reference to sinful Actions, others deliver the distinction in these termes, (telling us,) [The finfull Act is to be considered, either Materialiter, as to the matter of it, and so it is from God, and of his production; or else Formaliter, as to the Form of it, and so it is from man. But we should remember,

we may say) cannot be disjoyned from the formall, and in that case, why he that is the Cause of the one, should not be adjudged the Cause of the other, is a Question that requires a solid determination. If God produced the Act of eating the forbidden fruit materially, why not formally too, seeing that sin consisted wholly in the cating of that fruit? for Adam could not eat thereof without fin. And if God by an Omnipotent concurse determined Davids lying with Bathsbeba, will that distinction mend the matter? Will the matter of that foul Act tend to the praise of Gods efficiency, when he tells us, † the Form of it, con. †See 2.Sam: 12.12. with Num.15.30. duced to fignally to his dishonour? It the Act which is evill ex genere & objedo, be materially of Gods production, why should we invest man with the formality of it, which is the sin? Is it because the All is repugnant to the Law of God, to which man stands obliged, 1 Joh. 3.41 and the transgression of this

that many times, the Materiall Act (as

law is sinne? This seems to be Master Hickmans sense, (pag. 91.) In those things which are to be done according to a Rule, good consists in a conformity to, and convenience with the Rule, but evill in a difformitie or discrepance from the Rule. But I say, that Act of Adultery cannot be materially committed, but it must unavoidably be discrepant to the Law of God; so that if God produceth this Act materially, it is impossible man should give it any formality, but what is finfull; especially seeing this formality or sinfulnesse doth of necessity result from the materiall A&. Indeed were there no Law in force about it, it were possible to conceive, how he that pros duceth (that which we now call) the Act of fin materially, should not, for all that, be the formall cause of it. But the law being now made, and that by God himself too, what Subterfuge can be invented to avoid it, but that God, who is affirmed to produce the Act, should be accounted the Author of the sinne? But God made the Law, you will say,

doth not take away the objection; for how can it stand with the justice of God, first to make a Law to regulate his creature, and then to impell and AA that creature contrary to that Law, and at last to punish the same Creature, for being so Acted and impeld? Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right? Gen. 18. 26. God will be justified when he 2 Pfal. 51.4. b Epift. Jud. verfi 151 judgeth a; and therefore when he comes to execute judgement upon allh, he will comunce all that are ungodly among them of all their angodly deeds, which they have ungodly committed; not which himself hath Acted them unto, or Acted in them. But Mafter Hickman perhaps, will stick still to his Metaphysicall formality, and fay, here is (in the supposed A&) a discrepance or opposition to the Rule which is the Law of God. To this the answer is very easie, that man seldome, or never entertains fin, or confent to it, with a design or minde to oppose

not to bind himself, but to regulate his

Creature; Though this be true, yet it

himself to the divine Law; but for the most part to enjoy his pleasure and satisfie his Appetites; (Besides if he should consent to sin, with such a set purpose to oppose Gods Law; yet we must grant, according to Master Hickmans Doctrine, that that Confent, and that purpose (being reall positive Bntities, Ads of the Humane Soul) are from God and of his production;) whence it follows still, either that man doth not fin when he commits such an Act, or, if he doth sin, that the fault is to be transferred upon God, who is the first Caule of that Act. By the way, (before we proceed further) Let me ask you one Question; Can a man a do any more good a Viz. under than he doth; or omit any more she same inevill than he b omitteeh? I flux and assistance. know you are clearly for the b That is, Affirmative: But that will whether be can be guilty hardly stand with M. Hickeither of omans Metaphysicks; for I armission or gue thus; and first for good commission? and upon works; He that can do more what acgood Compi!

good than he doth, can do some good. that God doth not produce in him; the consequence is apparent; because he is supposed to do allready all that God. produceth in him. But man cannot do fomegood, that God doth not produce in him; for every good is a reall being, and every reall being, or reall positive modification of beings is from God, and produced by him, faith M. H.ckman. Thus for good; Then for evill; That man cannot omit more evil than he onlitteth, according to M. Hickmans Metaphyticks, I prove thus; He that can neither omit the Act to which the evil (of sin) adbereth, nor avoid the obliquity of that Act, which is the sinsulnesse of it; He can omit no more evil than he omitteth; the consequence is evident: But a man can neither omit the Act; nor avoid the obliquity. Therefore &c. He cannot omit the Act, for that is of Gods production; nor avoid the obliquity, for that is either to be done by some other Act, or without it. If by some other Act, that is not in his power, for every Act is

be done without it. If Master Hickman holds the negative of that Question; the Brittish Divines of the Synod are against him; and a world of absurdities do sollow that opinion, viz. That a man cannot bury his Talent, nor receive the grace of God in vain, nor be idle and neglett the great Salvation; nor watch, nor fast, not pray, nor do any one good duty more then he doth, nay, that he can do no duty properly so called, nor fin at all, if he be thus chaind by a Fatall necessity to every A-Gion and omission. And then what will become of the word of exhortation and the power of Godlinesse? But let us follow Master Hickman a little in that instance of Hating God +; This, saith he, is Complexum quid, and must not be spoken of, as if it were one; the vital action or hatred, is a thing positive, and consequently, (he grants) that is from God; but the undue referring or terminating of that Act to such an object, (to God,) which is alto-

from God; and tis absurd to say it may

gether lovely; that, saith he, is the finfulnesse of the Action. But whence is his derived? He (aith (pag. 75.) onely from mans corruption, and the Devils temptation. But what is mans corruption? is it not his vitiosity? yet be saith, (pag. 97.) where the cause it self is vitious, its vitiosity is not the cause of the vitiosity of the effect; for visiosity of it self, neither can effect, nor be effected. And for the temptation of the Devil, is not that an A&? if it be, thenit is from God, for every Act is from bim, laith M. Hickman. If be laith the malice of the temptation is from the Devil; I demand, what is that malice of the Devil? Is it not his viriefity and then (as before) where the cause is viti. ous, its vitiosity is not the cause of the vitio. sity of the effect; for vitiosity it self, neither can effect, nor be effected; what then? the vitious cause (saith he) taking toge. ther the being, and the supervenient privation, is the cause of the virious effect, taking it in like manner for the being, and the suc peradded privation. But I lay again, the being, whether mans or the Devils, doth

God and produced by him, and confequently 'tis the AH of God that gives the corruption of man, and the malice of the Devil their life and vigour; and how then can God be freed from being the Cause or Author of the sin? Besides, in the hating of God there is, not one. ly, the Ast of hatred, which he confel. seth to be positive, and so from God; but there is also the turning of the will in this Act, and the undue determination of it upon God, the object altogether lovely, wherein consists the sinfulnesse of the Action, as he confesseth. I demand then, is not this determination of the will an A&? If it be (which I presume cannot, with any shew of reason, be denyed) then, whose A& is it, and from whom? If he faith, from man himself, his best course is to whisper this assertion as fostly as he can, else, I must tell him in his own language (p. 96, 97.) he and I both were best not to make too much noise, destine should awaken the youngsters to fall

not act (according to Master Hickmans

Metaphysicks) for every Act is from

aboard us with such an Argument as this. If man be the efficient Cause either of a good action or a bad action, then he doth effect it by another action, and so we may proceed in infinitum. Well, for fear of thele dangerous Bugbears, we will, for once, ascribe it unto God. So that God is made the cause of that hatred, and of determining the will upon this lovely Object, which is God. Now if we should impannell a Jury of honest men, to inquite who is the Cause or Author of this sin of hating God f in this cale;) who would they finde guilty, think ye? In Anat. c. 13. parag. Doctor Molin laith, Quod si Deus infontem creaturam destinavit ad perditionem, necesse est eandem destinaverit ad peccatum, sine quo non potest esse justa perditio, & sic Deus erit causa impulsiva peccati. Nec homo poterit juste puniri ob peccatum, ad quod est aut pracise destinatus, aut Dei voluntate compulsus. If his destinating men to sinne makes him the impulsive cause of sinne, how can he produce in them the At that is sinfull, and determine their wills unto

ning his subjects to write none but perfect Italian Characters, and then should take the hand of a child to write with, and the Characters prove Bastard Roman, or Secretary: or suppose one should take a dead mans hand and forge a Deed with it *. Though the Subtilty of *Such a cale Master Hickmans Metaphybash been sicks should finde the childe and a Triall upon it too: guilty, and distinguish the and the dead Forgery upon the dead body, band acquityet without all peradventure ted by the Tury. an honest fury would bring in a better verdict. If it be objected, that thele are no competent instances, because there is no vitall Ptinciple in the one, nor power to relift in the other (and what else is to be alleaged I cannot imagine), it is to be remembred that, according to this Doctrine, the will of man in sinning, is full as much afted by Allmighty God, as the hand of the child and dead man, in those instances,

in, and yet not be the Cause of the sinne?

Let us put a Case for illustration. Sup-

pole a Prince should make a Law, injoy-

For every Act is from God; and if God useth the will to this Act of sinning, how can the will avoid it? Should the will resist Gods motion, when he does AE it? That is impossible, * 1. Because that Motion, according to the Doctrine of the Calvinists, is omnipotent and insuperable, 2. Because to resist is to ast, and every Act is from God, and produced by him. And now we see how little reafon Master Hickman had to sleight and reject the Answer, which Gregory de Valent, and Bradwardine give to that Obje-Stion, from the hating of God, as he doth. (pag. 89, 90.) I recite not their words, saith he, because I need not their help, and because they seem to make impossible hypotheses, as if the hatred of God mere producedly God in a stone, whereas it cannot be that there should be the hatred of God in a stone, which neither bath, nor can have any knowledge. The stone is like to be the less milerable for wanting this capacitie.

are by those who make use of them re-

spectively. That is, the will is merely

passive; and how can it be otherwise?

make man better? He allows him a mill and understanding, I suppose, but no more use of these faculties, is ascribed to man, by his Metaphysicks, than to a stone; For to use them is to As them, and A8 them a man cannot, because he can produce no action without another action, and so in infinitum, and then the youngsters will fall aboard us again. If Master Hickman will not see these absurdities, and what reproach falls upon the Holinesse of Almighty God, by this Doctrine, yet Master Baxter doth consider, and sufficiently centure it; for he Saith (in his Call to the Unconverted pag. 2:29.) Some are so loth to think that God can make a self-determining creature, that they dare not deny him that which they take to be his prerogative, to be the determiner of the will in every fin, as the first efficient inmediate Physicall cause. And many could be content to acquit God from so much causing + of evil, if they could but + Mark that reconcile it with his being the word: chief cause of good; as if trushs

But how much doth Master Hickman

rence: because our ravelled wits cannot set them right together, nor assign each truth its proper place, we presume to conclude that some truth must be cast away. This is the fruit, saith he, of proud self-conceitedness, when men receive not Gods truth as a childe his lesson, in a holy submission to the omniscience of our Teacher, but as Censurers that are too wife to learn. I hope Master Hickman will become at least M. Baxters Profelyte; especially, if he considers how impossible it is, that his Doctrine should hold good, in reference to the first sin of the first Angel, that fell from God; For I argue thus, The Materiality of that first sinfull AS, granted to be from God, from whence will he derive the formality, or irregularity, which is the sinfulnesse of it? Was it from the Angels own Corruption, or the Malice of his Tempter? this could not possibly be, for he had neither; Therefore it must either be from God, and then God is the Cause and Authour of that

must be no longer truths, then we are able

to see them in their perfect order and cohe-

fin; or else from the self-determination of his own will, and then, if that determination be an A&, we have at last sound an A8, whereof God is not the first immediate Physicall cause. What can Master Hickman say to this? Truely he feems very fairely to grant it, if I be able to understand him; whether this be out of inadvertency, or conviction, I determine not: But in contradiction to what he had said before, he saith (Pag. 97.) Suppose the first fin of Angels to have been a proud desire to be equal unto God; the cause of this proud desire was the will of the Angel; but it was the Caule of the action (in such a sense as a causality may be said to have a Cause ? Per se, of the Vitiosity of the action, it was onely the Cause Per accidens, & per concomitantiam. Thus far M. Hickman. And now, as Poelenburg In confut. Disp. manfaith of Doctor Twiffe, that being affrighted partly by his gur. Fred. infolent asperity, and partly by Span. the tedious prolixity of his Volumes, he would not undertake him

futation of that one Argument, whereof his Confidence boasted, that the Devil, and his Angels were not able to Answer it; and by his performance in that, the Judicious Reader might judge, what returns might be made to the rest, if any man would give himself the Leisure and trouble to attempt it. So shall I resolve concerning M. Hickman, though neither the strength of his Arguments, nor the length of his Discourse be very formidable; yet there is so much asperity in his style, (which brings no advantage at all to the Caule he undertakes to manage) as deterrs me from a further procedure in the examination of his Pretensions. What is already done is sufficient to evince, that his Armour is not inchanted or impenetrable, nor his weapons mortall. But such is his provocation, he must expect a sharper assault, when it shall be seasonable, from a hand that will strike home and lose no advantage to defeat him, being guided by an eye so piercing, that it discovers every posture

nholely, but satisfie himself with a Con-

Sir, If you would be kept upright, you must not suffer your self to be led by them who could never keep their own judgements steady in these Controversies. After your recourse to God and the Holy Scriptures, you will finde Primitive Antiquity your best Direstory; and the nearer you approach the fountain head, the purer, you may be confident, to find the stream you drink at. But if you come down to S. Austin, so many Adverfaries had padled, and troubled and spil'd the waters of his Ciftern, that he could scarce see his own face in it. For instance in that one Question; Whether the truly Regenerate may totally fall away and perilb, Master Baxter is very confident, he was for the Affirmative t, Account of and calls them immodest, that Persever. p. 5. (sc. deny it; yet M. Calamy * tells His Serm. us, this learned Brothers evimethe E. of dence, is all, flur'd and made Warwicks Funerall. p. invalid by Bishop Abbot, and 19. cgc. that

that layes his meaknesse open, and expo-

ses him unguarded to the mercy of his

Adverlary.

that there is in S. Auftins writings sufficient proof to the contrary. It may conduce something to ones settlement, to consider seriously, how many of the most Learned and judicious Heads, these last Ages have produced, even when they lanched forth on purpose to oppose these Truths, have strook saile and paid homage, and some absolutely yielded up themselves to the Empire of them. Arminius undertakes the defence of Beza, but finding himself in pursuit after an utter impossibility, he retreats upon Conviction unto those opinions

which have worn his Name ever since. Tilenus while he sets himself in opposition to Arminius, is awakened with so clear a light of Truth, that he becomes a Proselyte. The force of Arminius's Arguments press'd so hard upon Junius, that he thought sit to give ground, and Piscator slincht a little more upon the impression made by his Adversaries. Gomarus was brought to his Retrastations about these Articles; and Waleus, as well as he were glad to take Sanstuary in Sci-

entia Media, when they could finde no other way to disintangle themselves, and free God from the fault of sinne. And † Ubi supra what an admirable Provi-in Ep. Ded. dence, as Poelenburg tobserves, appears in that late conflict between Amyraldus (the M. I presume of M. Baxters New Method) and Spanhemius! Amyraldus maintains, that God will have all men to be faved, if all men will believe; and from hence Spankemius infers a necessity of yielding not onely Objective, but also Subjective grace, (internall and effectuall, as well as externall and insufficient,) que nisi hac admittatur, sententia illa Amyraldi ridicula suerit & insulfa, Deique tum sapientia, tum potentia indigna, for unlesse this be admitted, that opinion of Amyraldus, saith he, is ridiculous and foolish, and unbeseeming both the wisdome and power of God. So that, in the judgement of Spanhemins, if he will opine and speak what is Confonant to himlelf, Amyraldus must shake hands with the Remonstrants: And indeed those objections these men

doubt they respectively conclude to be strong and valid) are clear confirmations of all those Arguments, which the Remonstrants manage against them both. And thus, as sparks of fire fall from the Collision of two flints; so from the mutuall Conflict of these Adversaries the glorious Light of Divine truth breaks forth and falling between them, it serves the Remonstrants to light their Candle. To conclude; The Absurdities, which do unavoidably follow from these Do-Arines, if men would admit the light of Reason to discover them, are very many and very repreachfull to the Majesty of Heaven; They deflower the beauty of his Attributes, evacuate the merits of Christs Death, frustrate the use of the Holy Ordinances, and enervate the po. mer of Godlinesse, as is evinced in the en. luing Papers. For while they advance his Soveraignty, they impeach his Good. nesse; while they magnific his Liberty, they obscure his wisdome; while they

make one against another (which no

This Doctrine attributes to Almighty God, a power, not onely above the Laws he prescribes, and the Promises he makes unto his servants, but Paramount to his own Essential Equity; For it saith, ho may ordain, yea that he hath ordained the greater part of Mankinde to destruction, merely for his own pleasure, without intuition of any fin, or respect had to any demerit in them. It makes God like an unwife Potter, who makes some vellels on purpose, that he may dash them all to pieces. It teacheth, that of men amongst whom he found no Disparity of condition, he hath elected lome, and Rejetted others; and that he introduced a necessity of sinning for the illustration of

sweeten his Mercy, they imbitter his

justice; while they boast his Grace, they

confound his truth and fincerity.

his glory. How shall we reconcile these things to Gods wisdome, or his justice?

It teacheth, that God elected men to glory without Christ: but that he decreed through the intervention of Christs

death, to bring them into possession of that glory, that it might be done without any detriment to his sustice. But this is either against the wisdome and justice of God, if he decreed what he ought not to execute, or against his Omnipo'ence, if he could not bring the same to execution. It teacheth, that God sent Christ to reconcile men to himself, whom he loved with a most deer and unchangeable love, and that before he decreed to give Christ to die for them; That he Courts others to be reconciled, whom he haves immutably; That he calls them to repentance, inlightens them, gives them a taste of the heavenly gift, and zeal to do good works; and all this to serve but for a golden chariot to conduct them with the more formality to a ladder execution; That he bindes some men to believe in Christ, for whom be never died; That he invites them to a Covenant of Grace, and ties them to impossible conditions under it, that he may inflict the greater torments upon them; That fome mens

dences for their benefit, Confirmations of their grace, and that they do pave their way to glory, being part of that Medium conducing to the execution of the Decree of Election in them. This Doctrine implyes moreover, that God is more severe to the greatest part of mankind than to Devils; That they cannot pray but for their own damnation, or that the divine Decrees may be relainded; That others living in the filthyest sins, may notwithstanding be certain of their salvation, and need not fear hell-torments; for their fins shall not be able to separate betwixt God and them; contrary to the expresse word of God by his Prophet I-* cap. 52.2. saiah *. This Master Baxter doubtleffe had a full view of, † On 1 Cor. 6.19,20. as may be collected out of his Assize-Sermon †, where I had rather say to scandalous sinare bought with a price, thereners you

fins, (of what nature soever,) are but

paternall castigations, Priviledges of

their Adoption, Emergences of provi-

damentum Christianismi. In Act. Synod. Dord. p. 30. a.m. par. 3. Besides, this Doctrine empties Hell of a considerable part of its torments; which confift in the anguish and remordency of conscience, proceeding from her reflexion upon lost advantages. Now this must needs be taken away by that Doctrine *, (if true) which * For who implies, that Gods Decree hath ever suffered anguijb of from all eternity, let beaven mind, for and sufficient means to lead to tbathe could it, out of the reach of the Renot fly, or become an Anprobate, and made their state gel, crany of sune and damnation to unasuch thing, as is made voidable, that they never had impo[]ible, by the *reall* offer or tendry of Gods own orsuch advantages. der? It renders Gods Commands and Elogies irrationall; for though he commands

fore glorifie God than (to say) you are

absolutely elected, therefore glorifie God;

Yet, if I mistake not, the Synod of

+ Deputate

Syn, Geldric.

call it, Fun-

Dort † makes this Doctrine of

Absolute Election, the great

prop of godlinesse.

tenour of this Doctrine) he must do't himself; and his Euge's, [Well done good and faithfull fere auts,] are for such actions onely as himiclf hath irrefilibly produced, and the men could not do othernife. In a word; it makes a double Gospel, and a double Saviour; as is sufficiently proved in the following Papers. That these abfurd interences, (and many more which might be deduced) are evident to me, though they should not be so to others, is enough to binde up my Judgement. But if M. Baxter can salve them by his New Method, I am

the work to others, yet (according to the

can false them by his New Method, I am so far from being obstinate, that I shall not onely acquiesce in his Performance (when I see't accomplish'd;) but rejoyce in it also, as one that findeth great spoils.

Sir, I commend you heartily to the Lord, and to the word of his Grace.

The Contents.

After Baxters uncha- How abridged by Tile-ritable Censures of nus p. 22, Gc. Tilenus pag. 2,3 and ill lan- The fift Arricle made guage ib.

How canfelels and undegood out of the writings of Calvinists 23, Gc. served P 45 That the Elect are a Whence his mistake, and small number 23 its originall 5,6 All M. B. pretended fallifi That they are elected without regard to Faith and Obedience 24 Cations not charged upon the Synodic felf: but many That the Reprobates are upon the Divines thereof, appointed to destruction, and their Adherents and without any regard to In-Predeceffors fidelity or impenitency 25 6. 7 The Five Articles spo-The Aniniosities beken against by M. B. were twist Supralaplatians and drawn up by Tilenus and Sublapfarians, and God written in French, though charged with injustice and translated afterwards into foolithnesse by them 28 19 English Tilenus his second Aris-7,8 Calvinists divided about cle made good against M. Baxter 29
That Christ died onely the Doctrine of Predesti nation 19,11 Four heads of Suprala for the Elect 29,30 psarian Doctrine 11,12 That Christ had no m. Sublaplarians how differing nor commandment from the Supralaplarians, from his Father to make atissaction for the fins of 13 the whole world 31
Tilenus third Article The 5 Articles, as they were drawn up at the Conmade good 33 Calvin denyes Freewill ference at the Hague, 15 16,17 As they were drawn up in Adam, p. 34.& Maccourus after the Synod at Dorr, faith his hall was cf an unb 3 unavoid-18,19

voidable necessity, 33	1. They differ about the
That the Elect do good	object of Election 53
out of an unavoidable Ne-	2. And about the foun-
cessity 35	dation of Election 55
They hold the like Ne-	They agree not in this,
cessity for doing evil 36,	[whether the Elect be be-
37	loved out of Christ 56
This necessity of doing	
or not doing good or evil,	in this, whether Reproba-
from Gods Decree 38	tion hath respect to the
That man can do no	Fall] 57
more good, and omit no	4. Not in this whether
More evil 39	there be an Affirmative, as
That all endeavour after	well as a Negative Act, in
Salvation before the gift	Reprobation 58
of Faith, (which is infuled	5. They agree not in
irrefiftibly, is of no effect	this whether Christ died
41	tor all, or onely for the E-
The 4. Article of Tile.	lest] 59,60
nur mide good 41	6. Nor in this whether
Trut the Elect cannot	the Impetration of Recon-
reject Grace 42	ciliation is to be separated
That Reprobates cannot	from the Application of
accept it 45	lt] 64,65
Tilenus his Fisch Article	7. They are not agreed
	whether all that hear the
The Elect cannot fall	Gospel are commanded to
from Grace 47	believe in Christ] 67,68
No, not with flanding the	8. They are not agreed
most grievous sins they can	
	have a power to under-
The Synod of Dort not	
a sufficient test to assure us,	9. Nor, whether they
which are the opinions of	
	cing to the afforing their
	Election 70,71
g ee amongst themselves!	10. Whether a man can
in the points in contro	do more good then he

doth, or omit more evill	into a damnable effate and
than he omitteth, they	lose their apritude to enter
cannot agree about it 72	into the kingdome of hea-
er. They differ in this	ven; infomuch, that if they
Question whether the Co-	die without an actuall re-
venant and promifes of the	newall of Repentance, it
Gospel be generall 73	is impossible but they
12. They agree not in	should perish, p. 87, and
this [whether God wills fe-	yet their state of Justifica-
riously that all should be	tion and Adoption, and
faved, 75. Or whether re	their Right to the King-
probates be called feriouf	dome of heaven remain
iy 75	inviolable 8-,88
13. They differ about	All opinions (how op-
dispositions previous unto	posite soever to one ano.
Fairh and Conversion 78	ther) if opposite to the Re-
14 They are not agreed,	montrants, are current by
Whether perseverance be	M. Baxiers Teft 88
a condition of the Cove-	The pretentions of the
nant 81	Synod not according to
15. Nor in this, Whe	theiractions 53
ther Salvation be the re.	Grevinchovius his chara-
ward of Faith, or onely	ster of the riged Calvinists
the end of it 82	in the Low Countries or
16. They differ in this,	Eight deteflable errours
Whether Temporary Faith	commonly held by Calvi-
be a true Faith 83	nists, yet the Synod were
17 Whether Fairh may	never troubled at them 92
be lost; some say the Act	93
may, but not the Habit;	Bogermans passion savou.
others fay Neither 84	
18. They make the most	
horrid wasting fins of the	nod 93, 94
Elect but sins of infirmity	Maccovius his blashe-
8 \$ 8 6	mies not centured, but
19. They fay, the faith-	he dismist as an Orthodox
full fulling into heinous	to ! .
sms, may bring themselves	The Supralaplatians

A necessity of all humaintained their Doctrine mane Actions, in regard of in the Synod 95 And the whole Synod Gods Decree, the Doctrine of the present Calvinists, guilty of those opinions, and why 95,97 105, and 109 The Synod would not Hence liberry is defined to be but a rationall Spondeclare against the most horrid expressions of Piftancitie, 107, and some distinctions made use of to Calor free God from being the A Minister of Sedan eje-Authorises sin 108 ed for preaching too earnestly, that God was not The Reprobates cannot repent, according to the the Authour of fin 98 Calvinists Doctrine 110 The Articles and Reje ctions of the Synod con-The Decree ties the end and the means together, trived so, that Supralaplarians might subscribe them hence it is concluded, either that the elect cannot without impeachment of fin, 111. or that their fins their own Tenents 99 are priviledges and advan-Not one of the severall tages Sects of Calvinists centu The falle dealings of Fe. red by that Synod, but they all think themselves stus Hom. and D. Damman the Scribes of the Synod countenanced thereby 99 of Dort 118 A character of Bogerman The Supralaplarian creabilirarian Doctrine on the President of it ibid. foot still 100 In what sense the wary. The unjust practiles of the Syn. of Arnham and of est Calvinists, hold the de-Dort against the Remoncree irrespective 101 M. Baxters Universall restrants 119,120,670. desiption condemned by The Remonst. Doctrines his Brother-Cal. 103. by D. misreported to make them The. Hill, and the whole odious 122 Allembly, 104. (to which How the Remonst. hold we may now add, The Dea just man may be certain cluation of the Congregaof future perseverance,127 tionall Churches) and how in this they are

falified by the Synod, 128	Pretention doch rather
M. Baxters acknowledg-	bring men into a state of
ment of the first Article of	Infidelity then find them
Tilenus charged upon the	Original fin unfiely called
Synod, 129. though retra	Original fin unfirty called
सिंदर्स बहुआंच १३०	a flate of Infidelity 145
M.B. Sophiltry and im-	M. B. illogical inference,
pertinency in justifying the	that God leaves men to the
Synods saying, That God	malice and hardnesse of
had no regard to Faith and	their hearts, in his Decree
Obedience in the Decree	of Non-election 146,147
of Election, 131,132, c.	Originall fin comes one-
M. B. maintains a piece	ly by Gods imputation 147
of Popery, or the Grotian	Reprobates learn to go
Religion 132	alone betimes by the Sy-
That sinne is as much a	nods Doftrine 148
means to our falvation as	Mens personal fin not
Faith and Obedience 133	a previous qualification in
Their distination betwixt	the object, but an un-
predeftinating and crea-	avoidable consequent of
ting to destruction, and	Reprobation 149
predefinating and crea-	The Syn. doth not make
ting to Danination; and of	foreseen infidelity to be
what use it is 138,139	the qualification of the ob-
The Decree of Reproba	lject of Reprobation 150
tion makes provision for the	God decrees fin, or elle
introduction of fianc, that	he could not foresee it, by
Dannation may be just,	M. Baxters Doctrine 151
135, 137, 140, 141	Preterition is not an A.T
And their good works	of luttice by the Doction
lead the Reprobate to hell,	of many Calv. 151,152
lead the Reprobate to hell, as well as their fins 135	of many Calv. 151,152 A dawbing between the
	of many Calv. 151,152
as well as their fins 135	of many Calv. 151,152 A dawling between the Supralaptarians and Sublaptarians in this point 15?
as well as their sins 135 The distinction betwirt	of many Calv. 151,152 A dawbing between the Supralaplatians and Sublaplatians in this point 152 The qualification or our
as well as their fins 135 The distinction between preserition and predamna	of many Calv. 151,152 A dawling between the Supralaptarians and Sublaptarians in this point 152 The qualification or ouiged, unto which the Decree
The distinction between presention and predamna- tion, used for fashion take,	of many Calv. 151,152 A dawbing between the Supralaplatians and Sublaplatians in this point 152 The qualification or our

Rationall as those offered How Gods Decree is up by the Calv. 162,164 built upon his Forekaow-Gods Title of a Helper ledge, and yet mens Faith implies mans cooperation and obedience not onely nor principally of them-The ill consequences of selves, but of God, 156, 157 that Doctrine that teach-The opinions of the eth, God determines every greatest Clerks to be rejected, if they be not congood motion 167,168 God upon occasion, in fonant to the Catholick The Doctrine of the Regreat temptations may de-Truth termine the will of his demonft, most consonant to vout servants to what is Rationall Prayers 159 good: but then their adhe-But the Calvinifts Do. ring to it is not properly a Arine not so, ib. for accorduty in them ! 169 Gods options and prayers ding to that, fome men can addressed to us do evince never use the Lords Prayer but they pray for their that his Grace doth not ir. refiftibly determine our own damnation, or else that Gods Decree may be will 170, 171 Gods praising of his serrescinded 159 Unregenerate men difvants must be considered couraged from prayer: and as well as their Thankigiall good duties by the Dovings 172,173,174 Those praises were irra-Arine of Calvinists 161 Gods giving [To believe rionall, if God did irresiand fuffer understood, of ftibly determine their wils the opportunity and Grace; 162
The Cilv. confess the to perform the work 175 A difference betwixt duty and operations merely voluntary . No Duty spirits way of internal ope (which is an Act of Probaration beyond their reach; yet they would tieus to tion) unlesse a man hath their definitions about it, liberry to do otherwise 175, 176
Preterition without fore-162,162 The Prayers of the Primfight of demerit offensive, sive Church doubtlesse as

Yet this contelled for in	leave foliciting us till we
	shamefully repulse him,
rejected 178	196 But he will not dwell
What regard the Synod	with us unless we choose
had to sin and demerit, in	to embrace him 196
Pretefition: viz. to intro-	M; B. hath one Doctrine
duce it; as a just cause of	for the Pulpit, another for
infuing Damnation 179	the Schoole 197
M. Baxters uncharitable	God dispenseth Grace to
infinuation 180	promote duty: but the
What is offensive and	Calv. would have it admi-
scandalous in the Calvi-	nistred in such a way, as
nists Doftrine 180,181	doth cancel duty, 197, 198
M. Baxter hath a fingu-	How God worketh 10
lar way by himself 182	will and to do 158
Sufficient Grace not gran-	The Doctrine of the Sy-
ted to others as wel as to	nod is, that according to
the Elect, by Cal. 182,183	the will and intention of
That sufficient Grace is	the Father, Christ died for
not given to the Non elect,	the electionely 201,202
according to the Doctrine	M. B. School divinity at
of the Synod 183 to 188	odds again with his Pulpit
'Tis ferviceable onely to	doctrine 203
carry on the Decree of Re-	Redemption in his pulpit
probation 188, &c,	is much more universall,
M. Baxter undertakes to	than in his disputations, ib.
deliver the sense of All the	& 204, 205
Calvinists, though there be	His Zeal transports him
but few of his mind 152	to a forgetfulnesse of the
M.B. sufficient Grace, like	
an Emperick's Medica	204,205. One of the won-
ment, confessed to be unef	ders of the world that Gods
fectuall 193,104	
What Fee is due to such	
Physicians? 104, and what	ecuted ibid.
expositions of Scripture	
by (uch glosses? 195	
Gods sparse doth not	intends all their falratione:

yet he denyes it against	new Cov. in us, 217,218
Tilenus 206,207	Something to be done
D. Twisse his doctrine,	by us in a way of order to
That the Non-elect shall	the introduction of Faith
be justified and saved, if	and the work of conversion
they will believe. Biddle	219 to 222. And this is M.
me, Riddle me 207	Baxters own doctrine 223
As much might with as	He makes salvation possi-
good reason be said of De-	ble in his Sermons, even to
vils 208, 209	fuch men, as he makes to
For it is a Grace, that	impossible unto in his dif-
confists only in the logical	putations 224,225,226
connexion of an Antece-	According to M. B. do-
dent and Consequent 207	Arine against Telenus [His
That Christ exerciseth	directions to prevent mif-
his Prieftly office onely for	carrying in Conversion
the elect, is the doctrine of	should have been dedica-
the Synodifts 210	ted not to men, but to God
Deliverance from fin by	The sufficiency of Christs
sanctification the work of	The sufficiency of Christs
Christ 211,212	death is no proof of the u-
And the first part of our	niversall extent of the be-
Redemption, yet D. Tmisse	petit, in respect of Gods
and M. B. affirm most ab-	intention 227
furdly, that Christ hath pro-	The Synodists cannot af.
cured remission and life,	firm (if they speak conso.
for shofe, for whom he hath	nantly to their doffrine
not purchased sanctifying	that the fins of the non-
Grace 213	elect were laid on Christ,
M.B. own universalists	
are too strict for his pre-	
renfions 214,215	
A bountifull promife up-	
on an impossible condition	
216 unworthy the most	
me ciful God 217	Evangelicall power to per-
How God burboled to	
cause the condition of the	To promise and offer life

tance according to the doupon conditions; which arine of the Synod 243 are made impossible by the M.B. in extremes, 246 propounder, is abfurd and M. B. sufficient Grace a mockery, 231. But to torment such wretches as deficient, and not such as do not, because they cannot that the Remonst. mean by sufficient 247, &cc.
Gods special grace reperform those conditions. and receive thosepromises, ftrained by the Calv. to the horrible Whether Saving Faith, elect: and his common Regeneration &cc be under Grace of no advantage to promise, or under Revelathe falvation of the rest, gion onely. The Calvinists 248, &c. But administred differ, and M. B. diffents onely to render them inexcusable 249 from blantelf in this point M. B. (if the Synods do-232 to 235 He had reason to alter arine were true might his opinion, for they that with as much reason inveigh against the Devils, as hold them promises doe make a double Gospel, to against the non-elect, for avoid which, he makes use making light of Christ 250 For the non elect are of this new Light, and yet more hardly dealt withall he avoids not the abfurdity of making a double Savi-(by that dostine) than the devils are 251 OUL 236, 237 Infidelity cannot proper-! M. B. sofficient Grace is ly be the Reptobates own inestectuall of its own nafault, according to the Syture and kind, 253. But nods doctrine 239,240 that afferted by the Re-M.B. universall Redemmonstrants becomes uneffectuall, through mans voprion is not sufficient to free the Non-elect neither luntary and vincible fault, from fin, nor punishment 254, &c. 241. Why it ought rather The Remonstagrant that to be called univerfell permen may be and are landidition fied by that Grace, which 242 How Sod calls the Nonthey affirm to be beflowed elect to Faith and Repenon the non-elect : which

the work of Grace, 261 An exact Account of the How the foul comes to power and operations of miscarry under these helps Grace, according to the of Grace and to be sedu-Remonstrants 256,257 ced, and led away by fen-They grant that Grace fuality, 264. And rebell works irrefistibly upon the against the light 265 understanding and affecti Conversion, not wrought ons, yea and upon the will irrelistibly: but a matter too, as to a collation of of choice, according to the power ibid. &c. doctrine of the Primitive Whether he that doth Church 266 not oppose Grace, and is Preaching and Hearing converted, hath more grace the means to make men than he that doth oppose willing 269 it, and is not converted, Lesse Grace would con-258,259 Though a supernatural vert some, than what is ineffectual to others, 270,271 power to believe be con-Conversion is from Gods ferred irrefistibly: yet the Grace with the cooperation actuall consent is not fo on of mans will 272

M. B. denies Gods præs wrought: and why, 259 If Faith be irrificibly indetermination and afferts fuled, the word cannot the wills self-determination possibly be the instrument, on, and yet maintains insuperable determining Grace 273,274,275 or means of it, 260 Illumination performes the whole work of Grace, Grace irrefiftibly deter according to Camero, 261 mining the will not neces-The Calv. do not bring fary, 276, not convenient; faith and falvation to mans for it overthrows that Dochoice, by their doctrine, minion, which the will is as the Rem. do, 261, &c. said to have of its own The glory of Conversion Acts. It destroys the nature to be aferined to God: but of duty 277
It evacuates the use of the miscarriage in that work only to man, 263, 255 the Ministery, the use of The Remontt. preserve

Calv.will not allow of, 255

to the will her liberty, in

exhortation 279, &c. Men will take unworthy It furnisheth the obsticourles to promote the cause they have once enate with a just Apology fpouled against all exprobrations The Syn, but a party in-283, 284 It takes away all praise those controversies; though they took a folemn oath to of vertue 284, 285 It makes God the first be impartiall The equity of the Helvedeficient cause in mans sin. ng 285 It is an Inlet to Enthufining tians 204 Originall fin yielded to M. Baxter, 305. Whether asme, 286. And disparages the sweetnesse of the Infi-Christ hath not restored nuations and motions of the power that was taken 288 away by original fine M.B. Grace What move Authours to feems to be for the affirmative 306,307 conceale their Names 291 After the Fall no liberty D. Taylor unjustly censulest in the will to do well, red by M.B. for a Pelagian 308,309. All the Fathers 292 of the first 300 years spake The Devil hath the naturall faculty of Freewill, like Pelagians, saith M. B. as well as Man 292 310. The Manichees as ril Men under an unavoidas the Pelagians, and the Calv. to avoid one extreme, able necessity of good and evil, 292, 293. The Calv. runinto the other, 311 deny onely a necessity of M.B. will not subscribe coaction in humane affairs, the Canons of the Syn. of Dort without his own inter-297, 298 Blect and Reprobates put pretation, 312 and confeunder an unavoidable ne. quently he had been fercefficy of being faved or ved as the Remonstrants damned respectively, acwere, if he had been acording to the Calv. 296 mongst them ibid. Spontaneity no good sal-The Syn. taught not the vage for the necessity of same Doctrine in these finning; or the justice of points with S. Auftm, 319 perdition that follows it, S. Austin heid that men

not wrought without God, effectually called, regencyet wrought by means rated and justified might fall away and perish 313 which is reliftible 329,330 M. Baxters: Fallacy, 330 He held predestination up. M. Baxter decides the on foreknowledge, if concase, while he disclaimes fonant to bimielk, 417 414 the judicature 331 If the Reprobates be un-.IM. B. calle that a flander, des an unavoidable meres fity of wanting Paith, &c. which is an evidenc truth That want is not their fin 332. And it is, That the but their Fate 317 Reprobates cannot accept faying faith . 932, 333 The work of convertion What power denyed, for mightinelle, not inferiour to the creation / 218 and what granted to the Reprobates 334, 335 . The Synod excludes all others falvacion, but the e-The Devils have a palfive obedienciall, and a na-रिरी 319 A perverse instruction of turall Active power of willing, 335. God deals M.B. 220, and 222. His more hardly by the Repropoor cavils, to no purpose bates, than by the Devils, 320,321 Though God be omniaccording to the Calviniffs Doctrine 335 potent, yet every egression M. Bax. pitifull Put off, of his power, is not omni touching a fufficiency of potent, 322,323,329,330 power in the Reprobate, Proved by M. B. afferti allufirated by example 336 on, That no sufficient grace M Bs Ignoratio Elenchi 327 is given to the Non-e leat; or else that they can Berwixt the naturall A-Crive Paculty and the moconquer Omnipotency, 324 rall Disposition, there is a M. Bax, five lenles 325 God acts to the uttermost supernatural infinx, &c. 338, 339. But M. B. forof his power in the conver getting his Doctrine of luftion of finners, according to the Synod 326

M. Baxter flips from the ficient Frace, now titterly denies it 339,340,241 The Reprobates, by M B. Question **2** 28 doctrine, required to bring though Sanctification,

which are not thus transin their tale of Brick, but rmed *ibid*. The Calvinists deny the formed are allowed no firaw 340 For what use is sufficient exercise of naturall Free-Grace, if it cannot inable will in mans converf. 254 men to act graciously? 341 Grace given to Repro-M.B. mistakes the Quebates comes not from are. stion,342. The Reprobate solution in God to renew are not faved, not because them 256 they will not, but because The word and spirit may God wills not 343 be said to be essectuall in M.B. [can not] is more a twofold sense 357 than [will not] 343,344 What M.B. his [As foll a The Reprobates can not purpole lignifies 357,358 and will not do both flow No man bound to be. by an inevitable Necessity lieve a benefit belongs to from Gods Decree 344 him, unlesse it be serious M.B. trifling to blind the ly intended to him 359 Syn. doctrine, &c. 345,346 Yet M.Perkins faith, that M.B. prævaricates, 34° in hinding the Reptobates 247. That those to whom to believe God intends God giveth Grace cannot onely to make them unexreject it, 347, for it is irresulable for not believing, fiftible 348 350. God harb a fourcicle The Galvinists persecute end in calling the Repro. their brethren for differing bates, though their obedi. from them in the explicaence be none of them, tion of an Article, which faith Maccovius 361 themselves consess, they cannot comprehend, 349 And this is the fumme The conversion of a fin totall of M.B. Passive obedientiall Power, and his ner is like Gods raising up children unto Abraham of naturall active Faculty and his Suffic. Grace 361,362 stones; according to the Syn. Doctrine, 349, to 352 God foreknew the non-Such a convertion cannot conversion of the Reprobe esteemed a duty, nor acbate to be a fin of ingraticounted laudable 353 tude, obstinacie and rebel-Notis it a fault in those lion; Therefore sufficient

Grace is ferioully offerd to professeth to be a perfect truth, and M.B. too: is acthem; without which their fin could not be such 262 counted a perverse infinu-The odious inferences ation in Tilenus, by Mafter Baxter's equiry 377 are not drawn from Gods giving effectuall Grace to The Calvinists hold the fome: but from his deny. Regenerate may fall into all of Grace Sufficient and adultery, perjury, idolatry, Necessary, and yet decree-&c. and yet not fall into ing to torment men for want of it 365 No such odious Inferenfuch groffe fins as the unregenerate, 378. M. Baxters Ignoratio Élenchi ibid. ces do follow the concessi-The habirually Gracious may be uncapable of falon of Godsforeknowledge, vation, by the Calvinists as follows the position of his absolute Decree; and why 366, &c.
Su. B. calls that a sichi-Doctrine 3-9, & c.
The Calvinists supera. bundant favour towards the Elect, 380. 1. They on and abusive language, which is the clear doctrine say, 'tis a contradiction, of the Synod 370,371 that they should fin, 380. 2. They fay, if they do fin. The impertinency of cis onely out of infirmity, the Synods distinction, of [Quoad ipsos] 372, 373 380. 3. Or that their sins d ffer from fins of the fame An illative or confequentiall Necessity of Perkind in the Reprobate, 38 r severance we deny not: but 4. Or that their fins come to passe through Gods dean antecedent causall nestitution of them, 382 cellity that takes away the duty 374, 375 The Ancients, Jesuites, 5. That their fins are but Fatherly castigations, 384 6. That (they are fure) they Lutherans and Arminians cannot die in their finnes do hold that the Elect shall without Repentance, 386 never fall away: yet this 7. That, if they should doth not favour the Calvinifts opinion of Absolute die in them without an A-Perseverance 376 Auall Repentance, this That which the Synod would be profitable to Auall Repentance, this

386. &c. Supralaplarians, are Crev them, abilitarians and Existentia-The Synod professe to disown, what they clearly lifts maintain, 388.viz. 1. That The Syn. Canonicall Description of Election, 412 the most heinous sins do The Apostles Doctrine not hinder the lalvation of the Elect, 389. 2. That inverted by M. Perkins order of Causes 414 the Reprobates cannot be The Elect beloved most faved, though they perform all the works of the flagrantly and unchangeably out of Christ; which e-Saints, and how these Ridvacuates his latisfaction dles are to be unfolded, and merits, 415 That Christ died to pro-390, 391. Which doctrine is not for edification, 392 393. 3. That God by his mere will, without any recure salvation for them to whom it was as fure before, spect to sin, did predesias Gods Love and Deeree could make it ib. nate and create the greatest part of mankinde to de-That no sin can hinder the effectuall calling of the struction, 394, to 400. 4. That Reprobation is the Elect: not put them out of the road to Glory 416 cause of Infidelity and Im. picty, and how? 400,&c. That the fins of the Elect 5. That Infants of Belieare of a better rank then vers, are cast into hell, 405 those of the Reprob. 417 The Creabilitarians hold The Synod, when they that the greatest part of deny God to be the cause of finne, do understand it mankind are Reprobates onely of a culpable cause, before Creatures, in Gods which God is not they fay, purpole, 418. That they have no interest at all in because he is under no 407,408 Christ, ibid. Being under Jaw. The Synod and M.B.can Gods implacable hatred, (at least, as the most moadvise better then practice dest Calvinists hold) upon 409 the Fall of Adam, ibid An ocular demonstrati-Though they improve on of the doctrine of Cal their calling to the uttervin. in M. Perkins Table most, &c. 410, 411.

probable, 436 What will most, yet by the sway of The danger of backflithe Patall Decree, they shall be brought into a Reding,438. That the Rege. laple and finally perish, 419 nerate may fall totally and The Glory, which God aimes to reap from his finally, 439, 440, and that David did 10, 440, &c. 444 creature, according to the Calvinists; consists chiefly 445 Hardning goesalong with in the execution of his de prelumptuous fins, 442 crees of Election and Reprobation, contrary to the David a servant of sin, Scriptures 420, 421 443,444. though he had M.B. will have a parting not lerved out a Apprentis blow at his differting Bro thip to the trade of finning ther ibid. That the Justified can-Adoption not absolutely not fall from their justificagranted but upon condition of Persever. 446, 447 tion, no fundamentall point with M.B. 428 Regeneration, and Sanctic Good Christians, are not fication may be repeated, made per saltum, crdinarily 448,449.10 may convertion 428. The elect have such a the new creation . repen-Magazine provided, they tance, (words of the same need confult, and take importance) 451, 452, 453 454. proved from the Dicare for norhing, 429,430 scipling of Penance, 455, But the Scripture teacheth another Doctine, 431 456,457,458. Heb 6.10. cleared, 459, 460 And many that warp to the other opinions are con-The good ground. Objevinced of it, ibid. & 432 dionfrom thence answered 461,462, 462. Objection Christianity a matter of choise from Davids prayer, Psal. 433 50. answered, 464 Confirmation, of such as Every degree of love will are eminently faithfull, in a not secure the state of ju. state of indefectibility, very probable 434, 435 Every fingle aft of every Stification, 465, &c.
Not safe to rely upon groffe fin doth not eancell Gods mercy, beyond the mealures the state of Justification;

measures reveal'd tous, 467	ment, 488. M.B. insnared
No depending upon an	in a Fallacy, 489
habituall estimation of	The House built upon a
God, in our Actuall diso-	rock, may be blown up
bedience, 468	though it be not blown
A laving Faith no more	down, 489. a caution to
feparable from chaftity,&c.	prevent it 490
than from charity 470	The Doctrine of the Syn.
Men may perish for want	nod touching Election and
of confideration 47 I	Perleverance not acord-
How the hearts of the re	ing to Godlinesse, 491. By
generate relapsing are tur.	it the vileft finners may be
ned into as gracelesse a	certain of their Salvation,
frame as theirs, who were	without the tenewing of
never fanctified 472	Repentance, 492, to 500.
Some fingle Acts of fin	They hold every man
may exclude a man out of	ought to be certain of his
heaven 473	Election, 492, 4+3. He that
The fin of David and Pe-	is once certain of his Ele.
ter were not single Acts	ction may fall into groffe
onely 473,474,479	sins, 495. yet they cannot
Habits infuled may be	fall quite away ,495, 497.
loft 475, 476, 477, 478	Therefore once certain
Some single Acts of fin do	and for ever certain, 449
furmount fome Habits 479	This Doctrine takes away
Peters sin mounted up by	from some gross finners the
very many and great Ag-	fear of Gods displeasure, of
gravations, 479, 480	hell fire, and of judgement
Men may lie in a state of	to come, which are the pre-
fin and yet purfue a courfe	servatives against sin, 501
of Religion 481,&c.	
Christs prayer did not se.	the Antinomians Doctrine,
cure Peter from a totali	which M. B accounts so
falling away, but from a fi.	groffe and abfurd, 500,501
nall onely 484	M. B. holds that no man
Peter did not constantly	hath fuch a certainty of his
build upon the Rock 487	own fincerity,&c. as to exa
M.B. expounds the fa	clude all doubting, 503
cred text by Satans Com,	514 The

The Doctrine of Perle. the Arminians are against verance in M. Baxters the peace of the Saints, 513 judgement, gives advan-The certainty of persetage to security 504 M.B. interfering, ib &c 505 verance ferves onely the interest of the slesh, 514 And incongruity of ex No Cordials are to be pression provided for men in their 505 The word Grace, one of wickedness 515 the three that makes for The opinion of the Remany controversies,&c.506 monst. a better foundation The opinion of the Reof comfort for the lapfed, monfirants and Lutherans, than that of Calv. 115,516 touching failing from M. B. provides as ill for Grace, not against Grace, the peace of the Saints as 505, 507. Nor against do the Remonstrants 516 Gods Fidelity, 507. Ibid. That Menare to be jud. Not. Marg 3, r. adde 1 Pet. ged godly according to the Predominant estimation 4.19. Gods Fidelity is not imand operation of their peached by mans Apostafoul, understood with re-Arictions 517 fie, 507, &c.
The Holy Ghosts Custo A continued Sedition and Rebellion must not go dy doth not secure the for fingle Acts: No more Faithful without their own vigilancy 508, 509 must the fins of David and Peter The falling away of the 517 Regen, not against Gods A relapse in the last stage wildome or power,509,510 of life very dangerous 518 M.B. opinion against the One Aft of fin fets a man Grace and wisdom of God more backward, than one Act of vertue can fet him 511. God by M.B. do forward 518 Arines invites the Repro-That the Habituated can. bate to ingage themselves in covenant with him: yet not change, how to be unhe keeps himself disingaderstood 518, 519 M B a supercilious Cenged to them 511,512 M.B. contradi 4s himself for rather then a charitable Monitor of M.P. and why? in faying the opinions of 519, 529. M.B.

526. Grace confined to a M. B. chooseth to die in the state of an Adulterer, Party Many of the present leaand Murderer, and of one ders of the people guilty that hath denyed his Saviof thus seducing them 528 our with exectations, This gives advantage and (whom he confesseth in an scandall to Sociaians 528 incapacity of falvation) Scandall given to the than in the state of a per-Sociations and advantage by fon, by whom he knows no mens pretending to more ill, but some passages (in a piety and godinesse then learned Book) which he their principles do exact thinks uncharitable 520 M. B. dath not judge the 529,530 529,530 The Remonstrants do person by the works, but advance Gods Grace more the works by the person, than the Calv. 532,533 521. Men of M. B. persua-A double acception of fion account that damnable the word Grace | 534 in others, which they think How taken in the right not culpable in their own stating of the question 535 Party 522 Which fide makes man The Turks measure good most finfull 536,537 and evill by the event, 523 In what sense Calvinists All events not irrefifti do make men the greatest bly decreed 524 finners 539 The Calvinifts and M.B. Whether fins of Regehave espoused the Turks opinion in this 524 nerate persons be not as great as others 540,541 That the same sins for kind differ in respect of Whether the Elect have persons 524, 525 not the same ends in sig. What Saints are brought ning which the Reprobates forth out of M.B. fornace, have after his Spirituall refining A Paradox of Calvinists 543,544

And of the Canons of that Synod Translated into English. Of M. Baxters writings, are cited His Saints Rest. His Scripture Proof tor Infants Baptisme. His Treatise of Conversion. Directions to Prevent Miscarrying in Conversion. Directions for Peace of Conscience. His Call to the Unconverted: His Papers Of Saving Faith, against M. Barlow. His Sermons, at the Assizes, of Judgement. Of Making Light of Christ. Dispu. tations of Justification. Disput. Of Right to Sacraments, For the distinction of supralapsarians, [viz. Creabilitarians and Existentia-

Take notice that in thele Papers,

A&. Syn. Nat. edit, in folio.

lists] see pag. 412;

Lugd, Bat. Typis Isaaci Elzeviri.

Soc. Dord. Sumptibus. 1620.

I have made use of

FOR

Master Richard Baxter.

TT is hoped, upon the account of your

Sir.

candour and ingenuity, that you will hold the Ghost of Tilenus excused, if he takes a progresse into the visible world, to haunt you a while; especially seeing it is not out of malice, but charity, not to torment or affright you, but (that's all the revenge he desires)

to inform you how unadvisedly you have attempted to deface the lustre and take away the life of his fairest reputation. Here by the way I cannot but take notice of the truth of your own complaint and observation, in An Apology, affixt to your Directions

you say, "I have learned at last by "some experience, that we must suffer from the Learned and Godly, and not onely from the Ignorant and the Wicked; and being conscious of

"juries that we must mutually over-"look, who are by our pattern of "Meeknesse so indispensably obliged "to extraordinary Unity, Love and "Peace. A good provision made to entertaine unwarrantable proceedings; and whether Tilenus Ghost hath received a faire invitation from you, to it, or no, he resolves it to be his duty to take his share of it. And good reason; for you charge him with fal-Præsat. Sifying and Calumny, unworthy Sett. 6. a Divine, a Christian or a Man. and Sect, 7. you fay, He unworthily feigneth them to say. Sect. 8. you aske, Where now is the odious errour that this second Tilenus puts such a face upon? Sect. 9. you adde, A most shamelesse falshood, made as they say of his fingers ends, and a little after you call him This Accuser. Sect. II. you say, Unwarthy falsification still! Well might this Author conceale his name for shame of the

ce that humane frailty, whereby I am

"likely to be injurious to others, I must

"needs know that it is many such in-

such a solfe devised tale, that no honest man should have been guilty of against the poorest neighbour or enemy, much lesse against a party, and a Synod of so many truly Learned and Worthy men. Sect. 12. The fourth Article forged by this Ghost of Tilenus. Sect. 13. The accusation proceeds, you flanderously say that the Synod---and you wrong them also in feigning them simply to say. Self. 4. and Self 16. you call that, the fifth feigned Article of Tilenus, adding prefently upon the recitall of it, This also is in his own abusive language, and not in theirs. Lastly, you conclude Sect. 17. your addition is a perverse insinuation. Thus you are pleafed to cloathe your rebukes that they may make the deeper impression. If you were ever content to take such coine for good payment, 'tis more then probable Tilenus would be content to receive it at your hands ; but I think it should never have passed through his, but have been nai'ed to a post, as we use to deal by that coun-

world: and a little after; All this is

terfeit money, whose metall will not beare the Test, though it carries never so fair an image and superscription. However Sir, Tilenus hath a Second, that must take leave to tell you, this Coin is not currant in the ballance of the Sanduary. And thus I finde that many times even love of Moderation, and zeale for Truth do transport some men to no small distance beyond them both. But if it were the weight of section 6. his sinne (as you pretend) which commanded you to be thus plain; Tilenus when he finds his guilt, upon a further examination, will be bound to acknowledge it, and to give you thanks for your Christian charity towards him. In the mean while I must assure you, it was not for shame of the world that this Author concealed his name: but, (if you will not allow it to be imputed to his modesty) perhaps because he thought Antidorum there was too much truth in in præfat. that of the Remonstrants, None patitur

rorem pariant. Medicinam omnem respuit, & medicum nullum ferre potest. Nemo impune bactenus ei medicam manum porrexit, nisi cum ei potestas opprimendi defuit. I wish the practises of those of that Party may not translate this complaint into English, and my pen shall not. But I hasten to receive your charge made against Tilenus. You ask, Where ded the Synod say this? Not a Sect. 12. word to any such sense in the Syword to any such sense in the Synod; and, There's not a word of the Decrees of the Synod, that hath any such importance. So that here we have the ground, upon which you take all your Confidence to accuse Tilenus of (your pretended) falsification and Calumny. But good Sir, give me leave now to ask the question: Where did Tilenas undertake to confine himself to the De_ crees and Canons of that Synod? D. Dam mans question to Tilenus is this; pag 27. Are these your tenents consonant to the

patitur tangi aut detegi ulcera sua ma-

lesana Calvini secta. Nimis ensm fæda

ac tetra sunt, adeo ut propius intuenti bor-

Assembly? So pag. 31. He is charged (personating an Infidel) to alleadge no other reasons to justifie his aversenesset to the Christian faith, then what he can clearly deduce from the doctrine of the Synod and the Divines thereof; (whether in conjunction or severally, is left to his liberty) and fo he instanceth accordingly p.34. in these very words, As some of your Synod do maintain pag. 50. the Synod of Alez is joyned with it, and pag. 69. Tilenus takes in the doctrine of the Synod and its adherents. And as these expressions must be allowed to reach far downward, so there are others, that will ascend as high upwards. Page 31, mention is made of the Calvinian as well as the Synodicall Principle, and pages 36, and 58, M. Calvin and Piscator, their Authorities are alleadged and owned, as being men of the same judgement (generally speaking) with those Divines of the Synod. So that Tilenus

Articles of the Synod of Dort? what

opinion have you of that, and the do-

Grine held forth by the Divines in that

Divines of that Synod and their Adherents, but such also whose unwholesome and rash opinions gave occasion of it,] to make good his Articles as they are drawn up; and what words and Phrases some of those Authors have made choise of to represent their conceptions of these points of Doctrine in, we shall see anon. In the mean time, I must acquaint you further, that these very five Articles (word for word, as near as they could be translated) were drawn up by Daniel Tilenus himself (and not his Ghost) who was as like to know, and as able to state the difference and controversies betwixt the Remonstrants and their Adverlaries as any other. The truth is, he wrote a small Trast in French, which was translated about 30. years since into English by one of his own countreymen, (a Parson of a Church in London)

hath left himself a greater latitude then

you did imagine, even a liberty to

expatiste into all the opinions of all the

Writers of that whole age [not only the

being put into a very mean dresse (the Translators skill in the English tongue not able to furnish better) was printed some years since, as I am informed, (for a printed copy I have not seen, though I have had the perusall of one in Manuscript) under another Title; viz. [Presbyterian Doctrine.] Whereas the Title prefixed by the faid M. J. L. was this The Doctrine of the Synods of Dort, and Alex brought to the Proof of Practife, 6. And at this Torch it was that Tilenus Junior lighted his Candle. Therefore, if in the compiling or drawing up of those five Articles, there be any forgery, or shamelesse falshood, or unworthy falsification, as you boldly charge them, it is the integrity of the old, and but the credulity of the new Tilenus, that is to be questioned for it. Præfat.
Sed. 6. And truly (you say, yet how truly, is the matter of our prefent inquiry, but you say) Truly this is an exceeding shame to the Arminian and Jesuite cause, I cannot but take no-

Master John L'oiseau, aliàs Tourvail: This,

to make a match betwixt the Arminians and the Jesuits; you joyne them together at every turn, though you know the parties are not agreed: but if you'll read Lysimachus Nicanor, or Herod and Pilate made friends, you may find a fitter match by farre for the Jesuits). But what is that, that is so great Ibid. a shame to the A-minian and fesuit cause? why, to find the Learned Patrons of it to deale so unconscionably, that a Reader cannot believe them; and that where it is so easie to any to see their falshoods. He that should read these severe expressions, falling from the pen of a man so serious, as Master Baxter would seem to be, would be tempted to question whether there were ever any such Doctrines intertaimed or broached in the world, especially in these last ages, amongst the Reformed Churches, as Tilenus writes of That the Calvinists should be so farre divided about the Object of Predestination, and flie so fiercely in one anothers.

tice, by the by, of your great ambition

injustice, and the other to repay them. with a Recrimination, accusing them, to charge him with folly; who would believe Tilenus (after Master Baxters attempt to invalidate his Testimony) if he should acquaint the world that there are such high and bitter Animosities amongst them? Therefore that we may the more readily gain belief in this matter, let Antonius Walam state Disputatio the different opinions. There Theologica must be some common state de 4. controverf.Repitcht upon, out of which monfir. Ar-God made a Segregation of tic.thef. 10. Mankinde, by his eternall predestination, and distributed them into two Classes, viz. of such as are to be saved, and such as are to be damned. In co statuernendo, saith he, mirum in modum humanum ludit ingenium, & varisvarie se torqueux: summa tamen buc redit, industione falta; at homines segregandi judicio divino, considerandi sint à Decommissio, I. aut ut creandi: 2. aut

faces; one party accusing the other, that

by their doctrine they charge God with

nt ereati et integri: 3. ant ut creati & lapsi in primo Adamo: 4, aut ut restituti in secundo Adamso, id est, Christo. Here are three feveral opinions acknowledged to be amongst the Calvinists; viz. Supralapfarians of two forts, and Sublapfarians. The whole processe of the dodrine of the first fort of supra-lapsarians Jacobus Arminius hath Declarat. reduced to foure specially Sententia: heads; which are thefe. translated into Eng-First, That God bath Ablish, page folutely and precisely de-40,41. creed the salvation of some particular men by his mercy or grace. and the condemnation of others by his justice, without any fight or intuition in this decree, of righteousnesse, or sin; obedience, or disobedience; that might proceed from either of them. Secondly, That God, for the bringing to passe this his preceding decree, determined the creation of Adam and all men in him, in the right state of Originall righteousnesse, and further ordained, that they should sin, and so be

Thirdly, That God hath decreed those (whom he would precisely save) as to salvation, so to the means appertaining thereunto, to bring them to saith in Jesus Christ, and perseverance in it; and this indeed by his irrestistible grace, and power, so as they cannot but believe, persevere, and be saved.

Fourthly, That God hath decreed to deny unto them whom, in his absolute will, he hath preordained to de-

deprived of originall righteousnesse;

and become guilty of eternall condem-

firuction, and accordingly doth not conferre that grace which is sufficient and necessary to salvation; so as they are neither able to believe, neither can they be saved.

Thus Jacobus Arminius drew up the Articles; who as he was a man of too great integrity to impose upon his Adversary or his Reader, so is he known to be of too great learning and judge-

ment to encounter with shadows and

Chimera's of his own imagination.

How this Doctrine of Predestinally on is held forth by the other sort of Supralapsarians and the Sublapsarians, he that desires to be fully satisfied, may procure his satisfaction at an easie expense both of time and money, if he will consult that small Treatise, translated and lately set forth by Page 91.

92. 94. 95.

Master Tobias Conyers, under

the Title of The Just Mans Defence. But amongst other Reasons inducing these men to deliver the Do-Arine of Predestination, in a different manner and method from the former, Arminius observes, this was Ibid. page not the meanest; their willingnesse to prevent, lest God with the same probabilitie should be concluded, the Author of sinne, from this their Doctrine, as some of them have judged it concludable from the first. But really (saith He) if with diligent inspection we well examine these Opinions of a later Edition, compa-

sed with the Judgement of the same

Authors in other points of Religion, we shall finde the fall of A-Page 98. dam not possibly otherwaies considerable, (according to the Tenents of these men) then as a necessary executive means of the preceding Decree of Predestination; and Page 100. a little after, The third Opinion scapes this Rock better then the other, had not the Patrons thereof delivered something for the Declaration of Predestination and Providence, from whence the necessity of the Pall may be inferred, which cannot have any other rise then Predestinatory Ordination. Thus Jac. Arminius. Our next inquiry (that we may come to the certaine knowledge of the truth of this Matter of Fait; for which you have, with no little confidence to

to the certaine knowledge of the truth of this Matter of Fast; for which you have, with no little confidence to disgrace him, questioned the integrity of our Tilenus) shall be, how the Articles charged upon the Calvinist, were drawn up by the Remonstrants in the Conference at the Hague,

Pet. Bett. Coll. Hag. p. 7.8.

The first head of Doctrine,

(which They charge the Contra-Remonstrants),
or Calvinists, whom they style their Brethren,
to account ORT HODOX) is thus expressed word for word.

I.

Hat God (as some speak) by
an eternal and unchangeasupra-lapsarians.
ble Decree, from among men,

whom he considered as not-created, much

lesse as faln, ordained certain to eternall life,

had to their righteousnesse or sinne, to their obedience or disobedience: onely because so was his pleasure, (or so it seemed good to him) to the praise of his Justice and Mercy, or (as others like better) to declare his saving Grace, Wisdome, and free Authority (or Jurisdiction); Means being also fore-ordained by his eternall and unchangeable Decree, sit for the execution of the same, by the power or force whereof, it is necessary that they be saved after a necessary and unavoidable manner, who are ordained to salvation, so that its not possible that they should

perish: but they who are destin'd to de-

struction (who are the farre greater number)

fo that its not possible for them to be faved
II.

Sub-lapsarians.

That God (as others would rather) willing from eternity, with
himself, to make a Decree concerning the Election of some certain men, but

the rejection of others; confidered man-

kinde not onely as created, but also as faln,

and corrupted in Adam and Eve, our first Parents, and thereby deserving the curse: And that he decreed out of that fall and damination to deliver and save some certain ones of his Grace, to declare his mercy: But to leave others (both young and old, yea truly even certain Infants of men in Covenant, and those Infants baptized, and dyning in their Infancy) by his just judgement, in the curse, to declare his Justice: and that without all consideration of repentance and

_

unavoidably perish.

faith in the former; or of impenitence or unbelief in the later. For the execution of

which Decree, God useth also such means,

whereby the Elect are necessarily, and unavoidably saved, but reprobates necessarily and

And therefore that Jesus Christ the Saviour of the World died not for all men, but for those onely, who are Elected either asing the mean, and ordained Mediator to fave those onely, and not a man besides.

IV.

Consequently, That the Spirit of God and of Christ doth worke in those who are Elected that way or this, with such a force of Grace that they cannot resist it: and so, that it cannot be, but that they must turn, be-

ter the former or this later manner, he be-

lieve, and thereupon necessarily be saved. But that this irresissible Grace and force belongs onely to those so Elected, but not to Reprobates, to whom not onely that irresissible Grace is denyed, but also Grace necessary and sufficient for Conversion, for faith, and for salvation, is not afforded: To

which Conversion and saith indeed, they are called, invited, and sairely solicited outwardly by the revealed will of God: though notwithstanding the inward force necessary to saith and conversion is not bestowed on them, according to the secret will of God.

V.

But that so many as have once obtained

But that so many as have once obtained a true and justifying faith by such a kinde of irresistible force, can never totally nor sinally lose it, no not although they fall into the very-most-enormous sins: but are so led and kept by that same irresistible force, that 'tis not possible for them (or they cannot) ei-

Every branch of these five Articles, you may see sufficiently proved, in Appendice Pressionis Declarationis, and by the severall Szllabi Testimoniorum inter Scripta Synodalia Remonstrantium. After the Synod at Dort had declared their judgement, upon those five Heads of Doctrine, the Remonstrants abridged the same into these Compendious Articles. Almighty God, out of all mankinde considered in the same state or condition, chose a few certaine men to eternall salvation, without any respect of their faith, repentance, conversion, or of any good quality; but, that he might being those electiones, to the appointed salvation, he decreed that his Son should suffer death for onely them, (yea, even when they as well as others were faln into Original finne, and eternall perdition, by Adam's transgression) that he might reconcile unto God them onely, that he might,

ther totally or finally, fail and perish.

Adam's transgression) that he might reconcile unto God them onely, that he might,
in them onely, work faith, by a most powerfull working and force no lesse then that
put forth in the Creation of the World, or raising the dead; that he might preserve, in
that saving saith, unto their lives end, those
very men although faln into the soulest and
filthiest wickednesses, and sticking some while

therein, and at last might bring them into the possession of eternall life, for no other cause, but because so was his good pleas sure, But on the Contrary,

Almighty God (would passe by) the farre greatest part of mankind, without any consideration of their own proper and avoidable fault, that is to fay, of their own unbelief and impenitence, (and) would not elect (them) to falvation, or have his Son die for them, or give them power sufficient for their conversion, even then, when he invites, intreats, befreehes, and beggs of them to anfwer his Calling them to salvation, under the promise of the said salvation, and the penalty of eternal damnation: but will have them all born into the world to eternali and never-to-be-ended torments and pains of hellfire, and at length throw them headlong theres into, for no other cause, but because it was

his pleasure so to do.

II. That God would that Jesus Christ should inffer the most bitter and the most shamefull death, not for all men, but onely for the elect, that for them alone, by the shedding of his own precious blood, he might purchase faith, and all other saving gifts of the Holy Ghost,

all their sins both Originall and Actuall, committed as well after as before their faith, might keep them to their last breath, and at last bestow on them eternall life. But on the Contrary, That God would not that Christ should die for other Mortals, that he should or might obtaine for them any saving gifts of the Holy Ghost, but would that they should be left in Originall sin, and should, by consequent, fall or rush headlong into other sins, which necessarily flow therefrom, (that they) should continue destitute, or devoid not onely of power whereby they might turn and repent, but also of all hope of grace and salvation, till, at length, beeing inwrapped in an unavoidable necessity of sinning, they should be thrust down with the damned Divels to eternall and infinite torments both of foul and body. III, and IV. That God doth communicate, inspire and infuse into his Elect children, not onely a power to believe, but also the will to believe, yea the very act of believing, or faith, by such a supernaturall, most powerfull, and, at once, most sweet, wonderfull, secret, and unspeakable operation, or working, as, in its power, is no lesse or inferiour then that, whereby the world was made, or the dead are raif-

that by his blood he might clense them from

ed; so that it remains not in mans power to will to believe or be converted, but will they, nill they, they cannot but be converted and believe.

On the other side,

That God doth earnestly indeed call and invite to faith and repentance infinite Myri-

That God doth earnestly indeed call and invite to saith and repentance infinite Myriads, (or ten thousands) of men, with threatnings of eternal death and damnation, yet so still, as he wills not to communicate to them either saith or the power to believe and repent; so that, though they be called of God to saith, yet they cannot but remain unbelievers. And that yet notwithstanding all this, he will punish and doth punish eternally with the most grievous and horrible torments of hell, those very persons for that unbelief of theirs, that was unavoidable.

God will preserve in the faith, all those, who are absolutely elected from eternity, and are, in time, brought to faith by an Almighty and irresistible operation or working, so that, although they fall into soul and detestable wickednesses and villanies, and continue in them some space of time, against their Confesence, were the said wicked villanies do not seed to the said with their confesence.

fcience, yet the said wicked villanies do not hinder so much as a straw amounts to, their Election or Salvation, neither do they or can they, by means of, or because of these, sall

yea, and moreover, they themselves, at last, though it be at their last gasp, shall be recalled to repentance, and brought over into possession of salvation. That this is the perfect sense of the Synods Doctrine, the Remonstrants have notably evinced in their ANTIDOTUM (Continens Pressiorem Declarationens Proprie & Genuina Sententia, Qua in Synodo Nacionali Dordracenà asserta est et stabilita.) For Daviel Tslenus, it seemes, he took the like course; for whereas the Synod delivered their Iudgement about the First Head, (Divine Predestination) in the 18 Articles and 9. Rejections, He abridged the fense thereof into seven short lines; and the Second Head (about Christs Death) comprised in nine Articles, and seven Rejections into foure or five lines; and the three and foure Heads (concerning Mans corruption and conversion) conteining seventeen Articles and nine Rejections, into fourteen lines; and the fifth Head (of Perseverance) dilated in the fifteen Articles with nine Rejections, into lesse than foure lines.

from the Grace of Adoption, and from the state of Iustification, or lose their faith, but

all their fins how great foever they be, both

which heretofore they have committed, and

those which hereafter they will or shall com-

mit, are furer than affuredly forgiven them.

And besides, in Compiling his Articles Tilenue had respect to the Doctrine, as it is asserted, or held forth, by the Synod of Alez, (which is not now in my power, to give any account of) But my Present task is to make it good that these Articles of Tilenus are consonant to the sense of the Calvinists Doctrine, whether delivered in or out of the Synod. The first whereof is drawn up and pretented in these words, That: God by an Absolute Decree hath Ele-Eted to falvation a very little number of men. without any regard to their faith or obedience whatsoever, and secluded from saving Grace all the rest of Mankind and appointed them by the same Decree to eternall damnation, without any regard to their Infidelity or impenitencie. Here Master Baxter takes exceptions: 1. Where talke they of a very little num. ber? For your tatisfaction heare Martinius, (one of the most moderate of the Synod of Dort) who saith that (a) God according to his good pleaa In prafat. fure hath reprobated the greatest excussioris

part of men; was it for sin? Christ placeda—Cidoth not teach so, Mat. II. nor the tante Smon-Apostle, Rom. 9. Here we have the tio Een greatest part of Mankind under the drachts. sol. Decree of Reprobation, and that

not for sin neither. The lesse part therefore is Elected. But we have another Synodist speaks more fully to the Article. b Antonius (b) God hath by his absolute and ir-Thyfius ad resistible will, reprobated the greatest Summam part of Mankind by far, and crea-Baronis. p. 10.20 lite. ted them to destruction; laith Ant. ris (gg) collatis. Thysius. And what is the number of the elect then? If it be not small enough, yet Master Calvin expresseth it to a tittle; The Election is of a very small Number of the Godly. Electionem exigui piorum. numeri. Instit. lib. 3. cap. 21. 9.7. mihi pag. 592. 2. Master Baxter excepts: It's not true that they say he doth it (without any regard to their faith or obedience whatever). Witnesse to the contrary 1. Donteclock. How can it be true that God did from all eternity confider us in Christ as faithfull? On the contrary he chose from all eternity some certain persons, without respect to faith or any other quality, onely for his will and good pleasure. Respons. ad Anonym. Quatern. E. 2. Bucan. What manner of persons are Elected? Such as are unclean and wicked in the fight of God. Loc. com. de Pradest.

9.20.

fesse that God in his Election, had no respect to saith foreseen, perseverance, or any other good quality. Collar. Hag. pag. 126.

4. Damman, Scribe to the Synode. The Election was made without any consideration of saith foreseen. In suo consens. To whom I may adde Lubbertus, a Synodist too; who saith, 'Tis a humane invention, that God decreed Salvation to us upon this condition [if we would repent.] In Declar, Respons. pag. 50.

3. Master Baxter excepts; He unworthis.

ly feigneth them to say that God [appointeth them to eternall damnation without

any regard to their impenitency or infide-

8. The Centra-Remonstrants, We do pro-

lity | The truth of this shall be tried by the Suffrages of

I. Calvin. Predestination is Gods eternall decree, whereby he appointed what he would have done concerning every man. All are created in a like condition. But eternall life is preordained for some, eternall damnation for others. And therefore as every man is created for either end, so we say he is predesti-

Instit. 1.3. c. 21.5.5. Therefore that frivilous shift of the Schoolemen concerning prescience, is overthrown. For Paul doth not say, the ruine of the wicked is soreseen of

nated either to eternall life, or eternall death.

the Lord, but ordained by his counsell and will. Idem ad Rome, 9. 18. 2. P. Martyr. That any should be created of God, that they might periff, feems abford at first light. But the Scripture speaks it. In app. loc. com, in loc. de Pradest. 3. Polanus. Whom God predestinated to exernall destructions those he created to everna destruction. In Hoseam 13.9, 4. Bead God destind to destruction, not for corruption, or the fluits of it: but because, so it seemed good to him. de Pradest. contra Castel. pag. 416. & in Notis min. N. T. ad Rom. 2. 21. Seeing therefore that the thamp of deaph organally is fignified by the name of dishonour, they speak like. Paul, who lay home are created of God to just destruction, and they that are offended with this forme of speech, do betray their ignosange. Every man is to God, as a neaffer of clay in the hand of the Potter, are Rauhassinms; and therefore God by his abfolute foveraignty, doth make veffels of wrath, and not find them. But he should not make them, but finde them made of themsetves riffwe should say, that in his eternally counfil, he passed them by, onely as sinners; and not as mem De Pradest. & Gratia Dei. pag. 16.

tion is decreed without any regard had to lin.

Ad Summ. Rarenis ex Piscat.

Let not Master Banter except against this, and say, that Reprobation is not the same with Damnation; for it doth inevitably draw

6. Ant. Thysius, a Synodist. Reproba-

damnation after it, as is acknowledged by Festus Hommius (Scribe to the Synod) in these words;

The fruits that sollow Rejection, are,

1. The creation of the Reprobate. 2. Defertion, or withdrawing of Gods grace and

fertion, or withdrawing of Gods grace and means. 3. Blinding and hardening. 4. Perfeverance in fin. Thefaur. Catech. fol. 216.

Lastly all the Supra-lapfarians must give their votes for this opinion, who make the object of Predestination, Man considered, ei-

ther as created and not fain, or as yet not created, but possible to be created. Thus A-messus. Tis neither necessary, nor consonant to Scripture, to assign any pre-required quality in man as the formall object of Predestination, or any certain state of man, so as to

exclude the rest: for it is sufficient to understand that man is the object of this Decree, so that the difference, which is found in men, may follow from the Decree. In Medull. Theol. 1. c. 25. th. 10.

Theol. L. I. c. 25. th. 10.

And Gomarus, a Synodist. Predestination is twofold. One to Supernatural ends (which

end for which a thing is, is first in the intention of the wife.) The other unto Creation in Originall righteousnesse and other meanes. Thef. de Pradest. disput. 1604. Thef. 12. O. Thef. 13. The object of Predestination are Rationall Creatures, not as really to be faved or dammed, created, about to fall, or about to stand, about to be repaired: but as in a remote and indefinite power, are saveable, damnable, creable, fallable, repairable, &c. And upon these very grounds of Gomarus Maccovius disputes the point stifly for the Assirmative. Theol. Disput. 17. mihi pag. 59. From hence ariseth that bitter dissension, betwixt the Supralapfarians and the Sublapfarians, wherewith Grevinchovius so worthily upbraideth Smoutius in these words; Gomarus Festus and other Supralapsarians, and thy self also, if I be not deceived, do contend bitterly against Domeclock, Acronius, &c. That nothing more foolish, or more sottish can be fastened upon God, then that He should have created Man, not having first ap. pointed his end, that is to fay, the salvation or damnation of every one, or rather the shewing forth of his wrath and power, in the perdition of the Reprobates.

though at once in the accounts of eternity, yet in order of nature goes before; because the

The II. Article runs thus.

That Christ Jesus bath not suffered death for any other, but for those Elect onely; baving neither bad any intent, nor commandment of his Father to make satisfaction for the sins of the whole world.

What saith M. Baxter to this Article?

Why, A most shamelesse falshood, made, as they

say, of his fingers ends. We must Impannell an honest Jury to try this too, and, 1. That

Christ, is said, to have suffered onely for the

1. Geselius, what say you to the matter in

Elest. Call in the Witnesses under written

On the other side Acronius and the rest of

the Sublapsarians, exclaime as much against

the Supralapfarians, That nothing can be conceived more unjust, than that Man should be

reprobated and created to destruction, whilest

considered as, not yet corrupted by sin. Ab-

proof of the first Article, as to the matter

And this, I hope, is sufficient for the

sters. Calum. Smout. p. 51:

fol. 36.
2. M. Perkins, 'tis expected you should

question? They do greatly erre, that teach,

Christ died for all and every man. Specim. c.9.

what say you? The Ransome was designed by the Decree of the Father, and by the intercession and oblation of the Son, for the Elect onely-De Prædeit. p. 20. 3. Piscator, a knowing man; he will fpeak the truth, and the whole truth and nothing but the truth; That Christ died sufficiently for every one, is a false Proposition. For he died onely for the Elect, paying a most sufficient price of redemption (for them) namely his own precious blood, the blood of the Son of God, the blood of God himself. But for the Reprobate be dyed en no wise, whether sufficiently, or effectually. Contr. Schaff. Th. 209. 4. Beza, what can you say to this point, for the acquitting of Tilenus? (I fay,) Whether you consider the counsil of God, or the effect of the Passion, or both, Christ died no way for the wicked. In Thes. cam D. Fayo in Schol. Genev. disp. de dig. & effett. Sicrif. 7. C. 5. Maccovius, can you say any thing to clear the Plaintiffe from the charge that Master Baxter brings against him? For that distinction of Christs dying for All sufficiently, but not effectually, (I say) 'tis most vain and foolish. For, if you say Christ died sufficiently, because his death would have sufficed to redeem all, if God had so pleased; then by a

give in a full teltimonic for the Plaintiffe,

They that subscribe to it are to be suspetted of Pelagianisme, Socinianisme, and other filthy Herefies. Contra Ministros Campens. pag. 125. This evidence already given in, might fuffice for the whole Article: But because there is another branch, perhaps M. Baxter will expect some pregnant proof for that too; viz. That Christ neither had any intent, nor Commandment of his Father, to make satisfaction for the fins of the whole world. To evince this, take here the depositions of 1. Triglandins, a Synodist, (who saith) The passion of Christin it self is sufficient to redeem all men, yea many more--- but according to the Counsil of the Father, He died onely for the Elect, and truly faithfull, with that intent, that through faith he might make all them, and onely them, partakers of the efficacy of his passion to their salvation. Christian Moderation pag. 25. 2. Zanchy, who saith, Christ according

like reason, it might be said, that Christ hath justified All, and glorified All sufficiently, but

none effectually. Mac. distinct. c. 11. disp. 18.

Article of the Remonstrants, Concerning the

Universality of the merit of Christs Death?

6. Vogetius, what say you to the second

p.1 to. & College Difp. 12.

onely for the Elect, b. e. for those, who were to believe, according to the eternall Election. Miscel. pag. 345. in quarto. 3. Beza. I say again and professe before the whole Church of God, that it is falle, blafphemous, and wicked, to fay Christ suffered, was crucified, died and satisfied, no lesse for the sinnes of the Damned, then for the sins of Peter, Paul and all the Saints, whether in respect of Gods Counsil, or in regard of the effect. Resp. ad Cell. Mempelg. p. 221. 4. Rippertus. To say, Christ died for them that perish, is false, and accuseth God of injustice. Contra Domin. Sapma. p. 764. 5. Vogelius. If Christ tasted death for unbelievers, He drank that bitter cup in vain, or else unbelievers must taste eternall death twice, contrary to Gods justice, to the dignity of Christs death, and to possibility. ubi supra. p. 133. 6. Maccovini. If Christ died for all, then he was a furety and ransome for all, even for those that perish everlastingly. And this will brand God with injustice, for taking a twofold punishment for the same offences, when the first satisfaction might have sufficed. Ubi Supra pag. 35.

go the purpose of the Father, was born, gray-

ed, suffered, dyed, rose again, and sitteth at the right band of the Father interceding

Christs death, and yet they are bound to believe in him. In Resp. ad Duplic. Vorstii.c.7. pag. 66. The third Article of Tilenus. That by Adams fall his Posterity lost their freewill, being put to an unavoidable Necessity to do, or not to do, what soever they do, or da not, whether it be good, or evill; being thereunto Predestinate by the eternall and est. fectuall secret Dearee of Gad. What saich M. Baxter to this Article & Unworthy falsification still! I see it will be a hard matter for Tilenus to gain M. Baxter's favous,

when he cannot escape his censure; but the

best on't is, rather then his reputation shall

7. D. Damman, Scribe to the Synod, speaks

to the same purpose. It is repugnant to Gods justice, that he should constitute Christ to

bear the fins of all men, and make full satisfa-

Ction for them, and yet ordain some men to bear their own sins, in their own persons, and

so make satisfaction for them themselves; then

he should punish one sin twice, that is to say,

both in his Son, and in them that perish,

Piscator shall shut up this Scene; The Re-

probate are plainly excluded from the merit of

Consens. p. 63.

stand branded, with those markes of Infamy, which M. Baxters blackest inke hath indeavoured to imprint upon it, many of M. Baxter's Reverend and (in his opinion) Orthodox friends, are ready to be his compurgators. I was about to fummon M. Calvin, in the head of these, but I find him stumbling at the threshold; and taking exceptions at the very Preface of the Article, which chargeth his Adherents and followers, to hold, That by Adams fall bis Posterity lost their freewill; For he will not acknowledge such a Freewill in Adam himself, whereby he might have stood; witnesse these words of his to Castellio; Thou saist, Adam fell by his free will: I except against it; That he might not fall, he stood in need of that strength and constancy, wherewith God armeth the Elect, while he will keep them blamelesse. Whom God hath elected, he props up with an invincible power, unto perseverance. Why did he not afford this to Adam, if he would have had him stood in his integrity? Ad Calum. Nebul. Ad Artic. 2. And Maccovius. However Adam fell Neoeffarily, in regard of the immurability of the Divine Decree; yet he fell not by compulsion, but of his own accord. (Non coacte, sed sponté.) Coll. Disp. disp. 16. pag. 54. If the Calvinist's put Adam himself under hold, That the Posterity of Adam (having lest their free will in his fall) are put under an avoidable Nevessity to do good, or evill; And therefore to clear Tilenus, that He may still carry the Reputation of a True man, I'te offer the Certificates of his Compute fators; and First they shall corrise to the unavoidable Neceffity of doing good; as, 1. Starmin, whose Certificate on the bebalf of Tildnus runs thus; The Elect are not onely Predestinated to the end, but also to the means that lead to that end; and therefore as they are necessarily saved at last, in regard of the immutability of Election; So in regard of the stability thereof, they do necessarily alfo embrace the means, by which they are conducted tothat end. De Pradest. Th. 10. 2. Zanchy. Whosoever are predestinated to the end, they are also predestinated to those means, without which that end is not to be attained. And therefore as the Elect do necessarily arrive at the end at last, in regard of the stedfastnesse of Election; so in regard of

such an unavoidable Nécessity, to do, or not to do, as an immutable Decree had determined

him; 'Iis strange any of them should give

Tilenus the Lie, for affirming it, to be their opinion conterning all men elle. And yet Tile-

nus stands accused by M. Baxter, of an Un

worthy falssication; for affirming, that they

when it begins (the conversion or regeneration of man; and they hold that work irresistible) fuch it is also, when it goes forward. In parcific, pag. 172. 4. Dentecleck: As many as were predestinated by Godunto salvation before the creation of the world, (that the purpose of Election, may remain sure,) they are by the power of God led to it, so certainly and infallibly, that it is impossible that they should sinally perish. Institt de Pradest, pag, 93. But can Tilenus bring any competent number of Orthodox Calvinists to Certific touching the Unavoidable Necessity of doing evil? For the other branch seems so plausible that few, of them, will stick to subscribe to it-But for this branch, who appears to make Tilenus his charge good? I. Zanchy. We grant that by this ordi-

the same stedfastnesse, it is necessary they should be led and walk by the means ordained

to that end. De Nat. Dei lib. De Pradest.-

Santt. quaft: 5. & lib. 5. c. 2. q. 4. So it comes to passe, that our Will cannot but will good,

3. Cornel. Dungan. Such as the operation

of grace is in the beginning, such is it also in the progresse. If it be resistible or irresistible,

because 'tis so inclined of God.

there is incumbent upon the Reprobate by their immutable Reprobation, a necessity of finning, and that unto death, without repentance, and for that of suffering eternall pains. 2. Pissator, when Vorstins urgeth him, how unworthy the Majesty of God it is, to make an absolute Decree, whereby a man should be destinated to a necessity of sinning: To this he answers; Indeed humane Reason judgeth so: but the word of God saith otherwife. And again; These sayings are not therefore false and blasphemous, because humane reason is offended with them. Ad A. mic. Coll. Vorstii. Nat. 6. & 8. mihi pag. 157. & Resp. ad Duplic. Verstin par. 1. pag. 223. When God does necessitate man to sin, that he may punish him for sip, he doth justly, because he hath power to govern man as he will. & Resp. ad Apologer. Bertii. pag. 144. All things are done by the Degree of God, therefore all things are done of necessity. For whatsoever God hath decreed, that comes to passe necessarily, because it cannot not come

nation of God, the Reprobate are constrained by a necessity of sinning, and thereby of perishing also, and so constrained that they

cannot choose but sin and perish. De Nat.

Dei, lib. 5, c. 2, de Reprob. q.2. and soon after, We doubt not therefore to acknowledge, that

our being. 3. Fred. Broukerns, (faith) All things come to passe by an infallible, and an inevitable necessity. Antidot. fol. 50. 4. Sturmius. Upon the privation of Grace there follows a twofold necessity, one of sinning, another of perishing. For the Reprobate being destitute of Gods grate, and left to their own nature, as they cannot but fin, fo they cannot but perifh: unto which double necessity the reprobates are predestinated. De Pradest. Thef. 22. That this unavoidable necessity to do, or not to do good or evill, is (according to the Dectrine of the Calvinists) from Gods eternall pradesimation, and effectuall secret decree, appears sufficiently by the Testimonies already alledged, to which I will adde but two or three. a. Nicafus Vander Shure. The end for which God doth elect and reprobate, in re-

to passe. And therefore I was betrayed Christ necessarily, nor could his will to betray be

changed in him; because he betrayed Christ

by the determinate counsell and fore-decree

of God. Also that willing of Judas was the work of God, in as much as it was moved of

God; for by him we live, move, and have

wife predestinated, that they might walk in them, the elect in faith and good works, and the reprobate in unbelief and evill works: pag. 20. 2. Seurmius. Hence it therefore followeth, that the elect are diligent in all good works: because they are no lesse predestinated unto the means then unto the end. But the reprobate omit all care of doing good, because they are rejected from this grace of the meanes, no lesse then from the end it self. De Pradest. in explic. Thes. 1. From this unavoidable Nesessity &c. some of the Calvinifts have drawn these Corollaries (which they maintain to follow by undeniable Consequence from that Doctrine; PIZ. I. That man can done more good then he doth: Omit no more evill then he omitteth.

spect of man, is, that the elect might be saved, the reprobate damned but every one

according to the means which God hath like-

Piscator. Although God simply and precisely wills not that man should do any more good then he doth, or that he should omic any more evil then he omitteth, yet he cannot therefore be reproved of envy, or iniquity, or of any other vice. Adamicam Duplicationem Vorstii. pag. 177. And

it be manifest to us, in the general and indefinitely, or at least it may be manifest to us by Gods word, that we can neither do more good then we do, nor omit more evill then we do omit; because God hath precisely decreed from all eternity, that both shall so come to passe; yet because 'tis not manifest to us in specie, definitely and in special manner, how much God hath decreed, that we should doe or omit, we cannot be justly accounted foolish if we do perpetually endeavour, to do more good then we do, and likewife to omit more evillthen we omit. And Pag. 228. Although it be Fatally appointed, when, and how, and how much every one of us ought to practife piety, or not to practife it, yet the daily meditation of Gods precepts is not undertaken in vaine. And to second Piscator in this opinion, Maccovius doth most fiercely maintain it in a Disputation of nine or ten pages long. Col. leg: Disput, Miscel. Quaft. Disp. 2., (mibi) a pag. 410, usque ad 419. And whether the contagion of this opinion had not laid hold upon some of the Synod, we shall examine hereafter.

And because this is a very great temptation

and incouragement to carelefnesse; therefore

he could not for shame but insert this much

by way of caution. (pag. 176.) Although

A Second Corollary, drawn by others from that Unavoidable Necessity fore-mentioned, is this:

That all zeale and endeavour after Salvation, before the Gift of faith, and Spirit of Renovation conferred upon us, is of no effect.

So faith

Donteslock. We conclude therefore, that all the care, study and diligence, which men can use to promote their salvation is vain and to no purpose, rather hurtfull then profitable,

before faith and the spirit of renovation. But

after faith and conversion they are clearly necessary and profitable. Adversus Castell. p. 171. Note here by the way, that his opinion is, That Faith and the Spirit of Renovation are never given to the Non-Elest. The Reprobates are not called effectually; whence it comes to passe, that they can neither convert themselves nor believe. In Dialoga super Translatis Thes. Gomari & Armini: quatern. C.

The IV. Article of Tilenus.

Mass, doth beget faith in them by a power equall to that, whereby he created the world; and raised up the dead, insommen that such unto whom he gives that Grace, cannot re-

That God to save his Elect from the corrupt

ject it; and the rest being Reprobate cannot accept of it, &c.

How doth this Article come off with

M. Baxter? He tells Tilenus [you wrong them in feigning them simply to lay, that those to whom God gives grace cannot reject it.] Because M. Baxter is grown so Gentle, I shall (for his satisfaction) acquaint him out of what Poets Tilenus borrowed this Fiction.

1. Calvin. God moves the will, not after

that manner, as hath been believed and deli-

vered for many ages, that it should be at our choice to obey or resist the motion. But efficaciously effecting it. Therefore that so often repeated by Chrysostome is to be rejected. Whom he draws, he draws being Willing: whereby he instinuates, that God reaching forth his hand, doth expect whether we will make use of his assistance. Infit. lib. 2.c.

3, Sect. 10.

Geselius, Man is regenerated, and renewed by the omnipotent power of God, creating him anew. In Specim. cap. 4. sol. 17. Fol. 73.

They who are called unto salvation, out-

wardly, and inwardly, according to Gods purpose, they cannot but believe in Christ, and convert themselves; that is, this calling worketh irresistibly and invincibly, not onely upon their understanding and assections, but also upon their will.

3. Smoutius. We will never lay, that God determined to fave believers onely by a gentle suasion, and traction, agreeable to their wils: much lesse will we deny, that he draws them by an omnipotent operation, which they neither will, nor can, nor can will to resist. Concord. p. 9. Prafat.

4. Contra-Remonstrantes. As no man is able to hinder his own birth, or his resurrection from the dead: So no man is able to hinder the working of Gods Grace, when he will regenerate, and raise him up from the spiritual death. Collat. Hag. pag. 207.

5. Donteclock. We determine the Grace

of the Holy Spirit to be such an efficacious cperation, that men, in whom God is pleased
to work it, cannot resist it. For it is as a spiritual regeneration, 'tis the renovation of the
understanding, will, and all humane powers, 'tis
as a spiritual raising from the dead, by which
is taken away whatsoever, in man, is able to
resist the Holy Spirit, or hinder it, that it
should not, in its time, work conversion and
saith in the Elect: So that it depend's not at
all on the will of man, but onely on the will and
power of God. Adscriptum cujusdam Ananymi. lit. B. 1, versa.

6. Doctor

6. D. Damman. God worketh effectually in none but in the Elect, and therefore Grace is rightly said to be irresistible. Consensus, pag. 82.

7. Triglandius. They that are converted cannot hinder the taking away of their resistence. Apolog. 274.

8. Gomarus, a Creabilitarian Synodist: Whether is this Grace conferred by an irresifible and efficacious operation of God, so that the will of him who is regenerated hath no ability to resist it, as tis said 2 Chron. 20. 6. In thy hand is strength and power, and

there is nonethat can resist thee? I do believe it, and professe that it is so. In sua Declaratione. pag. 20.

For the honour of the Synod Johannes Bogermmannus, their President, shall have the casting voice in this particular. Grotius citing S. Chrysostome, si your xaris. If it be grace,

may some say, why are we not all saved? Because ye will not. For Grace though it be Grace, saves none but the willing, not such as do daily strive against it. And upon that of S. John. None can come to me except the Father draw him. In the word έλκυση, draweth, is denoted Help, saith He, (Βούθειαν), nay δυναμιν, saith Bogerman, & quidem υπευβα.

λην της δωναμεως, that exceeding power, whereby he causeth light to shine out of dark-

nesse. Ad Script. H. Grotis, part. 2. Annot. Not. 87. (mihi) pag. 147. And thus I hope, the Reader will be satisfied touching the matter of Fillion, impu-

ted to Tilenus by M. Baxter. But the feverer part of M. Baxters centure is yet behind, touching the other branch of this Article; For here he tells Tilenus roundly, You standerously say, that the Synod saith, the Reprobates cannot accept it. I am glad to find M. Baxter let fall this expression;

because I hope, I may conclude from hence, that, in his opinion, this Doctrine is erroneous, and of evill consequence. But if any of the Adherents of the Synod professe themselves to be of this judgement, Tilenus is like to overthrow M. Baxter upon the Action of Slander, what ever losse and dammages he recovers of him. Let us put it to a Verdict of a Jury of good men and true, whose Foreman shall be Peter Martyr. The (divine) calling is extended unto some, that cannot be moved to a capacity to receive it, who are therefore said

to be called, but not chosen. In Append. loc. com. pag. 980. 2. Nusculus. As the Elect being called in their time, do believe, repent, are justified and faved, neither can they fall from falvation: fo the reprobate can neither obey Gods call, nor repent, nor believe, nor be justified, nor be faved. Loc. com. de Reprob. 472.

3. Zanchy. There follows Reprobation the deniall of grace, this is attended with finne.

3. Zanchy. There follows Reprobation the deniall of grace, this is attended with sinne, and sinne with punishment, unto all which God hath preordained the Reprobates from all eternity. Lib. de Nat. Dei. c. 2. de Pradest. Wherefore it is rightly said, that the Reprobates are preordained and predestinated to a perpetual destitution of grace. ibid. pag. 721. in quarto.

lieve; for faith is proper to the Elect. Disput. (1604) de Prad. thes. 32.

3. Triglandius. Unbelief flowes from Re-

4. Gomarus. The Reprobates carmot be-

probation. For the Reprobates cannot attain to faith by Nature; and God hath not decreed to give them faith. Defens. pag. 140.

6. Lastly, D. Damman. We grant that the Non-Elect, neither do, nor can believe, nor persevere in the faith. Ds persever. pag. 86. Item in Concord. Remenstr. pag. 44. Unbelief flowes from Reprobation; for the Reprobates cannot attain unto faith by nature, and God hath not decreed to give them faith.

The fift Article of Tilenus.

That such as have once received that Grace by Faith, can never fall from it sinally, or totally, notwithstanding the most enormous sins they can commit. How doth M. Baxter resent this Article? Why, 'tis seigned, and (Tilenns) his own abusive language. One would think by these expressions, that the man did discipling the Doctrine. But alas! 'tis onely a copy of his countenance (as shall appeare afterwards;) for the Calvinists do constantly maintain, Such as have once received that Grace, can never fall from it, sinally or totally; Witnesse,

shed, so likewise 'tis impossible that saith, which he hath once imprinted upon the hearts of the Godly, should be lost and perish. In Comment in Mat. 13 20. & in Comment. ad Hebr. 6.5. The Blest are out of danger of deadly sin.

1. Calvin. As the Spirit is never extingul-

2. Donteclock. The Elect cannot finally fall from faith. Instit. de Pradest. pag. 101.

3. Mehnius. Justifying faith can never be lost, because it is peremptorily given to the hithfull, in perpetuum. In Anchor: Animarum pag, 107,

4. Whitaker. This is that justifying faith with its necessary fruits which we maintain, that we can never wholly lofe. In cygn. Cant. 20. 5. Piscator. It is impossible true believers should fall from the faith, the Decree and federall promise of God withstanding it. In Resp. ad Duplic. Vorstii. pag. 246. & pag. 326. The naturall infirmity of the flesh, whereby they may lose faith, is so restrained and hindered by the absolute and effectuall decree of God, that it cannot break forth into act. Et pag. 238. There is a fatall necessity of the perseverance of the faithfull, because it depends upon Gods absolute Decree. 6. Contra-Remonstrantes. They who have once believed, have no need to feare perdition.

In Collat. Hagi. p. 32.

7. Gomarus. They who have received the gifts of faith and charity,—— though in respect of their humane frailty 'tis possible they may totally lose them, yet in respect of the will of God, and his gracious Conservation by his Spirit, 'tis impossible. In Declar. Jontentia sua. pag. 33.

8. D. Damman. The Elect can never fall totally nor finally. De Persever. pag. 169. & pag. 27. We know though the Spirit may be grieved in the faithfull, yet can he not be totally excussed or quenched.

9, Thy sim. But what is this to the Elect, who though they do fall, yet they cannot but be renewed? Ad Sum. Baron, pag-73. And because M. Baxter calls that addition notwithstanding the most enormous sinsthey can commit a perverse infinuation; Behold: the Authors of it are I Contra-Remonstrants. It is not time, that they who may fall into grievous finnes, and committhe works of the flesh, may fall wholly from the faith. In Coll. Hag. in 5. Arric. 2. Zanchy. Though by their grievous sins they may trouble the spirit, and weaken faith: yet the Spirit doth not wholly depart from them, nor is faith wholly extinguished. L. Miscel. in depuls. Calum. pag. 305. 3. Rennercherus. Those whom God hath once received into favour, their sin and guilt being abolished, them he preserves in his grace as just persons, so that they cannot fall from grace and perish through any sins, because they are and remain pardoned in them. In Catena. cap. 27. 4. Piscator. The tenth head of Doctrine objected to our Divines is, That the Regenerate cannot lose their faith through any heynous sins. But this is the Doctrine

they fall into all the fins that Solomon committed, they are alwayes converted before the day of death. In Anthor. Anim. p. 125. 6. Perkins. The foundation of our salvation is laid in the eternall Election of God, so that a thousand sins, yea, the sins of the whole world, and all the Devils that are in hell, can never make void God's election. It may come to passe, that fins may harden our hearts, and weaken our faith, and grieve the Spirit of God in us, but they cannot take away faith, nor quite excusse the Holy Spirit. God dorh not condemn any man for finne, whom he hath adopted into the number of his children in Christ Jesus. In dialogo de statu homin. pag 44. 7. D. Damman. The Regenerate heaping up many fins cannot proceed fo far, as to excussethe Spirit of grace utterly through an universall Apostasse. Et mox; Because this seed of God cannot be ejected but onely by sinne, therefore the Regenerate cannot eject it. De

John teacheth. Contra Schaff, pag. 12. 5. Mehmins. The sonnes of God though

The Regenerate when he sins against conscience, he retains so much grace, and hath so much of Gods favour, that he cannot but rise

perseverant. pag. 33. Capag. 20. If none can

pluck them but of Christ or his fathers hands;

question is whether the Elect can alwayes goe on in sinne: and pag. 144. The decree of Election doth imprint upon man and his affections an inevitable necessity both of believing, and persevering: and therefore we think the righteous do alwayes persevere, and cannot but persevere, pag. 146, and therefore he concludes, they need not consult about their perseverance; nor feare falling from grace, pag. 123. Thus we see the matter of fact is made evident throughout every one of the Five Articles, and I hope this is more then abundantly sufficient to clear Tilenes from the guilt of the forgery, unworthy falfification, and perverse infinuations, which M. Baxter hath laid to his charge. But Master In Prafat.
Baxter will be ready to object; you know that the Synod of Dort owneth none of these: and it is that Synod that is the Test of the Calvinists Anti-Arminianisme. How far the Synodowns these Doctrines we shall ex. amine anon. In the interim M. Baxter must not think to escape by telling us, That Synod is the Test of the Calvinists Anti-Arminianism;

again. Item pag. 193. To the objection of Bertius: It follows, that if the Elect cannot die

in mortalisins, then if they alwayes go on in mortalisin, they shall never die. To this Do-

ttor Danman answers, I grant it., But the

Arminian Cause and Party; and in this the Synodifts agreed toge-+ Adeo facile coeunt, qui ther. + Supralapsarians in fatalitatem ubsolutam of all forts, as well as rantu consentiunt. AnDeus Sublapiarians, conspired ex parte und flatuatur infipiens, ex altera injustus, in this. But it is the Test fusque deque babent: Salof their Calvinisme that vo tantum fato, Syncrewe are to bring them to; rismus Orthodoxus con. And where shall we find star. Hoc qui non admittit, etiamfi non nist vesuch a Test, as will secure rissima dicat, in spongiam us of the fincerity of incumbat, of exalbo Orthese mens judgements? thodoxorum deleasur, ne. Calvin himself is not cesse eft: Absalutum Decretum, id est, fatum, such a Test; He someteffera eft, ex qua dignotimes personates the feitur, an quis sit Ortho-Sublaisarian, as the Sydoxus etiamfi id dicat unnod of Dort hath drest de necessario consequitur, Deum effe insipientem him up; Otherwhiles flulum. mustum, Tyranhe Acts the part of a no quotis crudeliorum, Supralapfarian, as he is peccati Authorem & si brought upon the stage qua alia ejus generis blaf. phema sunt. Exam. Cens. p. 63. b. sive by the Remonstrants: b And Beza treads in the Apol. pro Confes. Revery footsteps of his monfirant. Master in this Art of * Act. Synod, ed.in fol. 1. párt.p. 203. m. double dealing, as will b Apolog. pro Confel. plainly appeare to any Remonstr.p. 64. 65.

For that is not in question. Every one may observe, that the Project which that Synod did

drive at and carried on was, to cry down the

sppears that there was a great deale of wash and Fuews, of daub- Deus bone! Vidimus ing and paintry used, at atque experiundo didicithe drawing up the Camus,quanta illi arte,quannons touching the seveto Sudio fententiam fuim rall Articles, to make incrustare, tegere se calare femper consti fuerint. them look of the same bodiequé adbuc conencomplexion: but if we tur. Vin credo bumanem examine the Doctors, as industriam comministiplic ra posse, quam comments Daniel did his Elders asunt itti mortales, ut, fenpart, we shall finde their tentia ipsorum, à sententie opinions to stand at push Supralapfariorum differopike one against anore non wideretur. ibid. ther. For instance. If you would inquire, Whether the Election be necessarily made out of the Corrupt Masse, some of those Divines will tell you it is, and seme, as positively; affirm it is not. That the Decree of Blection is of certain men, out of mankind fallen into fin and lost, is collected out of Rom. 9. 15,16. I will have mercy upon whom I will have mercy, and verse 23. The vestes mercy prepared unto glory, and verse 22. the Reprobates are called vesfels of wrath. But the wrath of God towards

that shalk for his satisfaction) confule the Re-

monstrants Apology cited in the Margin. Shall

we take the Synod of Dore, upon M. Baxter's bate word, for such a Test? he that would not

be deceived must learn to distrust. Indeed it

men doth preluppole their fin. Rom. 1.18) The wrath of God is revealed from heaven, against the ungodlinesse and unrighteousnesse of men. Alfo Eph. 1, we are faid to besele Ged in Christy that we might be holy. Also, we are faid to be predestinated unto the adoption of children by Jesus Christ; which cannor be said but with respect to sin. The Bela gick Professors. Alt. Synod. Dons. Part. 3. I Alexander And the Divines of Zeeland, ibidi pag. 43. That Election is made out of mankind fallen, is proved out of Rom. 9.15, 16. where the purpose of Election is called, Having merer, and vers.23. the Elect are called vessels of Mercy. Now mercy supposeth misery, Rom. 11.32. God hath shot up all in unbelief, that he might have merey upon all : and 2 Tim. 1.9. He hath called us according to his purpife and grace Go. That mercy given to us in Christdoth presuppose sin, and shew as the remedyloffie, and love my but to make But the Deputies of the Synodof South Holland are of another judgement, which pag. 34. f. Whether in his election God confidered man as faln, or not faln, they think it not necessary to determine, to that it be concluded, that God confidered all men in a like state in his election; that the Bleckwere no better then the Non-elect, whether in them-

Drent Divines say, that Christ is the foundation of Election, not as he is God, nor as he is man, but as he is God-man, our head and eternal Redeemer, by whom we are saved: because he, by his merit, hath procured the grace of God for us, and by his spirit he effecteth faith in us. Eph. 1. 4, 5, 6. Art. Syn. Dord. part. 3. pag. 80. f. Thes. 8. And the Has. sien Divines to the same purpose, ibid. part. 2. pag. 25.

But Pet. Molin. saith otherwise, ibid. part.

selves, or in Gods gracious estimation. And

and therefore he profest in the open Synod,

that he could not approve of the judgement of the foure Belgick Professors, concerning

the object of Predestination; because he

thought God did confider manas not faln, in-

his predestination of him. Ut sapra in Sess.

107. part. 1. Whereupon he set down his own

judgement apart by it felf; wherein he makes

mankind simply considered the object of the

II. If you inquire whether Christ be the

foundation of Election; you will finde them

divided in their judgement here too. The

Decree. part. 3. pag. 21.

Gomarus is most positive in this opinion:

1. pag. 290. m. Christas he is man and the mediator, he is

Question, [whether Christ be the Foundation of Election?] which is negative. III. If you inquire, whether the elect be beloved out of Christ; they are at odds here too, for some of them say, When we affirme that the love of the Father whereby he chose us, goes in order before the intercession of the Son, our meaning is not, that the elect are beloved of God, out of Christ; For though the love of the Father went before the sending of his Son, yet he never loved us but in consideration of his Son, neither would he ever confer any bene-

fit upon the elect, but in and through his Son.

them, who teach, that Christ neither could nor

Yet the Synod rejects it as an errour in

Pet. Molin. ubi supra.

head of the elect, but not the cause of election; seeing he himself as he is man is elect. He

is the meritorious cause of our salvation, and

our Ransome. But of two alike finfull, he is

not the cause why the one is preferred before the other. The Cause is to be sought in Gods

beneplaciture and free love, which in order

goes before the intercession of the Son. For

the Father sent the Son and gave him to be

the Redeemer. This is his Answer to that

253 parnets All Syna Dord wish a not ជាសារ បាន បានស្ថាន ខេត្ត ខេត្ត IV. If you inquire, whether Reprobation hath respect, onely; or not at all, to the fall of Mankinde; Theyrun division likewise upon this Articles for some of them say, it hath, and others as confidently averre that it hathnot South Middle ... the line timble white Sibrandus Lubbert wofaith, Wedo not teach that God by his absolute will and decret, without any respect to sin, herbs ordained any to damnation. But we fay, God would declare his inflica in theid annation of the Reptobate; and therefore he would mot appoint any to damnation but for fin. Att. Syn. Dord. parts 3. pag. 14. .. And the Divines of Great Britaine lay, Reprobation or Non-election is Gods'eternall decree, whereby, for his own most free good pleasure, he determined not to have mercy upon some persons faln in Adam, so farre forth, as to deliver them effectually, from the state of misery, by Christ, and bring them infallibly unto bleffednesse. De Reprobe The f. I pag. IL part. 2... But Gomarus saith, God had no respect at all to fin, as going before it, in the Decree of

ought to die for those, whom Ged dearly loved:

and chose unto eternall life, seeing such stood in

no need of Christs deash. Cap. 2. Reject. 7. pay.

tion of his avenging justice, he determined to give neither grace nor glory to certain men, out of univerfall manking, but to fuffer them freely to fall into fin, and to leave them in their sine, and at last justly to condemn them, for their finnes. ibid. part. 3. pag. 24. These Mid their Deputies of the Synod of South Holland to the same sense, making mankind in generall, not confidered as fallen, and in the corrupt malle, the object of the Decree of Election and Reprobation. ibid. pag. 35. p. a line Burn , 18 to the V. If you inquire concerning the AC of Reprobation: whether it be Negative onely, or Negative and Affirmative also; The Synodists are not all of one minde in this point menther. For the Hassien Divines say, The Divines of the Reformed Churches do think, we must accurately distinguish between the two Acts of Reprobation, whereof one is negative, viz. The purpose of God not to have mercy, or preserition: The other affirmative, viz. his purpole to damn, or ordination to destruction as a just punishment. Alt. Syn. Dor. pag. 33. part. 21. And the Churches of Wedderav. There are

Reprobation. For, saith He, Peremptory Reprobation is the Decree of God, whereby,

for his own most free pleasure, to the declara-

Non-election, and Damnation, or preparation of punishment. ibid. pag. 40. Thes. 2. item pag. 45. th. 2. But the Divines of Great Britain were of another opinion. For they say, The proper acts of reprobation as it is opposed to election, we think to be no other, then the deniall of the same glory and the same grace, which are prepared for the children of God in election. And in the Decree of clection, are prepared, for them, Glory and effectuall Grace, and with that intention, that it should be effectuall: that is, that by fuch grace, they may be brought, infallibly, to the faid Glory. That such Grace and Glory is prepared for the Reprobates, we deny. ibid. pag. 11. 4. 978 These differences we observe amongst them in matters that relate to Tilenus his first Acticle. So in reference to the Socond Article; If you inquire, I. Whether Christ hath dyed for All, or onely for the Elect; you will findethem (whatever they feem to say in the full Synod) according to their Chamber Practice, to contradict one another; For the Divines of Great Britain do determine, That, God, pitying mankind, falo, sent his Son, who gave himself a price of Redemption (or

two acts of Reprobation; Preterition, or

Atta Syn. Dor. pag. 78. Thef. 3. part. 2. Martinius giving in his Suffrage, upon this Article, doth resolve thus. There is a certain Philanthropy of God, whereby he loves all mankinde fal'n, and feriously would have them all to be saved. ibid. pag. 103. Thef. 1. & Th. 8. If this Redemption be not supposed as a common benefit bestowed upon all: that indifferent and promiseuous preaching of the Golpell, committed to the Apostles, to be performed amongst All nations, will have no true foundation. (Et thef.9.) And seeing we abhorre to lay this; it is to be considered, how much they speak against most clear and known principles, who, at their pleasure, do plainly deny, that Christidied for all men Thes 10. Neither will it satisfie to propose such a sufficiency, as might be enough; but such as is altogether enough in God's and Christ's account. For otherwile the command and promile of the Gospell will be overthrown, For (Theffire.) from a benefit, that is Sufficient indeed, but not designed for me by a true intention, how can there be deduced a mecessity of my believing it, to belong unto mer And Thef. 26 he gives the chief Reasons which induced him to be of this opinion, which are three. s. That the Scriptures might be reconciled without wresting. a, That

Ransome) for the sins of the wholeworld.

and justice, in the commands, promises and threatnings of the Gospell, might be preserved; lest by these God should be thought to will and do something otherwise then the words signific.

3. That it may be manifest, that the blame of the destruction of the wicked may be in

3. That it may be manifelt, that the blame of the destruction of the wicked may be in shemselves, not in the desect of a remedy, by which they might be saved. Thus Martinisms sent to the Synod from Brense, Alt. Syn. Dord. part. 2. pag. 104. Go.

And Ludovicus Croccus another of the Bre-

mish Divines, sets down his opinion some-

what to the same purpose, though not so

well, or so fully (as M. Baxter doth intimate)
See ibid. pag. 117. This 2.3.

But the Divines of the Palatinete were of
another judgement; for they say; That the
generall love of God to sinners is remarkable. But that Love is more excellent, which
moved God to give his Son to save its from

our fins. This is not generall but specialknot

common to all and every man, but proper to

And the Divines of Geneva, to the same purpose; Christ, out of the Fathers good pleasure merely, was destined and given to be Mediator and Head to a certain number of men, constituting his body Mysticall; by

For these, Christ, who best understood his own office, would and decreed to die, and to adde the infinite price of his death, a singular and most effectual intention of his will. And Iselburg saith, Christ died, or laid down his life, for all and every one of his elect sheep or Faithfull, and in their stead, and for their good onely. Ibid. pag. 111. The . 3. And the Ministers of Emden say, Christ according to the intention, counsil and decree of his Father, died onely for the Elect. Ibid. pag. 119. 9.4. The Belgic Professors say, If you consider the proper end, and the fingular and faving efficacie of Christ's death, we affirm that, according to his Fathers and his own counfil, Jesus Christ died, not for the Reprobates and those that perish, but onely for the Elect, and those that do believe. Ast. Syn. Dor. part. 3. pag. 88. The Brethren of North-Holland say, The Scripture faith Christ died for All, that is, for All the Elect out of all forts of men. Ibid. p. 107. Op. 108. They say, That of the Remonstrants is false, that the intention of the Father, delivering his Son to death, and of the Son in undergoing death, was, that by the same he might save all and every one, though

Gods election. Thef. 1. pag. 100. & Thef. 2.

The Brethren of Zealand offer these Arguments (such as they are) against Christ's death for all, ibid. pag. Dea. These of 3. If Christpaid a price of Redemption for all and every man, then All and every one ought to be saved, and none to perish.

through the fault of many of them the mat-

ter happens otherwise.

But this is false &c.

But this is false &c.

If reconciliation with God, and remission of sins be impercated for all and every man.

of fins be imperrated for all and every man, then the word of reconciliation is also to be preached to all and every one. But the Con-

fequent is false. Ergo.

The Deputies of the Synod of Graningen

ther's intention, delivering his Son to death, and the Lon's, in suffering it, reconciliation with God and remission of his isobtained onely for the elect. I hid. p. 138.

The Deputies of the Swood of Golland Leise

The Deputies of the Synod of Galle-Relgia fay, That according to the Scripture. Christ really died for none, but helievers. And the will of the Father in lending his Son, and of the Son in dying was no other. Pag. 151.

The Deputies of the Synod of Gelderland, shall conclude this part of the contradiction; and the Reader shall have their very Syllo-

gifmes, that he may learn Logick with his Di-

Whosoever God calls to salvation, pupchased by the death of Christ, for them Christ died. But God salls not every man to selvation purchased by the death of Christ. Therefore Christ dyednot for all 101 Whomfoever Gdd tommands to believe in Christ crueisted, for them Christ dyed. God. does not command every man to believe in Christ crucified. Ergo. shid. pag. 93. II. If we inquire whethen the Imperation of Reconciliation be to be separated from the Application of it, we find them at Boy's play, at Heads and Hollies, here too, for some are for the Affirmative; as Marinius. That external Calling doth necessity requires as going before it, these things the promise and fending of the Son, and the paying of a Ranfome to take away. fin, and God lo appealed, that he requires no other facrifice of any man for fin, being cons teat with that most full one (of Christs,) and that there be no deted of any other morit, or fatisfaction, for manisteconciliation, lo that (which ought to be done in all remedies) there be an application of the medicine. Athe Syn. Dord. part. 2. p. 104. Thes. 7. & thes. 23. Christ hathemorised for all God's faxour, to be really obtained, if they do believe, and for by Gods favour righteousnesse and life. (and Thes. 24.) This his favour God commonly

order it shall be published, to whom he pleales, and especially by that means it is effectuall, in whom, when, and how far he pleases: & Thef. 25. Hence it is manifest, that the merit or impetration, and the application, are and are not, of an equall latitude, in a diverse reipect. Ludovicus Crocius is of the fame judgement too. Vid. ibid. pag. 117. Thef. 2, But these two great lights of the Church of Breme (as far as I can observe, for the prefent) are eclipsed by the Negative Suffrages of all the rest of that Synod. But first let us heare the judgement of Peter Moulin, inferted amongst the Acts of the Synod, Part. 1. pag. 292. The Sectories, saith He, pretend, that Christ by his death, hath impetrated reconciliation and remission of fins for all and every man: which is prest with so many Inconveniences, faith He, and draws to many wicked abfurdities after it, that it is a wonder, they can strive against it. Et paulo post God is manifestly illuded by this Doctrine: and after, Thus God is not onely illuded, but

declareth by his Gospel: which notwithstanding (because he keeps the merits of his Son in his own power, as being given and pro-

pounded, not by us, but by himselfe) he takes

The Divines of the Palatinate say, That, it is an evident errour in the Remonstrants, that they do divide and sever the impetration, and application of the benefits of Christ, according to severall objects: and not judge them to be applyed to all those for whom they are impetrated. Act. Syn. Dord. part. 2. pag. 87. To the same purpose, the Helvetians, pag. 94. thef. 3. and they in the Name of the Churches of Wedderav. pag. 97. thes. 2. So the Divines of Geneva, pag. 103. thes. 7,8. And Iselburg contradicts his brethren before mentioned; for he saith, Whomsoever Christ bath reconciled to his Father by his death, to all them and to them onely, be doth apply, truly s certainly and absolutely, that impetrated reconciliation with God, and the remission of all their fine, ibid.pag. 113. thef. g. See also Thef. 4, 6, 7, 8. So the Ministers of Embden. pag. 119. quaft. 5. And amongst the Provinciall Divines, fee to this sense pag. 105. p. 109. m. 113.m. Gc. 134, 135, 137. f. 140. Tbes. 3, 4. 145. p. p. 191. Thef. 3. (Part. 3.) in solio-III. If you icquire of those Divines whether all that heare the Holy Gospell be com-

He himself is seigned to illude mankind. And, in short, he concludes, that this impetration

(as distinguished from the Application) is

vain and ridiculous.

manded to believe in Christ, or no; they are not agreed in this, (which is one of the chief) fundamentall Articles; They are yea and nay here too. For the Synod faith, (Cap. 2. Art. 5.) It is the promise of the Gospell, That who socver believes in Christ Crucified, should not perish, but have life everlasting: which promise together with the injunction of repentance and faith, ought promiseuously and without distinction, to be declared and published to all men and people, to whom God in his good pleasure sends the Gospel. Act. Syn. Dord. part. 1. pag. 252. But P. Moulin was of another judgement (ibid. 294.) The Sectaries, saith he, are alwayes up with this Argument; What all are bound to believe, is true. But that Christ dyed for them, all are bound to believe. Therefore, it is true. The Minor of the Syllogisms is falle; saith D. Moulin. And some of those, who subteribed the Former Arcicle, have declared themselves of another judgement in their fingle Suffrages. As the Deputies of the Synod of Gelderland, who argue thus, Whomsoever God commands to believe in Christ crucified, for them Christ dyed-God doth nor command every man to believe in Christ Crucified. Therefore Christ

ly whom Christ calls unto him, they onely are commanded to come unto him, that is, to believe in himb Christ calls unto AH. Synod. him onely the thirsty, the labou-Dort. part. ring, burdened, such as acknow-3. pag. 93. finds pag. ledge their own blindnesse, want. 200. d. m. and nakednesse. Therefore. and after. Hereapon we do not commandall promiseuously to believe in Christ though we presse this also, that they cannot enter into life eternall, nor be delivered from sin, the wrath of God and damnation, but through Christianely. In secision 1880 to be Again, in Questions relating to the third and fourth Articles, you will be as far to seek, if you have recourse to their judgement for a Resolution. For herein they cannot agree upon a verdilt, how ever they made a shift eo. shuffle up their Canans and Rejections. For inquire of them, whether the unregenerate have ponier to understand the sense of Holy Scrie. pture? The Divines of Great Britain do affirm it; To certain of the Non-Elect there is granted a kind of supernatural AB. S. V illumination, by vertue whereof part, 2. pag. 188. they may understand she contents of Gods word to be true, and yield an un-

dyed not for every man. They have fome-

what a better Syllogisme following, but the

fame doctrine still, in thele words; They one-

word of God, understand, believe it to be true, and in some measure be affected with it. To which purpose Paul treats in the first and second Chapters to the Romans. And this al. so appears from hence, in that the Devils themselves who are faln, more foully then man, and wholly destitute of Gods grace, yet can do these things. Luk 14.13. Act. 15.17. Jam. 2, 19. We therefore grant, Aa. Synod. in a found sense, what the Re-Dord. part. monstrants say, that by the 3. 14g.211. Arength of freewill men may attentively hear and read the Gospel revealed. But the judgement of the Brethren of North-Holland is otherwise; for they say, That the blindnesse of mans mind in spirituall matters is so great, that although the Gospel be preached to him, yet without the internall illumination of the Holy Spirit, he cannot understand the sense of Scri-Thid. pag. pture, necessary to be known, be-175.67. 170.111. lieved, hoped in, and practifed. And the Deputies of Over- Isel lay, The understanding being blind, by its naturall power, without some other, and that a supernaturall or spirituall light, it can by no means comprehend the good that is re-

feigned assent unto them. De 5. Artic. Thes.
1. And the Divines of Drent say, That man

fain, by nature though corrupt, can hear the

regenerate man, that is, considered in the fall, hath nothing in him, whereby he is able to dispose himself unto supernatural good. The Holy Ghost doth expressy deny, that man, by the light and gifts of nature Ibid. p.206, remaining in him, may be raised Ibid.p.219. to the true knowledge of God. To the same purpose do the Deputies of the Synod of Gallo-Belgia expresse themselves; In the state of fin, fay they, the naturall man is blinde; and perceiveth not the things of the Spirit of God; and that not onely because he is unable to find them out before their Revelation; but also because after they are revealed to him, he cannot favingly understand, or yield assent unto them, without the internall illumination of the Holy Spirit and speciall grace. Again if you inquire, whether the unregenerate be able to do any thing, that may conduce to their comfort in gaining assurance of their election and regeneration; The Synod doth at least imply it, in the Chapter of Predestination Artic. 16. where they say, That those, who do not as yet effectually perceive in themselves a lively faith, or a sure confidence of heart in Christ &c. such as these

ought not to be cast down at the mention of

vealed by the Gospell. And the Deputies of the Synod of Groning do affirm, That an un-

God hath promised, that he will work these things in us, and ardently desire and humbly and reverently expect the good hour of more plentifull grace. If this doth not imply a power in them to doe 10, as is here directed, they do but trifle to no purpose but to blind the Reader. That they speak here of the unregenerate appears, 1. Because they propound the case of the Regenerate, in the infancy of their Reges neration, in the words following, and fure they would not be guilty of so groffe a Tautology, as to repeat the same thing twice in one Article. 2. Because if this doth not concerne the unregenerate, there is no light of hope in the Article, no not so much as for the Elect, that are yet in an unregenerate state; and furely they would not be fo negligent as to omit provision for their incouragement unto duty, which therefore must needs be this, they must use the means, &c. And yet to affert that the unregenerate hath any such power, this is rejected as an errour, that had troubled the Churches, &c. For that an unregenerate man is not properly, nor totally dead in sins, nor destitute of all strength tending to spirituall good, but that he is able to hunger and

Reprobation, nor reckon themselves among

the reprobate; but must diligently go for-

ward in the use of those means, by which,

je Et. 4. Again, if you inquire, whether a man can do more good then he doth, or omit more evill then he omitteth; The British Divines affirme he can, whether you un-AH. Synod. derstand it of the unregenerate, or Dor. part. 2. of the regenerate. But the Depag. 135. puties of the Synod of Gelderland, do deny it; for they An-1b. part. 3. pag. 164. swer thus. Per Gratiam Dei . potest. He may do it by the grace of God: for he can do all things through Christ that strengthens him. But without the grace of Godhecando no such thing; and he that faith the contrary, He is injurious to the grace of God on one side, and on the other side he falls into plain Pelagianisme, as they say. Here observe, the question is propounded by them concerning the Regenerate, one in a state of Grace, and supposed to be under the influences of the divine assistance according to that state, whether such an one can do more good then he doth, or omir more evil then he omitteth. Now they do not answer directly and positively, that he can, but with this addition, By the Grace of God, he can do it; which is an infinuation of the negative, that he cannot without a further measure of

thirst after righteousnesse; or everlasting life, &c. This they reject. Chap. 3. &. 4. ReAgain, if we have recourse to this Oracle at Dort, to be informed; whether the New Covenant be made with all and every man, and consequently, whether the Promises of the Gospel be Generall; Their Decisions are off and on here too; videtur quod sic, and probatur quod non; For example

The Divines of Great Britain do affirm, That there is an Universall promisenous Pro-

Grace superadded, to that; wherein, being regenerated, He is upposed to stand, as was

2. The f. 4. & The f. 5. They say,

That according to this promise salvation is offered unto all.

And Martinius saith, That there is a
common (or generall) love of God towards
all mankind saln, and that God seriously

would have all men to be saved. De Art. 2.

Thef. 1. And accordingly, He saith, there is

Part. 2. pag.

mise Evangeligal founded in the

merit of Christs death. De Art.

a promiscuous calling ailotted to the Elect and Reprobate, Thes. 2. And a common execution (or exhibition) of Grace. Thes. 6. See the rest, cited out of him above, to the same purpose.

The Belgick Prosessor do assirm; That it

is not denyed by the Orthodox, but to whomsoever the Gospelis preached, the Ransome and 123. As concerning the new Covenant's being establisht with every man; we answer, that whether God could do it or no, we will not dispute: but that he would so establish it, we deny. Of the same judgement are the Deputies of the Churches of Friesland. Ibid. 130. And the whole Synod re ects it as an error in them that teach, That all men are received into the state of reconciliation and grace of the Covenant, so that no body shall be condemned for Original fin, nor, in respect of it, be liable to death or damnation, but that all are acquitted and freed from the guilt of that lin. Cap. 2. Rej. 5. pag. 253. Let us ask them another Question, viz. Whether God mills seriously, that All men be saved? Some lay, yes; As

of Christ, as such, is to be declared indifferently, and offered in Christs name, and that

seriously, and according to the counsil of the

Father, Go. Act. Syn. Dord. par. 3. pag.

thren of Utrecht fay, The promises of the Gospel are univerfall, but not simply, as belong-

ing to every one of all kinds, but to all and

every believer and elect person. Ibid. pag. ir 8.

But all are not of this mind. For the Bre-

88 m

Love of God towards all mankinde being faln, whereby he would feriously have all men to be laved. De Art. 2. Thef. I. And the Divines of Wedderau; God in calling the Reprobate, wills their conversion and salvation seriously, with a will approving it, though not effecting it. Ibid. pag. 152. Lubbertus faith, We do not teach, that God simply would not have all men to be converted and laved; neither do we teach that God feigneth, or would not ferioufly the converfion of all, or that he hath contradictory wills. But we teach that he feriously wills all mens conversion and salvation in respect of approbatton and his rejoycing (in it.) Act. Syn. Dor. par. 3. p. 13. m. But the Divines of Embden, on the contrary, fay, Hence arifeth another question, Whether God according to his good pleasure, seriously wills that all men should be saved. The Remonstrants affirm it, every where. But we deny it. Part. 2. pag. 74. Quost. 13. The Deputies of the Synod of Groning, say, That generall will of God) desiring and intending the conversion and salvation of all, is the fiction of mans brain, and transformes God into an impotent man, who defires that all men should be saved, but is not able to effect it. Ibid pig. 7. p.m.

Martinius, who faith, There is a common

Shall we try these Divines with another question; Whether the Reprobates becalled unso salvation seriously, or no? Sibrand Lubbertus, is for the Affirmative; as was now alleadged; and so are the Synod in their Decrees, where they say, That, as many as are called by the Gospel, are called seriously. For God by his word doth serioully and most truly declare, what is acceptable to him, namely that those that are called, come unto him t and moreover doth feriously promise to all such, as come to him, and believe in him, rest for their soules, and life eternall. Cap. 3. 4. Art. 8. The British Divines say, Those whom God doth thus affect by his Spirit, using the means of his Word, those he doth truly and serioully call and invite to faith and conversion. De. 3. & 4. Art. Th. 3. p. 128. & in explicat. We must judge, by the nature of the benefit offered, and the cleare word of God, of those helps of grace, which are administred unto men, and not by the abuse and events Seeing therefore, that the Gospel, according

to its own nature, cals men to repentance and falvation, seeing the excitations of Divine grace tend the same way, we must conclude, that God doth nothing here feignedly. This is proved by those serious and patheticall Beseechings. 2 Cor. 5. 2. (19.) We beseech you

unto God. Exhortations, 2 Cor. 6. 1. Weexhort you not to receive the grace of God in vaine. Expostulations. Gal. 1 6. I wonder you are to foon removed from him that hath called you unto the grace of Christ. Promises. Apoc 3. 20. Behold, I stand at the doore and knock, if any man heare my voice and open the doore, I will come in unto him, Gc. But this Doctrine will not down with the Deputies of the Synod of Gelderland: we had their invincible Syllogismes before, whereof the Minors are these. 1. God calls not all men to salvation. 2. God doth not command every man to believe in Christ: 3. Christ vallsunto him the thirsty &c. onely. But they deliver their mind more roundly, upon the third Article; For whereas the Remonstrants do argue, that these two things are very repugnant, That God would have a man to be faved, and yet should not give him what is necessary to enable him to convert and believe. (without which salvation is not to be had.) To this they Reply, That it seems no lesse repugnant to them, that God should call one. to salvation, and yet not communicate salvation to him. Whence we conclude (fay they) Seeing God does not give to all that are called that which is necessary, that they might

in Christs stead, that ye would be reconciled

micate salvation unto all men, and therefore neither would he call unto salvation All, that are outwardly called: nay leeing God calls All to salvation, whom he calls; therefore as many as are not called unto falvation, are not called of God; that is to say, those, to whom he will not give, what is necessary for them, that they may convert and believe. Et mox. And by this means we shall preserve the Dignity of the (Divine) Calling. All. Syn, Dord. part. 3. pag. 163. To this agrees the Declaration of the Judgement of the Deputies of Groningen; The found of Preaching doth promises only reach the ears of all men: but in effect it calls them onely whom God hath elected to eternall life. For Vocation doth properly belong to them by God's Ordination. Ibid. pag. 208.p. May we take the confidence to examine their sweet accorditione thing more; Are any dispositions required unto Faith and Conversion, or not? What say those Divines to this Probleme? There are some externall works ordinarily required of men, before they be brought to the state of Regeneration or Conversion, which are wont sometimes to be freely done,

convert and believe (and he could give it if he would), therefore he would not commuThes. 2. They say, There are some internals effects, prævious to Conversion or Regeneras tion, which are stirred up by the power of the Word and Spirit, in the hearts of such as are not yet justified; such are the knowledge of the Divine will, sense of Sin, sear of Pain, thinking of Deliverance, some hope of Pardon. And pag. 131. This Spirituall Firth doth presuppose the soule to be stirred up by the Spirit, using the organ or instrument of the Word. To the like purpose, do the Divines of the Palatinate deliver their Judgement, in this Point. 'Tis certain, they say, that some Acts of Sorrow, Contrition, Acknowledgement of fin, &c. do precede Faith and Conversion in a man that is to be regenerated; whiles by the Ministery of the Law and Gospel he is preparce to receive Grace. Ibid. pag. 137.p. And so the Divines of Geneva; The salutary sense and feeling of fin, joyned with a thirst after the remedy, a good hope, softnesse of heart, hatred of fin, and flying unto God, these are latent effects of the holy Spirit, preparing and drawing a man by little and little to the grace of Justification, and unto Regeneration. Ibid.

fometimes freely to be omitted of them; as, to go to Church, to heare the Word, and

such like. This is the judgement of the Brit-

rish Divines. Part. 2. pag. 128. Thef. 1. O

Remonstrant or Arminian Doctrine; and therefore they reject this as Heterodox; That a man in the state of sin, before Faith and the Spirit of Renovation, bath, or may have any zeale, care or study, to obtain Salvation; and that he may heare God's word, be grieved for sin, defire saving grace, and the Spirit of Renovation; and that this is most profitable and most necessary to the obtaining of Faith and the Spirit of Renovation, as the Remonstrants do expresty teach. Also, That a man in the state of sin, before his Regeneration and Vivisication, hath the knowledge of his (spirituall) death, grief and sorrow for it, desire of deliverance, bunger and thirst aster life, likewise confession of fins, contrition, initiall fear, &c. as our Brethren the Remonstrants, speak at the Conserence at the Hague. All this they reject. Ibid. p. 144. Rejest. 6. The Divines of Embden are of the same judgement. Vid. ibid. pag. 178. Quast. 13. Those of Utrecht say, The heart and asfections of an unregenerate man are quite corrupt; so that till he be regenerate, he cannot hunger after the salutary grace of God, and newnesse of life, nor desire deliverance from sin, nor beg the Spirit of Regeneration. Part. 3. pag. 184. Thef. 6. The Deputies of the Synod of Groningen,

But with the Hassian Divines this is pure

deliver themselves to the same sense too. Ib. pag. 73. p. But it is high time to explore the judges ment of these Divines in some sew points relating to the Fifth and last Article. Touching which, the first thing Lshall propound for the Reader's satisfaction, shall be, Whether Perseverance be a Condition of the Covenant, jea or no? The Divines of the 2 Judic. de Palainate a say, That Perseve-Artic. quinvance is God's gift. But the Reto inter Judi monstrants are deceived and do de-Thisexter. p. ceive, in that they think Perseve-206. a. m. runce, being reckoned an effect of Election and a gift of God, cannot be a Con-

who in the New Covenant prescribes the condition of Perseverance, to all the adult, that are in Covenant, and by that prescript requires it, doth not leave it suspended upon the strength of their free will, but doth effectually work and produce it in them.

That it is a condition and un- b fudic. de der command, though it be the 5. Art. inter gift of God, is the acknowledgement of the b Belgick Professors,

dition of the Covenint, commanded by God,

and to be performed freely by us: As if these

were inconsistent and repugnant, being rather

Subordinate and very Consentaneous. For God,

and of the Brethren of Ucrechic, e Ibid. pag. and the Divines of Drenide: But 252. Tb. 2. this Doctrine is rejected by the d Ibidu273. Tb. 4. whole Synod in their First Reje-3 AA. Syn. Ction , upon the fifth head of 1. part. pag. Doctine. And the Divines of 268. Ib. 1. Embden f do determine thus, Perf 18.2. part. stretantia non est Conditio N. P. pag. 146. q. id est, Perseverance is not a Condition of the New Covenant, pra-required to be performed by men, that the promise of the New Covenant may be fore: but it is the very gift of the New Covenant, which God hath promised to bestow freely upon his Elect. slb.p.201. See to the like sense the Judge-Th. 2. 6 lb. p. 226. ments of the Divines of Great Britaine 8, and Geneva h. 70.2. z. Suppose we should propound this ea-De Quellion, Whether Salvation or eternall life, be the remard of Faith, or onely the end of it? This Question, one would think so clearly and peremptorily resolved in Scripture, that wife men could not disagree in the Solution of it; yet behold! here we have pro, and con, voo Salus in Crodentibus & Præmium est sides 2 Tim. 4, 8. & Finis. 1 Pet. 1. 8. So faith Gomarus, the great Supralapsarian 1. 1 AU.Sy. 3. That is, Salvation in the Believers. part. Pago is as well the reward of faith as al.f. the end of it. Yet the Deputies

made sonnes and obtain the right of the inheritance freely, so are we freely also put into the possession of that inheritance. Therefore it is ill said, that eternall life, as a reward, is decreed and given by God to those that fulfill the conditions which he bath prescribed. For to give life as a reward, upon the performance of a condition, upon which, that life was decreed, as a reward, (this) is to give life not altogether freely and of good pleasure, but of debt. 3. Let us inquire of them, Whether a Temo porary faith be a true faith, or enely hipocriticall? What do they refolve of this? The British Divines say 1, The Non-E-1 Sententia lest may give an unfeigned affent to De Artic S. the Gospel. The seed which fell upexplic thes. I. A . Sym on frony ground, Luk. 8.13. doth depari. 2. pag. 189. p. note those bourers which believe for a time, that is, which affent to the Divine Revelations, especially to the Evange licall Covenant: And that this Afent was nnfeigned is evident, in that the Word was received with joy. Simon Magus, Act. 8. 12. believed Philip evangelizing the things that appertained unto the Kingdome of God, and gave a Testimony of his Faith by receiving the Sucrament of Baptisme. Hymenæus and Alexander

of the Synod of Gelderland k will

not admit of this, Utigratis filsi

simus, &c. That is, As we are

u Ibid. pag.

30. p.

consterfeit or seigned, but a true one. For he is not to be blamed, that falls off from an hypecriticall faith: neither is shipwrack made of a fergued faith, but a detection and discovery: neither can a man be shipwrackt, unlesse he were truly in the ship, &c. Thus our Learned Divines, and some others with them. But others of them, and by name the * All. Syn. Deputies of Over-Isselm, are of, 3. part.pag. another judgement, for they fay, 277.p.p. Quale discrimen est inter hypocritam, & verum Christianum, tale quoque est inser fidem temporariam & salvificam. Look what difference there is betwixt a true Chri-Rian and an Hypocrite, the same difference there is betwixt a temporary and a laving Faith. 4. If you inquire of them, Whether Faith. may be lost or no; here they divide themselves and their opinions. Some say the Act. may be lost, but not the Habit. Others do maintain that not the Habit nor the Alt neither. That the Habit, the seed, the root, the Spirit of Faith may be lost, we deny. That the Ast, the trust, the comfort may be cut off, and that totally, though not finally, that we grant, say the Divines of Gelderland n. And so the De 5. Artio, All. Syn. Dor. 3. part, pag. 228. f.

made shipwrack of the faith, not that which is.

prest, interrupted, and as it were suffocated for a time. But as to the Habit of Faith, which is not a transient but an immanent Act, infused into us of the Holy Ghost, whereby our bearts are parified, and we united as members to Christ our head, and quickened by his Spirit, we deny 11. But the Divines of Drent pare of opinion, that whether you fpeak e Ibid. pag. of the Alt or of the Habit of 275.p.Quid veiat, qua Faith, neither of them can be lost minus Sanamongst such rubbish as the works &i,dvm ipfa of the flesh. The Saints may elualia peccommit fins and grosse ones, prey eata, es qui dem crassio upon the Carkasse of corruption, ra, comitand yet keep their faith, the mean tant , simuwhile, upon wing, and in action. achum fide] sentiant ? Ge, 5. If you inquire into the nature of those sins, which it is possible for the Faithfull to fallinto; They will tell you, They have no such Amules, or charme, as can keep them absolutely secure and free from the shot, darts or impressions of their Ghossly enemies. They are liable not onely to be assaulted, but foiled also as well as others; and to fall into

Deputies of Frieslando; As to

the Act of Faith, we easily grant, that through the frauds of Satan,

the allurements of the world, and

the malignant power of the flesh, it may be re-

olbid. pag. 261. Thes.

3.

d fins as do most grievously wound, and directly wast the conscience *. * See All, In atrocia, in gravia, in gravissi-Syn. Dor. 2. ma peccata, as the Brittish Dipait. Pag. vines have it. In graviora G (ed in fol.) atrociora contra conscientiam, 29 192. lh. 3. 202. 1.208. they of the Palatinate; in gravifa. m. 216. sima & atrocissima, as those of P. P. 219 f. Hossia; in gravia & enormia pec-222. 1h. 3. cata—ita ut conscientiam gra-233.tbe .9, 10, II. G vissime ladant, as those of Helvepart, 3. pag. tia; in atrocia peccata, adeoque 253. p.275. Conscientiam sibi vastant, as those p. See also Part. I.p. from the correspondence of Wed-266. De derau. Possant quidem in atrocia Perfever. O conscientiam directé vastantia Sina. Ayl. scelera, incidere, as the Divines 5. of Drent; and as the effects hereof, angores & pavores Conscientie patiantur; they may feel and fuffer the anguish and horrot of Conscience, as the Divines of Breme conclude; yet a many of them do account these but slips, and sins of insirmitie onely. As Sibrandus Lubbertus a implies in 2 AH. -Syn. these words; Etsi regeniti ali-Der.part. 3. quando ex infirmitate labuntur, O p.227.p. fides illorum deliquium paritur, & c. And this Suffrage of his was subscribed by Polyander, Gomarus, Thysius, and Waleus. So the M.p. 237. f. Brethren of North-Holland b,

such horr:

imbecillitate carnis nonnanguam labantur & in peccata conscientiam graviter ladentia incidant, non tamen posse ad Mortem peccare &c. So the Divines of Drent edo hold, that e Ibid. pags. 275. p. the Saints may act groffe fins, and their Christian saving faith together, Quia ex insirmitate tantum, sine desperatione peccant. Ubi supra. Lastly, if you demand of them, what condition a faithfull man brings himself into, by committing such horrid wasting sins; They will tell you, He contracts a dam. See for this nable gailt, whereby (1.) be loseth AB. Syn. his present apricade to enter into the Dor. 2.patt kingdome of Heaven, (2.) he hash p. 9. p. m. 192, 192. reason to feare, and that deservedly, 194, tb. 39 the Divine wrath and revenging 4,5, 6. cum, justice, (3.) that he stands as a perexplicar. G son, by his own demerit, to be damp. 202. Ib. 5. exp. 244. ned; so that should be now die, be-11,94,56, fore he hath obtained his pardon, through his renewed Alts of Faith and Repentance, and be altually absolved, it were impossible but he should perish: Notwithstanding (so great a Paradex is in this Divinity) they tell you, That, at the same instant, the seed of Regeneration with all fundamentall gifts, without which the state of Regeneration cannot possibly consist, are preserved safe and

Scatument ex Des Verbo, vere sideles, essi ex.

and the Holy Spirit, and God's speciall favour; insomuch that his universall justification, state of Adoption, and right to the kingdome of Heaven, do yet remain uncancel'd, un violated, and immovable. By what hath been discovered, in this our ferntiny into their different judgements and opinions, the Reader may conclude what an excellent Test, Master Baxter commend's unto us for Triall of the fight strain or Tinsture of the Calvinists Doctrines. A Test that allows all for currant, that is any way opposite to the Doctrine of the Remonstrants, especially that which stands at the greatest distance to. it. And though as contrary as black and white, ewill set off the work the better, in that it is chequered with such a variegation of opinions. A Test whereby Master Barlee will be approved for an ORTHO-DORT Divine as well, as Master Baxter. But I foresee this will not satisfie M. Baxter. He will yet expostulate; Why In his Pre-face, Sellishould you charge a Parsy with the opimons of a very few, which upon 6A. 5. greatest deliberation in a Synod, the Party will not own? To this it may truly be replyed, that there were and are more then a very few, of those opinions, and they owned by that Party you speak of, and both

sound in him, so that he hath a saving faith,

far from being redreffed, that they were not toucht upon at least, if not countenanced by that Synod; which we shall examine further in the sequel. In the mean while, we may consider, how happy it had been, if M. Baxter for the prevention of the mischief that hath ensued, had been amongst them, with his power of Sequestration, to have removed as many as would not have been of one (that is of bis, if that be one) minde; though thereupon he had left a very thin unanimous Assembly. But that same [Suffragium] breathing hot and cold, according to the temper of the several Chmates (and sometimes the Phantalies of lingle persons) from whence it came, raised to many crosse winds, that they made the Sea of Dort tumultuous and troublesome, and occasioned a Naufragium of that whole designe; which the Decrees singly by themselves might have passed over with lesse diflurbance or observation. In good earnest, after much inquiry, I find, tis a very hard matter to understand what metall Master Baxter's Test is made of. I confesse they seem to allow the Sublapsarian Doctrine as the most Current and Passable amongst the Vulgar: but I do not finde them

joyned together in a confederation, to root out another Party, that complained of the enormitie of those opinions, which were so

pra-treatarian neither, as droffe or counterfeit. That these are no lesse pure and Orthodox then the other by that account, we have many prefumptions to induce us to believe. For, first we know, Kissing goes by favour; and we find men wedded to the molt rigid of those Opinions were embraced, and placed upon the Bench, while the Remonstrants, who detected their enormitie, were brought unto the Barre; not permitted duely and fully to implead them; or justifie themselves. 2. What was, at least the Pretended, business of their Convention? That those opinions of Arminius, and his followers might All. Syn. be accountedly examined, and determi-Nat. Dor. ned of by the Rule of Gods Word Seff. 140. onely, the true Doctrine established, pag. 283. and the false rejected, and concord, part. F. peace and cranquillity (by Gods bleffing) restored to the Churches of the Low-Countries. This was the end of their Convention. But what opinious were they, that gave the Scandall to Arminius and his followers? Were they not those of the rigid Calvinifis? and who were the Authors of that disturbance, but those petulant Parsons, that would not endure the Prescription of the wife Physitian, nor suffer their Soars and Ulcers to be lanced? 'sis true, The weakest must to

cry down the Supralaffarian, no, nor the Su-

the wall, and when 'cis per to the Queition' Who they are that i ouble Israel? to be fun the Oppressor will have the casting voice. Bu if the Character (inserted in the Illi. scilices Margin) be true, which Grevin-Religionis chovius hath given of them, I shall ergo, alii referre it to the judgement of the ministeriis fuis amoti, Reader, whether it doth not more alis proscrithen a little resemble a Disturber pii, relega: both of Church and State. ti, extories, Grc. Nempe Hillenius Alemaria &c. Tu quoque alique tui similes, aut libellis infames, aut concionabus tribunitiis, Conventiculis, schismate, seditione ac rebellione adversus IIlust. Ordd. Decreta, ac Magistratuum Edista, infignes: Hostu totidem quafi religionis ac professionis vestre Marsyres babe, dy in Canonem refer; non invideo; nec vehs. memer nego, si quidemista est religio, Populum mendaciis splendidis decipere, ac dementatum in Pastores ac Superiores suos convitare: in alienas Ecclesias ac Ministeria involare (quod tu de Samuele & Antipa, Borriis vesiris agnoscie) Loca publica per vim occupare, Claustra publico segillo munita effringere, Senatui vim inferre, Ordd. Edi-Sa arque Interdista palam violare, omnia turbare: Has dum vobis impune licent, Superiorum sive indulgentia, five metu, jam istos videre est precurium in vos imperium trabere: At si bac non succedit via, si corundem authoritate, toties lasa, ista maledicendi ac malefaciendi libido vestra coercetur, ferocitas comprimitur, tuique unius vel alterius exemplo alii deterrentur, sissuntur, E ut verbo dicam, cuneus cuneo pellitur 3 tum verd vos audire est, vim ac persecutionem, quam aliis intentâstis, quiritantes, Martyria vestra pradicare. Grevinch, Absiersio. Calumn. Adr. Smoutii. pag. 42.

But the impartiall Synod is Assembled, and apon the invocation of Gods holy name bound by Oath, that they would hold the Sacred Scripture as the onely rule of their verdict, and demeane themselves in the hearing and determining of this cause with a good and upright Conscience. And in the Frontispice AH. Syn. of every Chapter of the Decrees ubi supra. or Canons, they insert this Title, A'Rejection of the Errors wherewith the Churches of the Low Countries have now along time been troubled. Would not any man expect (upon to folemne an undertaking) especially having made it their method, as well to reject such Errors, as to assert their own Doctrine, that these should be rejected, amongst the reit, that teach, Reprobation to be decreed, in order of nature, before Creation: The greatest part of mankinde to be created to destruction: That by the force of Gods irresistible Decree, it is impossible but Manshould sin: That what soever comes to passe, whether good or evill, does come to passe by the force of Gods irresistible Decree: That Mans wickednesse is not the cause of Gods will of abandoning man to hell, but on the contrary, that God's will is the cause of that wickednesse:

That 'tis not absurd to say, that it may be a capitall sinne to do the true and primary will of God: That seeing: Adam is the cause of sinne, and God the casses of Adam, how it can be, that God should not be the cause of fin: That God doth incite, lead, draw, command, impell, harden, deceive men unto wicked actions, and effect sins that are most enormous? Such horrid and blasphemous opinion, as these, are frequent in the Writings of Calvin, Bezz. Piscator, Martyr, and many others; and yet herein we have altum Silentium, these Do-Arines never troubled those Churches, nor the tender Consciences of this Synod. They are so good friends with these Opinions, they never disturb their peace at all. 3. This is not all; when Bogerman, the President of the Synod, had entertained but a suspicion, that the Remonstrants would detect the enormitie of these opinions, and the shamefull errors that had been broached by those so admired Names, (forgetting his solemn Oath to lay all prejudice and affection aside, and examine all matters to be debated, according to the onely rule of God's word) he fell into so great an agony of Passion, that it was discernible in his very eyes and countenance, as if they had touched the very apple of his eye. Yet the Synod obliged by the

conference of the same outh, never gave him the least rebuke or check for this palpable indication of Partiality; as the perspicacious Author of that Judicious Anti-4 Bone De. docum t harb objected and put us! quam upon record for us. Webementer afficiebal 1. pfum levissina talis suspicio! qui visi oculi! quis vultus! quis ardor animi! quantæ oppæi! &c. Antidotum p.31 4. When Maccovins Professor Ibid. p. 32. of Franequer in Freisland, had not onely afferted and disseminated by his Writings, the most horrid opinion, of all that ever had been written about Predestination by Zuinglius and Piscator; and moreover in the very Synod undertook, against his Colleague Sibrandus Lubbertus, to maintain, that God wills sinne; that he ordains men to sime, as it is sinne; that God in no mise would have all men to be saved, and mamy things of the like import, declaring openly, that if these things were not maintained, they must forsake their chief Doctors, who had taught those things and fall in to the opinion of the Remonsbrants. What said the Synod to this bold Supra-Creatarian? Did they sequester or displace bim? No, but accounted him for a pure Orthodox Divine, guilty neither of berefie nor erroneous doctrine, as it was declared by the publick telli-

offence to tender eares, and could not be digested by persons ignorant and uncapable of so great mysteries: and that he would not fet light by those distinctions of Divines, who had deserved well of the Church of Christ. 5. That which is beyond all exception; we finde in the very Acts of the A&. Syn. Nat. Dord. Synod [Seff. 107.] That Gomanus declared publickly, that he 233.part.1. could not approve of the Judgement of those Belgick Prosessors, concerning the object of Predeftination; that hethought, they must determine, Man to be considered of God in his Predestination, not onely as fain, but also before the fall. Hereupon he drew up his opinion by himfelf; and therein Predestination is said to be Ibid part 3. p 21. m. G made out of Mankinde simply con sidered, and not as yet faln into sin. 24. pr. and the Synad of South Holland were of the same judgement too, 1b. p.33.m. whole chiefe members, Pestus Hammius, Henricus Arnoldi, Baltazar Lydins, Gisbersus Voetius, were chief enemics of the Remonstrants. 6. If Master Baxter will not yet allow

mony of the Synod; and so they dismissed him with a wholesome and friendly Caution.

to forbear such forms of speech as might give

opinions, because (as he pretends Sellion 5. in his Preface) the Calvinifts. do not Commonly hold them; they are but the opinions of some few; I desire him to examine his own Muster roll, how many he hath inlifted therein, for the defence of the Synod? Of all the Synod he could find but the British Divines, which were but five; and the Bremish, which were but three; to passe the Muster upon the Article of U2 viverfall Redemption, and but one fingle forein Auxiliary Paraus, to beare Arms for it. And yet these seven or eight men, in Master Baxter's account, shall justifie all the rest from the guilt of fuch opinions as they do peremptorily maintain, though himself as well as the Remonstrants judge them most absurd. If so small a number will serve Master Baxters turn to justifie; in all reason a far greater should serve Tilenus's interest to condemn'them. 7. But what ever enormity Master Baxter will allow to be in those opinions, the Synod hath drawn the guilt of it upon their own heads, and deservedly ought to beare the blame; For Persons Commissioned and impowered to suppresse errors, if they do not forbidand check them, the fairest interpres tation we can give of their remisnesse herein

that the Synod may justly be taxed with these

vinent, faith Scheibler. And, Qui taget consentine videour, faith the Metaph I. E. F. 22 . 1. 140. Civil Law. And, Agentes & consentientes pare poena plestuntur, saith the Moralist. Indeed I find there were some who had a mind to condemn the opinions of Pescater in the Antidotum Synod; and some would have adpa. 63. f. ded to their Rejections, a rejection of certain hard and incommodious expressions (they might have called them blasphemous and horrible), which are found in the writings of some of the Reformed AA. Syn. Net. Dord. Dollars: To this end reasons were alleaged on both fides, by. the feff. 132. P. British, Hassien and Bremish Di-239.pert. L. vines, with some others. But ed.in fol. when the matter was put to the vote, the major part of Suffrages were for the Negative; (forfooth) lest by the rejection of fuch expressions and Phrases, the Adversary should take advantage to alleage that the Orthodox Dostrine, professed by those men, who imprudently made use of such phrases to explain it, were rejected also. Especially lecing some of those modes of speech were the language of the hely Ghest, others, in a sound lense, admitted by the Remonstrants them-

is to say, they afford them a toleration. Non impedientes ad Genus can arum Moralium per-

selves, and farre the greatest part might admit of a charitable interpretation. By this we may perceive what temper Master Baxrer's Test t was of gespecially, if + That more to this we adde what was obserthen a very ved to be the generall practice, affew, even ter the Synod was dissolved; in the major those Low-Countrey Churches; part of the Synod fa-The least suspicion a man could women these lye under, for: favouring the Reepinions. monstrants Doctrine, was ground enough to remove him from his Ministery. (The imitation of which practice was forthwith taken up at Sedan; Franciscus Auratus, a most faithfuil Minister of that Church, being districted from the execution of his Fun-Aion, because, upon occasion of that text, James. 13. God tempteth no man, &c. he largely declared, that God was not the Author of sin. This they thought a sufficient indication that he did not sufficiently abhorre that Doctrine which the Synod of Dort had condemned). But on the other fide the most violent abettors and urgers of the most rigid Supralapfarian Predestination, Clamofffi were so for from the stroak of mos tenébriconfure or rebuke, that though of onesity fournever follend a conversation, one cistime vita mortales. might see them adorned with the Antidotum happiest conditions, and promopag. 33.

quam υπερασπιεώς Ο προμάχες fortiffimes. Lastly, if we consider that the present Galvinifes of all forts, Creabilitarians, Supralapsarians, Sublapsarians, do all center in this Synod, as the Test of their Anti-Arminianisme (as Master Baxter calls it) we must conclude that the design of that Synod was not to reduce all those severall Sects to one opinion, (that being impossible, wheir differences are fo high and irreconcileable) but their elabo, rate Artifice, in contriving and dawbing, varnishing and trimming up the Decrees and Canons thereof, was used on purpose, to calculate them for the Meridian of every their judgements, and make them ferve indifferently the interest of their severall opinions. So that this Synod is to men of that persuasion

ted to the chiefest chaires and Ohurches, ran-

man discovers enough therein to incourage him to be a Supralarsarian; another man findes the contrary. To this mans sense they maintain universall Redemption, to anothers apprehension they deny it.

Hereupon we see our late and present Divines are no lesse divided in their judgements

about these Questions, then those which

were before the Synod, as we may observe

what Manna was to the Israelites (as some

affirme). It affords them that relish, to which

In reference to each of Tilenus his five Arr ticles. For 1: Master Norton of New England, as a pute Creabilitari-The Orthodox Everge. an, saith, The creature in its conlist, pa. 52. dition of possibility is the object of m. pa. 56. the Decree. And a little after, Nes man considered as actually being; whether in his pure or corrupt estate; but as yet to be, and in the Divine Essence: namely, as capable in respect of the sufficiency of God, to be what he pleased, is the object of the Decree. And a little after; The creation of man mutable, the permission of sin, the punishing him justly for sin, make up one full and perfect medium conducing to this end, (God's glory) as concerning the Reprobate. The creation of man mutable, the permission of sinne, the effeetuall Application of Free grace and Glory, noimithstanding sin, for the merit sake of fesus Christ, make up one full and perfect medium, conducing to this end (viz. Gods glory) as concerning the Elect. And after-Pag. 66. f. wards he concludes, That Reprobation is not an Alt of suffice; and a little after; Though condemnation of man for sinne be an Alt of instice, yet God's will not to have mercy, his will to permit sin, his will to leave a man in sinne, bis will to punish man for fin, are Acts of his Lordship, not of his justice.

gels are Predestinated unto everlasting life; and others fore-ordained to everlasting death. By ranking Men and Angels in the same Decree, it is evident, they conclude men to be Elected and Reprobate antecedently to the fall of Adam; which appears more fully by comparing the 6. and 7. Theses of that Chapter, with this third. The Calvinists that speak most warily, doe yet maintain an Absoluse and irrespective Decree, not as to the end but as to the means, Afferimus Dr. Kendal De Dolf. Decreum Absolutum, quod nullum Motivum, (ut loquuntur) Neopel. oras admittat ex parte Dei. We astio babita in Comit. Oxe: sert an absolute Decree, because Bis. p.36. it admits of no Metive on Gods patt. Non negamus fidem conditionem esse falutis; Asserimus vero sidem dari absque omni conditione. Similiter & de damnations philosophari solemus. Non negamus impænicentiam finalem esse conditionem damnationis; Asserimus vero Deum absoluté decrevisse; reprobos omnes, impanitemia sua permittendos, sidem verò in Electis omnipotenti Graria suo tempore creandam. We do not

If the Assembly of Divines came any lower,

Confess. of

Faith. ch.3.

th.3.

yet not so low as the Sublapfarian

way; For they say; By the De-

cree of God, for the manifestation

of his Glory; some men and An-

are faith, in his own time, in the Elect by his emmipotent Grate. And a little after, Decretuis illus irrespectivum, non est de salute, fed fide; wee de infligendis pænis, sed non concedenda Prenisentia. That irrespective Decree innot (fuch) as to salvation, but as to faith; nor as to the infliction of punishment, but as to the was consession of repentance. As well Sublapfarians as Suprabapterians of both forts, though they frame a Decree that fafpends the benefit of falvarion upon a condition, yet it makes that condition absolutely irrepudiable and itrefiltible as to some persons, and absolutely impossible unto others, and so takes as oney the proper nature of fin and duty, and by confequence faves and damns respectively without them. 3. If we confider the Article of Redemption by Christ; however M. Baxter finds an Universality of ir, in the decisions of that Synod, yet Doctor Thomas Hill (Master of Tri. with Colleage in Cambridge, and able fure to understand a piece of Latin, as well as Master

faith to be the condition of Saboution; But we affirme that faith is given without any condition.

In like manner also we are wont to speak concerning damnation a we do not deny finall impe-

nitency to be the condition of damnation; But

we affirm God absolutely decreed to permit all

Reprobates to their own impenitoncy; but to cre-

signific his esteem of that Assembly he calls it a bappy remedy against Arminianismes (in his Epifilded the Christian Readers before Master Fermer's Willfull Impenitoncy.). 6.3. yet two pages after he breaks out into this Lamentation: But alas, Aiminus now appears amangstrus, not so much in the Schools and Pulfitts, as in popular meetings, Hor as Zanchius; complained with much regreatef the Sulteran (Isuppose it should be Lutheran) Ubiquitaries, that he found them ubique, every where to vex and molest bim, so may me grieve, (O that we could with brokemnesse of heare bemaile is I) shat our Universalists, are almass universally spread among sins lies gotten into our Netherlands, much into the Tennish and Moorish pares of this Kingdome, yea amongst many people that love Jesus Christ, and therefore entertain it, as conceiving it most for bis Honoury (the more are they to be picied, Of ...) Thus Doctor Hill I who recamly did not think his happy Remedy to be infected with that (he accounts) disease, and so much bewailes, as if it were as mortall, as he conceived it Epidemicall. Good God! That mans eye should be so evil; because God is so good and gracious? That he should think it a matter of humiliation, and that with hip kennesse of heart, that the Name of the Lord

Baxter) could find no such matter: For to

Jesus, and the Merits of his Death, and the emanations of his Grace, should be so much magnified! - And yet we finde the whole Assembly of Divines (if we may collect their Judgement out of their Publick Confession, rather then take it from what a fingle member (it feems) hath whispered into M. Baxter's care had so narrow a Faith they could not admit this Point to be an Article of their Belief; For they speak restrictively of Christs Sacrifice. (Chap. 8.th. g.) that it hath fully satisfied the justice of his Father, and purchased not onely reconciliation, but an everlasting inheritance in the kingdome of Heaven, for all thefe, whom the Father hath gives unto him. And more fully (thef. 8.) To all those for whom Christ hash purchased Redemption, He doth certainly and effectually apply, and communicate the same, making intercession for them Gran And this is very probably colleded out of the third Ghapter too, comparing the 6. and 7.07 befer together. They who are Elected, being fallen in Adam, are redeemed by Christ - The rest of mankinde God was pleased, according to the unsearchable counsil of his own will swhereby be extendesh or with-hol-: deth mercy, as he pleaseth, for the glory of his (not Justice, but NB.) Soveraign Power over bis creatures, to passe by, and to ordain them to.

dishenour and wrath for their sin, to the praise of bis glorious justice. .. Besides, Master Baxter hath had some contest (as I remember) with Adversaries, who make the remission of sins the immediate effect of Christs death, and maintain that it is granted unto the elect. before they do Actually believe. I suppose Master Baxter will not say these men are for universall Redemption (though perhaps as great Admirers of the Synod as himself:) and I doubt, these are not a very few. 3. As touching the unavoidable necessity of all humane Actions, in regard of the effe-Auall Decree; that the Calvinists do commonly maintain it is evident. That I may not tire the Reader with a multitude of testimonies, I shall satisfie my self with one or two. The first cause so concurrethe as, it determines he the second cause in its operation, saith M. Norson, This is readily granted in naturall Agents, in free-rationall. Agents is is proved thus. If. the futurition of the operation of the second Cause is determined by the Decree of God, then the operation is self is determined by the efficience cy of God. The Orthodox Evangelist p. 110. m. And a little after: If as often as the will doth not will; it therefore doth not will, because God hath not determined that it should will a them as often as it willeth any thing, it therefore willesh, because God hash determined that it should

126. f. Normithstanding finis wholly of man, and subordinate efficiency in finfull actions, belongs formally unto the second Cause: yet the infallible futurition and execution of all effects; the infallible farmition, and ordering the execution of all events; is as fully ascribed unto Bud, as if man had no hand therein. I know Master Baxter hath declared himself against this Philosophy, (in his Treatise of Judgement, Answer to 23. excuse). But whether the Assembly of Divines have not, at least infiniated, this to be their judgement, I leave the Reader to confider, by a view of some of their expressions. Chap. 3. th. 1. (of their Confess.) They say, God from all Eternity did by the most wise and holy Counsil, of his own will, freely and unchangeably ORDAIN what sever come so puse, and Chap. 7: thes. 4. The Almighty power, unleavelable wisdome, and infinite Guadnesse of God, so far manifest themselves in his providence that it extendeth even to the siest fall, and all other sins of Angels and men and blat not by a BAR E PERMISSION but such as hath ofned with extends with extended and powerful bounding and NB. OTHERWISE ordering and governing of them in a manifold dispensation to his own

will. But as often as the Will doth not will, it

therefore doth not will, because God hath not

determined that it should will. Therefore - p.

holyend; and thef. 2. In relation to aborforeknowledge and DECREE of Godins be first Cause, All things some to pass landutable and infallibly and and all they am houseound God's certaine Foreleaswhelge of all whings figure, upon his infrustrable and includable Decree for their fugarition, must grant that all humane Actions what foever are immirably necessary, otherwise God Apould not force know them. And what is it than hath begotten a new definition of Liberty, and many distinctions to free Almighty God, and convince man of the guilt of fin, but the common opinion of the Necessay of all humane Actions by reason of the secret effectuals decreeof God ?! The liberty of the lecond cause (saith Master Norton) doth not Vi suprap sankst in a power of indifferency, to 7 after not to alt: (as it was wont to be defined). Liberty consists in a spentae neisse, quam ratio pracedit, fath. Maccovius to A spontaneitie (such sagu Collie) Bealts are carried by,) ulbered in dif. 16. page by Recason ... Therefore what seever a man doske rouson going before, show he dank fracing though he cannot but do its This is the Liberry of the Levisthen; and by this Philosophy, man is yoaked in the same teame with brute Animals, his reason having shabonour to be the fore-horse in every expedizions

Actions are of an unavoidable necessity, by God's insmittable Dearce, and irresistible determination, that God may not be concluded the Author of fin, and that man-may be properly accounted guilty, certain distinctions are in-Voi supra quist (faith Master Norton) be-Allion. Nowithstanding God is na way the Author of the evol of the Adion's yet God ascribeth unto himself the doing of these Altions that are finfull; I. Because he is the Author of the Act wholly: 2. Because he is the fore-determiner, Orderer and Governour of the sinfulnesse of the action to his own glorious and blessed end. The action is ascribed to him absolutely a the fin cleaving to the action nor absolutely, but onely-in such sort and respects. That man may be accounted properly guiley, notwishlanding this inevitable necessity that lies upon him (according to this Doctrin,) they use distinctions to reconcile Liberry with Necessity. To which purpose they lay, r. Tis bus a necessity of immutability, not of compalsion; and 2. though the finfulf Metion be inevitable in sensus composito, that is, in respect of God's Dearce and divine determirations yet insensu diviso, suppose man tese ta his own liberty, and divided from this con-

Again, upon this opidion, that mens evill

duct of Gods providence, (which is impossible) then tis avoidable. These distinctions will ferve to play withall in a Sophisters Problem s But in a matter of so high concernment as life and death eternall, they will lerve as little to magnific Gods justice, as to abate the pains of hell fire in such as shall be damned upon this Account. 4. For the fourth Article, touching the Grace of conversion without these who are Elected cannot reject; those who were Reprobutes ca wet accept it : you may find the Judgement of the Calvinists (and I think of most, if not all, that are of that denomination at this day) bound up in those expressions of Master Norton; Netwithstanding Visi supra the creature in regard of his for- peg. \$26. distinguished from a meer Instrument: yet even those effects wherein God useth the second Causes as a subordinate Free-Agent depend upon and are determined by the first Caused as much as where the second Cause is a meer passive Instrument; because the Free-efficiency of the second Cause, is the effect of the first Cause. Can the Axe not cut, when the Carpenter will have it cut? or can it cut, when he will not have it cut? (I speak not here of Gods direction of Free-Agents to oghets ends and objects; but with reference to

This Doctrine diftinguisaeth men ctively.) from flocks and floors out the work 43 and 4. cb. of Gonverf. Artic. of Gods Regenerating Grace up on them, as little as the Symodifican possibly admit of ; and here is ve-16. p. 259. ry little room for the Free-Agents parte 1. Can and cannots and though hill For the Hell's cannot reject it if Master Baxter doth not think it an about opinion. why doth he alleage any thing to colour over the matter & but if he thinks it abourd and a distinction needfull to cleare the Doctrine; I Thall thew anony that be doth little leffe then reproach them with its eyes by what his cities from them to exculding the first the training That the Reprobate cannot, accept that Grace (or be converted) is the diffind affirmation of Master Fenner more then once or Ras. 8. 10 swice, in his Treasife before menfor why the micked do not repent, our of their finis is not because they not come cannot, (though they cannot) but because they will note For the left Anick of Tilens, That the Rependrate cannot fall analys how ever Master Barrer Blakes an other to except against the Indictment; which Titefas prefer's against them for it, I suppose, no Catainist will deay

fin and the work of their Conversion respe-

it. But what? shall the Elect be saved then however they live? By no means. That Diabolicall Sarsafme (Rith Master + Whi Supra Norson 1), & bister scoffe invented pag. 83. to the abuse and detision of the Doctrine of the Decree & is not enely an untruth, but implyeth a Contradiction; viz. If I be clected, howfoever I live, I shall be faved, Satan in this Sophisme, divides the end and the meanes asunder, which God bath jogned togother. The Decree confifts not of the end without the means, nor of the means without the end but of both together: Both and and means are conteined in one Decree. Tea, fo farrents the Decree from admitting such an inferences de that the contrary infallibly followeth thereupous and in point of Election, is not onely necessarily concluded, but irresistibly cansod. Faith; Repentance, New-Obedience and Perseverance, being the effect of Election. Thus farre Muster Norton; and what carrye with more? But stary, the Divines of the Synod rold us the Elect might fall into most grosse, foul, heinous, wasting finnes. Do these move upon another Center, without the Decree, or hath the Decree of Election made Provision for shem? This looks like a very hard Cha-M. North pter: but Malter Norton and Master Perkins will help us to spell in 57e . out. The Creation of Nian mu-

comfortable, Decies repețita &c.) the permission of fin (and not onely that of Adam's Fall, but, toties quoties, by parity of Reason, to advance God's grace and glory, else it will not serve our turn here; and then the renewed) effectuall Application of Free-Grace, and Glory notwithstanding sin; for the merit sake of Jes for Christ, make up one full medium, conducing to this end, (Gods Glory) as concerning the Elect. To this purpose Master Perkins reckons the sins of the Predestinate, amongst the number of their Priviledges, upon their Adoption: First, saith He, They are Heirs of God; then, Cobsirs with Christ, and Kings, 3. All their afflictions, as also their defects and slips (or falls) are onely paternall castigations for their good. In his Armilla Aurea. cap. 37. 2.4. Now can any Calvinist but M. Baxter call it abusive language, and a perverse insumution, to say, That such as have received that Speciall Regenerdting Grace (which is the fruit of Election) can never fall from it, neimithstanding the most exermous sins they can commit? Why, man, they have an Absolute Decree passed in heaven; for their Indempnity. And what is that Decree? The Decree (saith Will Signa. M. Norton) is God by one eternall-Jago Sile. free-constant Act, absolutely determining the futurition, i.e. the infallible fu-

table, (you had the words before, but being for

ture being of what seever is besides himself, unto the praise of his own Glory. If Election, which is God himself (according to this Doctrine) be absolutely theirs, there can be no more danger of miscarriage in their salvation, than there is that God Almighty should lose his very being; and therefore the Assembly of Divines, confonantly to these principles have percmptorily defined, that, They whom God hath accepted in his beloved, effectually called, and sancuified by bis Spirit, neither totally nor finally, ean fall away from the state of Grace; but shall certainly perfevere therein unto the end, and be eternally saved. In their Confess. Chap. 17.

Thus, if Master Baxter will not, other Readers will be satisfied, that the several Articles of Tilenus stand impregnable, as to the matter of Fast, against the very Synod of Dort, their Predecessors and their late and Present Adkerents. And now where shall Master Baxter erect a new Forge for Calamany and Falsboods, to justifie his uncharitable-nesse (to say no more) in casting out a suspicion whether Tilenus were a Christian, in the 8 and 13. Sections of his Presace?

Master Baxter's discourte, in defence of the innocent Tilenas. There is a Rationall part yet behind (such as 'tis) and that Master Baxter may have no occasion to blame our neglect of that, or triumph over it; we shall make Restacions upon the severall remarkable periods of it, beginning (where Tilenas is first ingaged) at the Sixth Section of his Preface.

Where Master Baxter breaks off from Master Master Master Baxter breaks off from Master Baxter Baxter breaks off from Master Baxter Baxter breaks off from Master Baxter Baxt

T Have done with the reproachfull part of

ster Pierce, and goes out of his way, though he thinks it is a stepping into his duty, to Rebuke the unwerthy dealing of & Master Rurce his friend, whom he process not to have feels or known to this day) Tilenus. He pretends, faith Master Baxter, to give us concisely but truly, the summe of the Doctrine of the Synad of Dore in the five Articles. And when he bath made this promise, he presently falls to falifysteam, or a Man: the weight of the case and greatnesse of his sinne, command me to be thus plain: yeawere I of his Rarty, I must say the fame. What! Catholicke M. Baxter, come already to espouse a Party!

Tilenus will one day thank you for the intended charity of your Rebukes. In the meane time on his behalf, I defire the Indifferent Reader but to lay this childe at the own Fathers doore; and so to his discretion I shall leave it. But, What! (saith M. Baxter,) shall so many

But, What! (saith M. Baxter,) shall so many Countries purposely Consult to declare their thoughts, and their writings be common in the hands of all, and the adversary purposely write against them, and pretend to be acquainted with their Dostrine, and make it his design to bring it to be odious to the world, and yet shall falsty tell the world, that they hold and affert the things that they are not early silent in, but disonn, detest, and are contrary to their Dostrine.

Ans. For the Convention of so many

Ans. I. For the Convention of so many Countreys to consult; that is no more then may be alleaged by the Fautors of the Trent Councill. 2. Writings that are commonly in mens hands are not commonly read, not alwayes understood, never sited to a discovery of their absurdaties by Persons that swear alleageance to their admired Authors, uponothers commendations, as too too many do of all Sects what soever. 3. The Adversaries design was not, to bring that Doctrine to be odious: but to evince it to be unprasticable (at

all Sects whatsoever. 3. The Adversaries design was not, to bring that Doctrine to be odious: but to evince it to be unpracticable (at least) and uselesse; which I think is yet sufficiently done, for all your pretended Vindication. 4. Whether he tells the World salfe tales, more then Master Baxter, is submitted

vention to be, to suppresse errours &c. and therefore, 6. If they had detested these, certainly they would have disowned them. However I am glad the Doctrines, which Tilenus charges them with, are detestable in the judgement of Master Baxter. I pray God keep him still in this minde! though he proceeds to rebake Tilenus in these words; Truly this is an exceeding shame to the Arminian and Jesuit Cause, to find the learned Patrons of it, to deal so unconscionably that a Reader cannot believe them; and that where it is so easte to any to see their falshoods. Answ. 1. The Jesuise Cause is lest to their own vindication, or your Catholick charity. But, s. For the Patrons of that Cause (you call Arminian, being the Catholicke Doctrine of Christ's Church (as you acknowledge for some of its Branches, in your Account of Perseverance) for one thousand foure hundred years together) what is the Reason you cannot believe them? Perhaps because you will not read them. How shall they believe on him of whem they have not heard? As to your Controversie with Tilenus, read that Antidotum forementioned: after a serious and impartiall perusall whereof, if you do not sit down with

to the Judgement of the Reader. 5. If they

be silent in these things, their silence is con-

fent; for they declare the end of their Con-

the side that they have taken, welfethey will think him in the right that bath the last word; -but usually they will go with the Party that is in greatest credity or hath most interest in them, or advantage on them. But 3. you upbraid them with unconscionable dealings, unworthy falsification, perverse infinuation; and upon this threefold Cord it is that you suspend your belief towards them. But can you discover such meats in the Remonstrants eyes (which how many soever your Multiplying Glaffe, or indisposed Medrum presented to you, are by this time washed out of Tilenus's) and can you not see the Beams that are lodged in the eyes of your own Party? Do they stand at too near a distance for you to behold them? If you will promile to suspend your faith here too, upon the discovery of such beams, I will be so chasitably officious as to direct you to a Prospect whence you may take a full view of them. If you have seen Festus Hommius, (who was one of the Scribes of the Synod) his Specimen Controversiarum Belgicarum, you might have seen enough of such dealings as you unjustly charge Tileness with, as is sufficient-

satisfaction herein, I shall conclude in your own words to Master Warner (of Justification

pag. 314.) It is not replying that will ferve the turne: but either prejudice will hold them to

Hommiss; the other this, Optima Fides Festi Hommi, &c. Of this Man and his Brother Scribe Doctor Damman, the Pag. 11. Author of that Antidotum, Writerh thus, To whom is the falfhood of these men anknown? Festisc. Hommii in edendis & pro arbetrio suo truncandis atque interpretandis Trelcatiorum Scriptis, non sine magnorum virerum gravissima indignatione? Similiter in propolandis pessima fide Episcopii Disputationibus privatis, &c. And of Bogerman President of the Synod, He saith thus, An non ille est, oui (ô justa Nemesis!) arres, fraudes, & mondacia sua (quibus tuulis ille innoxios & insontes Remon-Bb. pag. 10. Strantes in Synodo, Suopte arburatu injussus & prater omnem ratiomem oneratos ac gravatos tanta cum acerbitate or amarulentia dimittebat, ut poenitentia tactus, veniam sibi posteà petendam indicarei) adeoque ambitio & Diragneia palam publicéque exprobrata & in os objetta sunt, quod, vid. &c. But alas! these are Peccadillo's not worthy Master Baxter's taking notice of; we will therefore bring him to a Mount, (which will afford him a notable Prospect indeed) whence he shall descry the Reputation of the Inno-

ly discovered in two little Pamphlets, the one

bearing this Title, Joan. Wtenbog ards Respon-

sio ad ea quæ illi speciatim impegit Festus

Hattenus Remonstrantibus, lasth the fame, Author, ferè cripani da- Pag. 23. two tft, quod mala fide sententiam contra Remonstration proponerent at que exprimerent: dicivix potest, quot convitia, dira ac probra propterea passim contra Remonstrantexim forus, pulpitus, circulis, convivius, scaphis, rhedis, curribus, trivisque hominum dicta ac projects furrint, tanquam in falsi manifestes & fide omni indignos Mortales. Ipsa Synodus Arnhemiensis (O rem fædam ac detestandam! quis credidisset?) ausa est sententiam illum, quam Remonstrantes ipsissimam ac genuinam (ontra-Remonstrantium sententiam esse asserebant, tanquam fredamatque impiam sub vocabulorum quorundam homonyma & aquirocatione communibus calculis damnare, e à tantum de cansa, ut falsum dixisse Remonstrances crederetur, aique sta publici odii villima sierent. But to bring the Prospect a little nearer to Master Baxters ken. Was there no such Artifice used in the Synod of Dori? What say they in their fourth Rejection, upon the First Chapter of Divine Predestination? They reject the errour of thole who teach, that in the Election unto faith this Condition is formerly required, viz. That a man use the light of Reason aright, that he be honest, lowly,

cent Remonstrants, bleeding under the stroaks of such objected forgeries and Calumnies.

though in some fort Election depended on these things. Is not here an infinuation, as if the Remonstrants held this Doctrine, (the designe of the Synod being to declare against them) yet say the Remonstrants, this is falsly and by way of Calumny thrown upon them; for the Contrary appears as clear in their writings, as the light at noon day a. 3 Ibid.p.72. In the fixth Rejection, they reject those who teach that not all election unto salvation is unchangeable, but that some which are elected, the Decree of God notwithstanding, may perish and for ever do perish. The Synod herein doth adulterate, pervertand traduce the Doctrine of Ibid. p. 76. the Remonstrants by odious expressions. That last branch, that the elect may perify eternally, the Decree of God notwithstanding, is without cause thrown upon them, and against their judgements. For the first, they ever professe Election and the will of God to be immutable. Indeed when they say so, they make the subject, about which Election is exercised, to be the faithfull man, as such. Hence it comes to passe, when that man who believes to day turnes Infidell to morrow, there is no change in Gods Election, but in the man onely. The Reason is, because God will not chuse the un-

humble, and disposed unto life eternall, as

But the truth is, if the will of God, or the Divine Election concerning that man, (now) become unfaithfull, should persevere, then the will of God should properly be changed: because he should will to elect unto salvation not onely the faithfull men, but the unfaithfull also. In the Seventh Rejection; the Remonstrants complain, that they (of the Synod) have cloathed a most certain truth with some rough invented Phrases, to make it odious, and look ugly. The Errour Ibid. p. 77. rejected is, That in this life there is no fruit, no sense, no certainty of immutable election unto glory, but upon condition, contin. gent, and mutable. But the Remonstrants professe they have not these words in all their writings. They know no fruit more sweet to a pious man, then what grows upon the confideration of Gods unchangeable love; whereby he will most affuredly conferre eternall life upon believers. As for that opinion (which some place so much of their comfort in) that he who doth once truly believe, may be alwaies certain of his being in the faith and Grace of God, however he pollutes, or be-

faithfull, but the faithfull. And therefore

when the faithfull man becomes unfaithfull,

the will of God concerning the Election of faithfull men remains uniform and the same:

haves himselse, this is a fruit, which indeed they cannot relish, growing onely upon that tree of Election, which (by whomsoever it was planted) hathno sound root in Scripture. In their Ninth Rejection the Synod doth covertly infinuate (to make them odious) that the Remonstrants teach, That the cause why God sends the Gospel rather unto this Nation than unto another, is not the meere and onely good pleasure of God, but because this Nation is better and more worthy of it than that, to which he hash not communicated the Gospel. But the Remonstrantsknow none that do say or think fo. Upon all occasions they have profest and taught the contrary, saith the Author of that Antidotum, pag. 79. v. sqq. This last imputation Perfricte frontis & mentie. adio tantum non excacata indicium est. 16. p.80. Touching the Second Chapter (of Redempsion) in their Second Rejection, (That this was not the end of Christs death, that he might establish a new Covenant of grace by his blood; bus onely that he might precure unto his. Father the bare right of making again with men any covenant what soever, whether of Grace, or of works) here in the first member (of this Article) they impute to the Remonstrants what is manifestly falle, and contrary to their publick Doctrine. And the Second Member is a fiction and interpolation to render them odions. Vid.ib. p.88. In

In the Fourth Rejection, the first branch is of the fame complexion; and the opposition made therein very unapt and sidiculous. Wid. p. 89. The first Rejection, the Remonstrants observe to be equivocal, and to admit of a double sense. Whereof they account one to be false, but the other they do most stedfastly embrace.ib.p. 90. Touching the Third and Fourth Chapters, (of Conversion) they say, they may justly challenge three things of the Synod. I. Bonam sidem. 2. Charitatem sive aquitatem. 3. Prudentiam & attentionem, pag. 104. The first we are now concern'd to inquire into. And whereas, in their First Rejection, they condemn the Remonstrants, as teaching (that it cannot well be avouched that originall sinne of it self is sufficient for the condemning of all mankind, Gc-) If they understand this of Adam's first sinne, there is none of them bur acknowledge, that the guilt of it hath overspread his whole posterity, and made them obnoxious to condemnation: But whether that which is appendant to it, by way of punishment, makes a new guilt and begets a new punishment, no act of mans will passing unto the espousing of it; this they think too vain and triviall a fubtilty to contend about! In their Fourth Resection, They infinuate, that the Remonstrants teach, That an Unregenerate man is not properly, nor totally dead in

in their third Article they confesse, That in the state of Apostasie and sin, man of himself and by himself can neither think, will, or do any good, that is truly good. In the Seventh Rejection, They impute to them, that they teach, that, The Grace whereby we are converted is nothing else, but a gentle suasion, &c. whereas there is not one of them have such an affirmation, That nothing else is required to the power of believing but a gentle suasion. Quid enim ineptius quam Poientiam in homine effici per suasionem? For what can be more foolish than to affirm that a power may be wrought in man by perfuasion? In the Eighth Rejection they charge them to affirm, That it lyeth in mans power to be, or not to be regenerated. When their meaning is no more but this, that it may come to passe, that man may oppose a new contumacie, or resistance to God's Call, &c. Ibid. pag. IOT. In the Fifth Chapter (of Perseverance) (as in the rest) the 1,2,3, and 4 Re-Ibid. p.119, jestions, are observed to be of the same argument and importance, that by the Fiction of a multiplicity of errours the Remonstrants might be rendred the

fins, nor destitute of all strength tending to spirituall good, &c. whereas there is not one of

them that did ever write or affirm so : but

sides, there is (to use Master Baxter's own words) a perverse infinuation in the first Rejection; where they affirm, there are some (meaning the Remonstrants) who teach, That Perseverance is a condition of the New Covenant, which is to be performed on mans part, by his own free-will, before his peremptory election and justification. In that this condition is said to be performed by mans freewill, the Remonstrants are brought 16th, p.126. under a suspicion, as if they did not think the grace of God and the continuall assistance of his Holy Spirit necessary unto perseverance in that which is good; whereas the Synod knew they were of a contrary persuasion: Besides, by implying, that they should affert any free-will to be in man, which was not made so by grace, to do that which is pleasing unto God, they procured the Remonstrants envy, which was a manifest injury to them. Likewise in the Second Rejection, the Remonstrants are (Master Baxter would fay) feigned to teach, That when as all abilities necessary unto perseverance, and all shings, which God is pleased to use for the preservation of faith, are granted and set in readinesse, that it still remaineth in the choise, and pleasure of mansimile

more odious; and this is none of the most

conscionable, much lesse worthy dealing. Be-

to persevere; or not. Which words do darkly infinuate, as if the Remon-Arants did believe and teach, that Ibid. God, for his part, doth first perform all those things, that are behoofull, towards men, and then leave them to themfelves, affording them no further grace or help to affist, and exstimulate them to do their duty: But this is far from the Remonstrants Doctrine, who did alwayes undoubtedly hold, that God doth alwayes, both in the beginning, progresse and end, more especially in temptations, affift and help man by his grace to persevere in that which is good, unlesse he confronts those divine aides and fuccours by the any outbinesse of a shameful neglect, or the apposition of a contumacions rebellion-The liberty whereof, under the most gracious and potent dispensations; is ordinarily, according to His most just and wife providence, reserved automan by Almighty God, that so his perseverance may be, under such a sweet conduct, a work of his own choise and duty and consequently capable of the Divine approbation and reward. Once more; That Author complains, that such Doctrines are imputed to them, as the Remonstrants, never to much as dreamt of, especially, as they stand reported by the Synod. For example; such as are in their Fifth

Rejection, viz. That no certainty of future perseverance can be had in this life, Ibid. p.127. without speciall revelation. Indeed they own no such certainty of a future absolute perseverance, as flows from an inconditionate Election, and serves to furnish Cordials for the secure and sinfall, in the midst of their perversities, drowning their cares and sofrows, and extinguishing their fears of hell fire and Goes displeasure. But they do most willingly acknowledge that an upright man, one that feareth God, eschews evill and worketh righteousnesse, may be certain of his future perseverance; so that no force, fraud, or fallacy shall be able to robhim of (that treasure) his hope of eternall life, if so be he be not wanting to Gods grace, but walks circomspectly in that road which God hath appointed to lead him in, and befet it with Guards of Angels to secure his passage against the incursions of the enemie. And he that walks according to this Rule, Peace be upon frim and mercy ! But in the Sixth Rejection, there is another unworthy imputation cast upen the Remonstrants, viz. That it is a very commendable thing to be donbtfull of future Perseverance. They say, That as the Regenerate are begotten to a lively hope, to they go on (if they do their duty) to a Persuasion, and grow up to a full Affurance, and they ex-

not onely doubt of it, but absolutely deny it, as having no just Title to the ground 'tis built upon, and having a Genius that comports too much with the interests of the selfh and carnall security, and apt to make abatements in our accounts of folid devotions, and the practice of Holy duties. He that seeks for such a certainty of his perseverance in God's favour, as may be made serviceable to caresse him in the heighth of his lust and vanity; , is sure never to find it otherwise, then by specially revelation. For the Holy Scriptures will not afford it. And the Revelation that brings it being contrary to that Rule, how extraordinary foever, cannot come from heaven, and therefore ought to be suspected (if ever it comes) for a strong delusion. And now having discharged my undertaking, and brought Master Baxter to so sull and cleare a view, of that unconscionable dealing, unworthy falsification, and perverse insinuation, in the Practices of his own Party, I hope they shall receive equal meafure from him with Tilenus and his Adherents. I hope he will not yet Jurare in verba:

Heb. 6.11. hort every one (with the Apostle)
to shew the same diligence to the full assurance of

hope even unto the end. And this is Gospell

truth, Christian consolation, and a practice

laudable. But for the certainty of such a per-

severance, as was mentioned above; they do

but suspend his belief of their sayings allo, till a further examination. . The double of the state of th Reslexions upon the VII. Section. Here we cannot but take notice of M. Baxter's ingenuity in auknowledging the full feofe and importance of the first Article, as it is charged upon the Sonotl and its Adherents; For he confesses in the Decree of Election; God had no regard to faith or obedience, in the persons whom he did elect, as a means or Antecedent to his Decree; and this he knows well enough to be the point in question, 12., That he appointeth the Reprobates to damnation, without any regard to their Impenitoncy or Infidelity; This Master Baxter acknowledgeth too; for he faith; they (of the Synod) professe, that is is for their infidelity and other fins, that God decrees to damn them, as the Cause nof damnation, though not of the eternall decree. Therefore the appointment of them to eternal damnation, (which is the Decree, and that which Tilenus spake of) though not the extension of the tappointment, was without engregard to their infidelity or impenitency. One would think now that Tilenus, had a faire Tiele to Master Baxter's right hand of fellow-

to blind, of let a faire gloke upon the ablurdities of those to whose opinions he is wedded, the proceeds in his discourse, and first by way of Interrogatory, he demands (as if this circumstance were the main binge of the whole: Controvethe) Where talk they of a veay listle number d Answ. With your patience, Sir, I conceive Tilenus had the phrase (as you heard) from Matter Calvin, whose expressions the Synod did roo much reverence to dilown, much lesse detest them. And therefore though they have not the very words, they come not floot of the fense. For they fay, that out of the common malitude of sinners, he coll'dout to binifulf, for his own peculiar, some testain. Cap. 1. Art. 10. O Avery. A fee member of certain men, and so Rejection 1. And Cap. 3. O 4. Arr. 7. They say, under the Old Testas ment God disclosed unto but a Few this secret of his will, (viz. concerning salvation) and yet I hope is was disclosed to all the Elect (at that timeira capacity to receive the Revelation.) So that by luck, we have found, a Few, even in their Canons, and some certain, cull'a, suit persons, for his own peculiar. And now I hope

ship : but to shew the pregnancy of his wit in taking up exceptions, in the writings of

such as differ from him, and the acutenesse

of his judgement in finding out distinctions

Master Buxter will be satisfied in this particulat, it I had in Tilenes his behalf faid, that the number whether more or lesse shall not in-crease the quarrest But the next word would have done it, if Master Baxier had not been prudent in the choice of it. For he doth not rudely fay, It is a lie, but more modefity, h's not true? But what is the unwith? That they lay, he doth it (elect unto fateation) (Without any regard to their faith or obedience what sever. But doth Master Baxter lay this is an untruth? yes and proves it too, and that substantially, by a handsomeway of stiding from the question; For they professe, faith He, that he (God) hath regard to it, (and adouble regard too), 1. as the benefit which he decreeth to give them, 2. As the condition of the Glory, which he decreeth them. But what is this to the matter in question? The question is about the Prevision of it, as a qualification, wrought by Gods Grace, in the perion to be Elected, and you tell us of a Provision made for it, that it may be wrought, ex Post facte, after they are elected. I'le discover the impertinency in a familiar instance. Suppose Master Baxter hath a sequestred Parlonage at his disposall, and power to give Ordination, Institution and Industion: one reports of him that he hath made choice of an Incumbent without any regard to his Learning or Godline se

what soever, he being acknowledged to be a very ignorant and vicious person to all the neighbourhood. Upon this report Master Baxten's Confident undertakes the vindication, and to faire the matter, He cryes out, It's not true, that he made him Incumbent to that Parsonage; without any regard to his learning or Godline se what soever; For he had regard to it, I as a benefit, or quality which he designed to work in him. 2. A the condition of that greater preferment which he intended to confer upon him. This is Master Baxters way of Answering Tilenus. He decreeth to save none (saith M. Baxter.) but for their Obedience as the fruit of faish, which is not a means or Antecedent to Gods decree, but to our salvation, as the most rigid Anti-Arminians teach. (For obedience as the fruit of faith?) Is the fruit better then the tree? why not for haith, as well as for obedience, or rather for both together? But if ye thould let it log Eaith being much dearer to some of them then Obedience) yet I doubt many of your rigid Anti-Arminians would not teach so, Why not thus? By Grace to through Faith, for Christ bis sake? Or if you will, + Epb. 2.8. dy Chap, 4. Secundum opera, but not Propter 32. opera, by no means; works are via ad Regnum, but not Causa regnandi,

The way unto the kingdome of Heaven, but not the Cause of reigning there; and therefore let it be according to their workes, and not for their works. For if your For De Causalus respectu Consequentiu, and not onely Rationalis respectu Consequentie; it hath Popery in the belly, or at least a piece of the Grotian Religion, and though Bellarmine makes it a point of his Beliefe. yet Amesius cannot digestit. Bellarm. Ener vat. Tom, 4. pag., 208, This obedience, as the fruit of Paith, you say, is not a Meanes or Antecedent to God's Decree, but to our Salvation. This is ORTHODORT indeed. But you might have faid the same of the sins of the Elect. as well as of their Faith and Obedience. For they are all alike Ingredients to make up that One full Medium, as concerning the Elect. They are part of the Means or Antecedent in order to the Execution of that Decree, as you have heard before out of Master Perkins, and Master Norton; And would not this be very wholesome Doctrine to teach your people, that God had a regard to the Reymassion of sinne in them, and their severall falls, though into most heinous, wasting crimes, to serve for Father. ty chastisements, as well as to their Faith and Obedience, to make up the full and

the execution of the Decree of their Ele-Master Baxter goes on with his exceptions. He calls that Sectuding, all the rest from faving grace, which the Synod calls but Praterition, and Non-Election, and Reliction. What attabiliary and hypocondriat Passion suggested this exception to him? Is faving grace attainable or within the reach of these Non-Elect, Relict, (Gemaries trath Abject) Paft-Byes? If not, why do you carp at the word Secluded? you have a mind to find a knot in a built rush, if you could tell how. But to let you lee it was not a word invented or made nse of by Tilenus, to the Prejudice of your Party, you may find it used by some of them before him. Etsi Deus ab aterno terros ques? dam ad commounionem salutis in Christo Elegit, alios vero abea EXCLUSIT pro sno beneplacito: Zanch in Misel, tract, de Pradest. Sanct. c. I. in Thesib. de Instit. Des Thes. 8. And Calvin. Inftit. Iib. 3 c. 23. Sect. 1. in pr. Quos ergo Deus praterit reprobat; neque alsa do causa (observe that) nist quod ab haveditate quam filis suis pradestinat, illes vult exclus dere. I hope you will allow Exclusic and excludere, to be very near of kinto Sectioned; and so I leave it. But Master Baxter hath a severer censure

intire Means or Antecedent in order to

worthily feigneth them to say that God (uppointeth them to eternall damnation without any regard to their imperimency or infidelity,) when they professe, that is proporter infidelitatem & catera Peccata, that he decrees to damn them, as the Causes of damnation, though not of the eternall decree.) Why then, Tilenus faid true, They were appointed, without any regard to their Infidelity &c. Ay, but heregarded their Infidelity and other fins as the Casifes of damnation. Your meaning is, that those him are the means or Anrecedent (as your expression was a little before) in order to the execution of this Decree. And so are their very belt works by the Doctrine of your Party, who speak conferently to their principles. Statuere possumus bona opera Pradestinationi quandoque, quandoque essam reprobationi infervere. Predestinatio per illa gloriana Dei illustrat, & quead reprobationem, sunt nonzanquam raciones, quare gravier reddatur Lapjus. Qui enim à Deo deficient, cum ab illo fuerint ernati benis eperibus, ui gravius peccunt, itu etiam acerbins punisheur. We may refolve that good works do letve to the furtherance sometimes of Predestination, and sometimes of Reprobation. Predestination doth set forth the glory of God by them; and in respect of Reprobation, they are many times the means

for Tilenus in his following words, (He un-

to aggravate Relapses into sin. For they who doe fall from God, when he hath adorned them with Good works, as they do more grie-Loc.Com.De Reprob. Tob! , yoully lin, to are they also more sevenely punished, saith Steph. Szegedin. 2. But doth not your 1. 122.f. Decree of Reprobation (in good earnest,) make provision for those sins, in oreder to the illustration of Gods justice, when he shall condemny them An attentive Reader may remember something alleadged (above) to this purpose? But not to leave it unto conjecture in a matter of so great moment, I shall give you Master Nortons words, base of his Outhodox Evangelist. pag. 56.f.11.) The and of God in the Decree, faith he, is himfelf, for the manifestation of his glory, in a way of Justice upon the Reprobate. The creation of man mutable, the permission of fin, the punishing of him justly fan sinne, make up one full and perfect medium, (that is, meanes) conducing to this end, as concerning the Reprobase. (Remember 'tis the constant and unanimous Doctrine of the Calvings, that the Decree includes the means as well as the end, And this is the very Doctrine of Gomarus held forth in the Synod, not desested, nor rejected, nor disonned, nor silenced; for it is inserved amongst their Acrs. Part. 3. pag. 24. Thef, a. you had it fully in the former passa-

Act. Syn. Dor. part. 2. pag. 154. f. Quid ergo? an peccata fiunt necessario? ita est sane; si nempe intelligasnecessitatem illam, quæ pendet a gemina hypothefi, decreti scilicet permittentis, & finis boni. What thin? are sinnes committed necessarily? yes, foit is, if you confider that necessity which depends upon a double hypothesis, that is to say, the Decree (not ineffectually, for so they hold of permission) Permitting, and the Good end intended. The case then in short may be thus illustrated. A Noble man commits Treason for which his Prince leizeth upon all his estate to the utter undoing of his posterity. These, being disabled to purchase Armes and other accommodations for the warres, according to that equipage that becomes their Noble extraction, the Prince makes a Decree, of two branches, 1. Negative, That none should affist or supply their needs, 2. Positive, That they shall lose their heads: but this shall be for neglect of duty, or disobedience; which that they may be found guilty of, they are fummon'd to appear in person, as becomes their Noble birth, and his Eminence, to fight his battads. These unhappy persons appear with such strength and Accoutrements as are left them,

ges. Hereuponthe Divines from the Correspondence of Widderau, doe conclude in the

Name of those Churches to this purpose.

just cause to fall upon them, and take away their lives, and accordingly Sentence is given, and the fatal Block and Axe imploy'd for a present execution. If any should complain, that this were great severity, towards poore wretches made milerable by their Fathers mifcarriage, which they could no way hinder or consent unto (being no way privy to it); Master Baxier is an able Advocate to justifie these proceedings. He will tell us, (if one should say these men were appointed to death without any regard to their disobedience) it was for their disobedience and neglect of duty that the Prince decreed to behead them, as the Causes of their beheading, though not of the Decreeit self. Here it will be seasonable to take notice of a subrile Distinction, which some of this Party makes u'e of tomaintain that Anvid.p 38. (Horrible Decree) as Master Calvin calls it, and yet to free Gods Supralapsajustice, as they suppose, from the lians . imputation of Severity. 'I'is one thing, say they, to Predestinate and Create unto damnation, another thing to Predestinate and Create unto Destruction. God, say they,

according to the notice they have of the Prince his pleasure. But being unable, naked

wretches as they are, to subdue their Princes

enemies, He is informed, that now he hath a

bath Reprobated and Created to destruction the farre greatest part of mankind without any respect at all to fin in them: But he hath not preordained, or doomed any one man to eternall damnation (and the Synod makes a great use of this word Damnation) without respect to fin coming between. What is the ground of this opinion or Distinction? When God condemneth the world, He performs the Office of a fudge, who pronounceth sentence upon the gailty, and therefore he hath (in that Capacity) a respect to foregoing sio, as the meritorious cause of that his sentence. But when he doth reprobate to eternall Destruction, he useth his Right of Domi-Vid. All. nion; as an absolute Independent Syn. Dork. and fupreme Lord, who being part. 3. pag. bound to none, deals thus by his 67. af. creatures without any intuition or fight of sinne and transgression in them, as himself pleaseth. Hence it is that so many men make the glory of Gods Power and Soveraigney trather then + See The Assemblies that of his Justice, the end of Re-Confess. of probation. Fims Reprobationis est Fairb.Chap. gloria Dei. Nam sic Deus demon-3. Tbef. 7. strat Liberam (nam potentiam, & jus Summum faciendi de suis creaturis quod vult, say the Divines of Embden in their Suffrage, De Artic. 1. Thes. 2. Hypothes. 4.

the Decrees and Articles that define Damnation to be in confideration of fin onely. And this was a great help to accomplish that harmony and confent (not fo much of minds and meanings, as of modes of Speech and Phrases) which we find in that Synod. And now is not this Decree notably Calculated to fet forth the Glory of the Divine Attributes? First God Reprobates and Creates the greatest number of men to destruction, to let forth the Glory of his Soveraigs Power: so say the Supralapsarians. And then, that the Divine Justice may have her there in Glory, order is taken by the same Decree, which comprehends the See Gomar, means as well as the end t, that Th. de Præsin shall fall in, to make those perdeft. disput. fons guilty, that they may be an (1604) 7b. object fit for Justice to triumph 23. and M. Norton ubi over, under a sentence of condem-Supra. pag. nation. And though this was a 56, 57. very common Doctrine amongst the Greater Lights (as they were reputed) of those Churches, yet they were not troubled at them, but at thefe that detected their enormity, and consequently, not those errours, but these Persons, that attempted their Reformation, are ejected. But

Pag. 76. And that (now mentioned) Distinction doth inable the Supralapsarians, to hold

their own opinions, and yet to subscribe to

to make it more plausible to inconsiderate Readers, that look but superficially upon it. But weigh it exactly, and it renders the Do-Arine much more absurd and repudiable. For, (as hath been intimated) it makes sin by Gods design to truckle + + Quamvis enim peccaunder this Decree of Reprobatitum, in deon, as a necessary consequent, creto Teproand as a means subordinate to the bationis, non peliavit Deexecution of it; so that accor-Hs, HI cquding to this opinion, the Refam illius ob = probates are at first (in our manjellam, baner of apprehension) inevitably buit tamen destinated to destruction, and them permissionis illius ratioto sinne, that that destruction nem, ut memay be ushered in with the Fordii quod fimalities of a Judicial Processe, ni, reprobationis subjeand a sentence of condemnation. cit ac subordinavit. Gomarus in disput. de Prad. (disp. 1609.) Thes. 91 And yet after all the service this Distinction of Reprobation hath been prest to do them, it proves to be but a Distinction without a difference, upon the matter, by their own confession. It is but Docendi causa, to help Lear-

ners, that they consider a double Act, one Ne-

But doth this respect of infidelity and im-

penitency, or other sins, as the Causes of dam-

nation, though not of the Eternall Decree,

mend the matter or make it worse? It seems

is pracerition, the other Affirma-Compend. tive, (the destination of due pus Chr. Theol. nishment) which is Pradamnatipag. 26. on, saith Wollebins, and so lay the four Professors of Leyden, in their Synopsis Purioris Theol. Disput. 24. Thes. 52. (mibi) pag. 308. Whereupon Molineus deals in-In Anatome. genuously, and tells us plainly сар. 13.раthey come both to one reckoning, TAB. 3. as we say; Reprobare ac velle damnare idem esse, quemadmodum eligere idem est, ac velle salvare. To Reprobate, and to will damnation are the same thing, even as to elect is the same as to will salvation. And though he styles the Synod Reverend, and commends it for the celebrity and sanctity of it; and again they give him thanks, for his accurate judgement and consent in Doctrine; yet in this he goes against the whole stream of them; and (in the 9. Parag. of that his Anatome,) he takes up an objection: Non efsugeret, qui diceret. Reprobatione non destinari homines ad damnationem, sed tantum prateriri, aut non eligi. If any one faith, men are not destinated to damnation by Reprobation, but are onely passed by or not Elected, he shall not escape so, saith Molin. Nempe sic quaruntur verba molliora, quibus cadem res

gative, (the denyall of undue Grace) which

tionem; sive id faciat, ex quo dammatio necessared sequiture. For it is all one, whether God doth destinate a man to damnation, or doth that from which damnation necessarily follows. Molin knew well enough, that to Reprobate is, as it were, a putting the fatall rope about the mans neck, and tying his hands behind him: and whatever follows, whether exhortations or prayers, is but in order to a preparation for turning the Ladder. Hereupon he concluded, that no man is Reprobated but for sin. (ibid. parag. 3.) But Mo Baxter would make us believe, in his next words, that the Synod and himself too are of this opinion; for he goes on, and faith, They do not onely respect Infidelity and other sins as the canse of damnation, but as the state, in which God findeth many, when he denyeth them the grace of Faith; You speak not a word of Impenitency, 'tis clearly granted by you all, that that was not looked upon in the Act of Preservition. But for its companion, (as Tilenus had linked them together) though you divorce them, for your advantage, remem .. bring the old Rule (Divide & Impera) I mean Infidelity, God had respect to that, as the state wherein he sound many, &c. I pray

dicatur. This is but a dressing up of an ugly-Matter in finer and softer words. Perinde e-

nim est, sive Deus destinet hominem ad damna-

Adult onely? 2. Is there not a Fallacy in those words, (When he denyeth them the grace of Faith?) He denyeth it to the Reprobates for ever; and therefore if you understand it of his deniall of This grace in the last stage of their lives, He must needs find them then in a state of Infidelity. Or 3. do you mean the Heathens, by thele Many? What state can they possibly be found in else, when God denyeth them the Grace of Faith? But if this beyour meaning, you have placed that Infidelity amongst very unfit Associates; For this can be but a Negative, not a Posirive Infidelity; and so whether it can be reckoned amongst their other sins (as being a fin it selfe) is another question. †But 4. did God find any, really, + That men cannot see or in the state of Infidelity, when believe, he denyed them the Grace of without a Faith, according to the Doctrine certain Meof the Synod? Do not they and dium or objed, this is you conclude, that Preterition is no moretheir the denyall of this Grace? 'Iis fault, then it proved sufficiently already that is that they they do so. And you know, some fee not nonexistents,&cc. of them are of opinion, (and that M. Baxierof opinion not rejected by the rest) laving faith that in his Preterition God conpag. 52. f. sidered mankinde, onely as having

how many are they? and which? Infants or

ency of his divine power; Did God finde any then in a state of Insidelity? They that bring the Decree of Reprobation down lowest' (amongst the Synodist's) do affirme, that it was passed in consideration of the Fall of Adam. To this purpose I might All. Syned. produce a cloud of witneffes, were Dord 2.part. it not needlesse, seeing we find P48.77.9.5. to much in confirmation of ita-3. part. pag' mongst the very Decrees and Ar-24. thef. 7. TP. 123.f. ticles of the Synod, to which all thole Divines subscribed. That God out of his mere just will hath not decreed to leave any man in the fall of Adam, and common state of sin and damnation, or to passe over any in the communication of grace necessary unto faith and conversion. This they reject as one of the troublesome errours. Cap. 1. Reieit. 8. and cap. 2. Reelt g. That all men are received into the face of reconciliation and grace of the Covenant, so that no body shall be condemned for originall sin, nor, inrespect of it, be liable unto de 11h or damn i confusithat all are acquitted, and freed from the guilt of that fine This they reject as the same errour too. To the like purpole is the first Rejettion of the 3. and 4. Chapters. Where we have not onely rejestion or denyal of grace but dannation allo intailed upon Original sin. And if the grace of

a possibility of being, in regard of the suffici-

faith was denyed to them upon that account, how could God find them before it in the state of Infidelity? Sure you will not make it Adams Rate before his fall, for he had no need, and therefore it was no part of his duty to believe; in the Gospel sense of believing; and consequently Originall sin, whether as committed by him, or derived unto us, cannot be Infidelity; Therefore that was not the state he left men in, and yet the Decree of Reprobation had no other lower Prospect of man, as a condition to passe him by upon, but that wherein Adam left him, as the Synod hath defined. And therefore your [other fins] must disband together with your state of Insidelity, unlesse Originall sin be a Noun of Multitude; For that is that, which the Synod calls the common state of fin and damnation, wherein they say, God left the Reprobate, when he denyed them the grace of Faith. But M. Baxter proceeds, and tells us of the Synod further, that Of all the Non-elect they determine that God leaves them but in that misery, into which, by their own fault they precipitate themselves: and that he leaves them by his just Judgement to the Malice and Hardnesse of their own hearts. 'Tis most certain, when ever God leaves men. he doth it by his most just judgement: but that He should leave them, to the Malice and Hardnesse of their own hearts, before this

riginall sin, upon which the Decree of Reprobation passed against them, be Malice and Hardnesse of heart, I see no truth in that assertion, that God leaves them (then) to the Malice and Hardnesse of their own hearts. This is indeed a misery, into which men by their own personall faults, do precipitate themselves: such is not that which you and the Synod speak of; neither by omission, nor by commission, nor by consent. How then? It is the fault of their Nature, which they are made guilty of enely by imputation, faith Master Calvin, as you may finde him cited in the Preface to Tilenus his Examination. To which I will adde that of Lubberius +, Our Carnall generation † A Syandist. In Defrom Adam, fal'n and guilty, claratione neither is, neither can it be, the Respons pag. cause of that originall guilt which 105. we derive from him; but the imputation of sinne committed by him, &c. And if it be thus, then you cannot fay, they are but left in that milery, into which by their on n (if you mean proper personall) fault, they ptecipitate themselves. Neither is it true, that they are but left in this misery; for according to the nature of the means, designed by this very Decree, and fubordinated

Malice and Hardnesse be found in them, were

very strange. And unlesse Adams sin, or O-

You say, Though they deny Election to proceed upon foreseen saith (because God decrees to give that faith, before me can be foreseen to bureu) yes they purposely passe by the question, Whether foreseen Insidelity be in any the qualification of the object of Reprobation or Preterition: But plainly they took foreseen [Malice, Hardheartednesse, mens own sin, and their own wayes and common misery] to be the qualification of the conject. Apswer 1. For the Common misery we grant it; In drawing up their Canons against the Remonstrants, touching the divine Decrees, they thought it would be most for their advantage, to plant them upon that ground. But what? men's own sin, and their own mayes too, did they take these to be the qualification of the object? It seems the Reprobates learn to go alone betimes. But I suppose they had not gone very far in those wayes, what ever speed they made; For the Synod do determine that this Act of Reprobation, or preterition, passed against them uponthe fall of Adam, (as was observed before, and the places where they hald it forth pointed out to you) and how many Leagues had

to the execution of it, they are subjected inevitably to a far greater milery, 1. of sin,

and 2. of condemnation and punishment.

To-proceed.

Synod have milled you, in thefe, Cap. I. Art. their own wayes; For whereas 15. they fay, the Non-elect are those, whom God hash decreed to leave in the common mifery, and not to bestow sating faith, and the grace of conversion upon them, but leaving them in their own wayes, O'c. Here's'a'description of Reprobation, with the fruits or effects of it. Tisa reliction of men in the common state of misery, accompanied with the denial of faving faith and the grace of conversion; and here is their first letting forth, in their progresse into actuall fine, with, having accomplishe that unhappy voyage, at last they ar ivest condemnation and just pit-nishment, us the Synod (in bilier words) reports it. Here then, if you consider the Decree of Reprobation passed upon the Attour of Adum's fall, then's own (If you take it for) actuall sin, and their own whiles are tilt a previous qualification for it: but 'a necessary and unavoidable consequent of it. 2. Uniesse [Malice and Hardbearsedreffell be common Titles for Original sinne, (and if they be, they are very abserd ones) you

Cain traveld upon his own legge, lat that time? and yet he was the first of such tra-

vellers that were left in that fall, if 'yet'we

may conclude him to have been left in it. But I fee, if you have not militaken them, the

own doctrine) till Christ, the object of saving faith be propounded. He could not be propounded (for a Saviour, till there was need of him) till after Adam's fall; but before that Proposall, the Act of Reprobation stept in, and prevented all the Non-elect of his faving benefits, as the Doctors of the Synod have concluded. And yet 3. If God found many of these Non-elect, in a State of Infidelity (as you affirmed a little above), why that should not be acknowledged, as fit a qualification, to be looked upon, in an object of Reprobation, as Adam's fall, or any other, if not much more, then any other of their own fins, I cannot yet understand. But the truth is, though Master Baxter saith, they purposety passe by the question, yet having fixt their Decree of Reprobation upon the fell, to speak consonantly to that Doctrine, they could make no question of it; especially having denyed election to proceed upon foreseen De Reprob. faith; For the Divines of the Pa-Judic. de propos. 4. A.R. Synod. latinate do conclude from that Rule, Contrariorum eadem ratio, Dor. part. 2. eadem scientiaest, That from the P18. 19.

are as much out of the story as before, as hath been made evident already. And so for

Infidelity; For Infidelity of this kind, as a

fin, there can be none, (according to your

baffe propter pravifan incredulitatem, blasphemum est in Deum, cui bacratione jus suum detrabitur, gloria eripitur. Paræus in Rom. 9.13. One thing more, I must take notice of, though it be shut up in a Parenthesis; you say, Geddecrees to give faith before we can be foreseen to have it. I would fain know, whether God's foresight hath no other Perspective glass or way of discovery but His Decree? For, if he doth, in your opinion, decree to give or effect every thing in us, before we can be foreseen to have them; then it inevitably follows, that in your Judgement, that foreseen Infidelity, Malice, Hardheartednesse, men's own sins, and their own wayes and common mifery are to be put upon the account of Gods Decree, and laid at the doore of his efficiency. And then, whether to punish men for these, (if they be the effects of his own Decree, and so unavoidable) be justice in him or no, you go on and tell us of the Synod, That, they make Presertion an Act of Justice in God. Answ. But Sir, I have shewed you before, that not onely a single Person, M. Norton, but a Quum Deus Decretum electionis fecit, tum justitia ejus nondum erat lasa. Piscats

H 4 whole

account given of Election 'tis ea-

fie to take the measures of its op-

posite, Reprobation. *

contr. Schaff. Th. 104.

† Dicere, Deum quofe

dam repro-

whole Assembly, (that late one at Westmin-Her make it not an Act of Justice, but of Sovenaignty. And may not this be the very sense of the Synod, by an equivocall use of the word Justice? For Gemarus + (a Crewbilita-* Thef. de rian, as was noted above) saith, Præd. difp. 1604. Thef. though God doth destinate and 27. Nicalicreatemen to destruction, he canus à Schumot be accused of sinjustice, in rere Saub, Non gard of a double right in him; 1. accidere ex pustina judithat of absolute dominion; 2. that cii divini, of judgement, subordinate and requod plures lative to fin, (when it is commitfint condemted) 'So, faith he, here appears nandi, quem falmenthis fed a double justice. One is flie Tuex justitia Hice of an ablique Boweraign, dominizate. who is supposed to do no inju-60 Appen. Hice, whatfoever he doth, being predior. Declar, in under no Law, and baving all at Præfar. Reprobatjon. his dispose; In this sense the 8mpivelapsariams call Preterition an being fixt at Act of Justice. (Jure Dominii:) originall fin, Or 2. the Justice of a Governour and that af. emiled 40 or Judge, who paffeth no fen-Gods impurence of condemnation, but upon fation onely, intuición of fin, or evidence of she whole account of guildinesses and in this scafe the that Decree is finally, by themrefolved into Gods mere will; hence they make it raibet an All of Power than Juffice.

Reflexions upon the VIII. Section.

I Taving gotten footing upon such finite life ground, as he supposed he had laid, He proceeds to expostulate very Magisterially in these words.

And where now is the odious errow that this second Themes put such a face upon? Sir, It hath a more ugly face of its Own, then any Tilemus tan put upon it; and neither the Sy: hods wask; not your painty can lide it, much

lesse make it beautifull. But where is it to

be seen? you ask, Is it in the Number? If he think a greater number are faced or absolutely

decreed to salvation, then they do, he should

ther with you about the number. But what if Tilenas should speak his minde out, in this

particular? I hope there is no Felony, nor Trenfon can be made of it. I will therefore tell

I Promifed you already not to quarrell fur-

Supralapsarians will not, but the Subtapsarians do acknowledge Preteraion to be an Act

of Justice. Now, how thany of the Synod were for Gemains his sense, and how many for

the other; I small leave to M. Buxter to Exa-

make my

peak out.

minde already), that he is so far from think, ing that a greater number are absolutely decreed to falvation, then they do, that he doth not think to of any single person whatsoever. But what! do they think, that any number are absolutely decreed unto salvation? Absolutely? That is, without any regard to their Faith or Obedience Whatsoever; and are they come to this already? But perhaps by the word Absolutely, you intend, Absolutely in respect of Motives on Gods Part, not in respect of Means on our Part, and yet I cannot tell how you should do that neither, since the means are absolutely decreed too, as to be wrought in the Elect, according to the judge. ment of the Synod, and most All. Syn. expressly declared by the British Der part. 2. Divines. * But what if we grant pag. 200. you an Absolute Decree, in respect of such Motives? If we acknowledge, that there is in man no Cause, Motive, or Moralt Title unto his Election: but ascribe it wholly to God's good pleasure and Grace: will you then condescend to it, that there is any qualification at all in him, unto which, as the Term os Object, the wisdome of God thought fit, the Decree of election should be Terminated? Grant this and we shall soon agree. But what should this Term or Object be, to qualifie Per-

you plainly for him, (if you do not know his

counts of the Divine wildome and Grace (of which the holy Gospel is the best Repository) to receive the Highest Act of Gods Dearest Love towards us in Christ Jesus; and fuch is our Election unto Glory, Our Saviours Come ze blessed children of my Father] at the Generall Judgement, being nothing elle, but the Solemn Judiciall Publication of it. But if Tilenas be of this opinion. What manner of Persons ought we to be 2.Pet.3,IL in all holy Conversation and Godsinesse? For it should seem by this Doctrine, that a boly faith and a blamelesse, life, are made the previous dispositions to our immutable election unto Glory. But this will usher in a new objection of Master Basters against Tilenus For if he think, (faith, Master Baxter) that God foresaw that they mould believe and obey, before he decreed to give them faith or the grace of obedience, and consequently that these are onely or principally of themselves, and not of God, be must condemn Austin &c, as well as the Synad of Dort. Here we have a very Perverse infinuation, if I may make so bold, with Master Baxter's leave, to use his own expression. Believe and okey (you mean the Gospel) before a Reve-

sons for their Election? It can be no other .

than what may make us capable, in the ac-

of Innocency? And will poote coffapled Tilenus pretend to it? No, he hath fludyed the Mysteries of Grace, and learne himself; and the incapacities of Man-kind better, God hath, not onely a Forefight, which is, as I may lay, bounded within the compasse of things future, in their due time, existent; which cannot be without be Decree : but also a Foreknowledge, Which extends to all things Possible, though no Decree ever did, or ever shall passe for the sutprition or existence of them. Thus He foreknew that the men of Keilah would have deli-1 Sum, 3311 wered up David into the hands of 12. Swel, if he had flay'd amongst them; and thus he foreknew that Mat. 11.21. Tyre and Sidon would have repender the fame dispensations, as Charazin and Bethfaida were. Now suppose, Almighty God to confider men under such a state and order of means; though he hath not, as yet (to speak after the manner of men Jdecreed to establish either such an order; or such men under it, yet by his Omnipotency, he infallibly foreknowes what creatures of fach capacities, would do, being put into fuch a Posture. But for Faith and Obedience, these duties re-

lation of it, or a call to it? Was Adam obliged to do so, or could be do so in his state

lating to some Authority and Revelation, and requiring power far above what remains in us fince the fall of Adam, It implies a Contradiction to fay, Man can believe and obey the Edipel, Before he receives as well a competent strength as a proper Object for it. But Almighey God having put such and such capacities into us, and placed us under such and furth means and diffensations, in his eternall wildome, He Foreknowes what tile and what improvement tvery one will (in that order) make of those tapacities and difpenfations; And then making a Decree according to this his Foreknowledge, He Forefees who will believe and obey, notbefore he decrees to give them faithfor the grace of Obedience, as M. Baxter perversty infinantein: but after it, (though confidering them under luch an order of means, he foreknew it. before his Decree) and consequently this both faith and obedience are neither onely, not principally of themselves, but of God; And this is conformat to the Doctrine of the Primirros Church, for all the pureft de Me, Baxier ac-Ages of it. 4. And if the Puffion, or president or interest of sierce knowledgeth Disputers have raited new Artiin bis Saints Rest. pari. 10 cles, and maintained them at the pag. 154.in charge, or upon the reputation the maxof their Over-credatous Admirers, gin. f.

yet having imposed so palpably upon the Faith of the Church, they are in these particulars, to be forlaken, by what Names, or Tieles loever they be dignified or distinguished. And for this we have S. Austin's own warrant and direction. Disputa-Epist. 111. tiones quastibet etiam Catholicorum Oprobatissimorum virorum, non esse santi saciendas, &c. He saith, The Dispatations of the most approved. Catholicks are not so highly to be esteemed, but that it may be alwayes lawfull for us, with respect to the bonounthat is due to them, to reprove those things in their writings, which we think to be recessions from the truth. And he addeth, that himself, did so by the writings of others. and be would have others do so by his own. Neverthelesse Master Baxter may receive competent latisfaction to his scruples, if he will but keep his word; For he makes a very reasonable demand in these words; For my part, sauch he, I wish no more in this, then may confift with Rationall Prayers and Thanksgryings; and if this be all, who will not adde a great AMEN to it? Do you with no more then what may confist with Rationall Prayers for the Grace of God? Formy yart, I am so farce from denying you this Option, that I wish, with all my heart, that you would really grant but so

the truth is, men of your Ortho-DORT Persuasion, if they speak consonantly to Right Reason, they must conclude the prayers of the Majer part of mankind, to be groffy irrationall and ablurd. For according to your principles, some, even of those, who are truly lanctified, (for such S. Aufline, by your own acknowledgement, allowes to be amongst the Non-Elect) can never addresse themselves to their Devotions, but they must, either pray for their own damnation; which is irrational; or that the Divine Decree about it (as it is calculated by your Doctrine) may be repealed; which is no lesse irrationall then the former, And in (that which is of generall Prescription) the Use of the Lords Prayer (A Forme, which I hope M. Baxter's modelly will yield to be as Rationall, as any his zeale, Phantafie or Godlinesse can make, at least Extempore,) All the Non-Elect are, by unavoidable Consequence, involved in offering up (as it were in one breath) crosse Petitions to a like Purpose. For, according to your Doctrine, All these Non-Elect, are left, at least, in the Lapse of Adam, under (as many call it) an efficacious Permission to fall into Actualisin; in intuition and respect whereef, they are Predamned to everlatting fire; and the End of this, you say, is the Advancement of

much for your self and all your Party. But

Thy will be done; They pray for their own damnation. And again, seeing this Damnation, (to which, Your's fay, they are Preordxi. red upon this account) is the greatest evill of Punishment, (or a combination of them All,) To which, though they may be Decreed (according to the Doctrine of many Calvingts) by Gods Right of Dominion, or his absolute Soveraign power of Jurisdiction, yet adjudged and fentenced to it justly they cannot be with. out the gails of this and therefore the taid efficacions Permission of fin, and the peremptory Deniall of Grace Sufficient and necessary unto Faith and Repentance (without which there is no Remission) are Decreed likewise, as the unavoidable Means of bringing that Fforrible Decree to its Finall, Infallible execution; Hence it follows by unavoidable Consequence, That in offering up those other Petitions, [Forgive us our trespasses; and lead us not into temptation; but deliver us from evill, These Non-Elett do implicitely Pray, that the suid Decree may be Repealed. But his Question following, [Would you not have men pray for faith that wantis? May

Gods Glory, and the Finall execution of it, at the Great day, which will be the confumma-

tion of his Kingdome. Hence it follows undeniably, that in offering up those Petitions

[Hallowed be thy Name; Thy Kingdome come;

though it be a piece of their advice in their 16. Decree upon the 1. Chapter: Yesupon fecond thoughts, ((bap. 3. and 4. Rejett. 4.) and a fuller view of it, they descry it to be an enemy, not onely in Arms, but upon the March 100, (as the English Translator gives Intelligence) against direct Testimonies of Scripture: And Donteclock faith, All study and carea man can use to promote his salvation, before faith and the spirit of renovation, is not onely vain, but rather hurtfull then profitable, as you heard above. If this be true, to what end should a man pray for faith that wants it? But I thank God, I am not of Mi Donneclocks opinion; and therefore you shall have my advice without a fee in this ease; Let him pray. And he that bath it, let him Pray for more continually with thanksgiving. But M. Baxter hath not done his Expostulations yet; sor he goes on in the same strain still. Was it not a Rational Prayer Lord increase our Faith and Lord I believe, help thou my unbelief? Who doubts it? And was it not a Rationall Thanksgiving of Paul for his Converis, that God had given them both to believe and suffer for him? That God had given Phil, 2, 29. the Philippians to believe and suf-

put a man to a thand, if not to silence. The Synod it self was not resolved about it. For

assertion, not thanksgiving, which yet had been very commendable, if he had thought fit to take the occasion. But you observe God gives both to Believe and luffer; I suppose you mean the opportunity and patience to glorifie his Name and Gospel by their sufferings; for sure you cannot think that God did actually inflict the reproaches, which they suffered, or their stripes upon them; and if you understand it of the grace and power to yield submission to their Persecutions with meeknesse, we must remember the concession till we meet with a fit occasion to make use of it. In the interim we will have the patience to read out your long objection; which proceeds still in these words, [Sure you do not mean when you pray for [Increase of Faith] that God would give you naturall free-will, which you had before, or that he would send the Gospel to you; but some way that he will effectually procure you to believe. (And doubtlesse the way of his internall operation is beyond our reach, and therefore beyond our dispute.) F. If this be be jond our reach and our dispute; why have your Party stretcht themselves so much, and multiplied for many Ch.3. & 4. Rejell.8. & Art, 12. fierce disputes about it? Nay, why have they defined so posi-

fer (not to fight) for him, I find, by way of

sions of the holy Scriptures and Ancient Fathers, then subscribe to their new and un-Savoury, and contradictory Phrases, and po-2. You may be fure, when he prays for Increase of Faith, no man in his right wite, intends his naturall free will, nor the fending of the Gospel, when he hath it lying by him. But now, I pray, let me take my turn to put Questions. Had the Primitive Church for 3. or 400. years together no Ratio all Prayers? Have the Lutheran Churches at this day, no Rational Prayers? Did not they, and do not those, in their devotions, beg earnestly of Almighty God, that some way he would be pleased effectually to procure them to believe? Could this dostrine make so great a Harmony in their Luurgies, and can it not consist with your Prayers? I am sure the fault is not in the Doctrine. That is innocent and charitable and good friends with all

strength in it, working (our regeneration) in my, but not with us (as the English Translator hath it) but, without us; why do you say

this operation is performed, insuperably, irra-

sistibly? Nay, if the work be beyond our reach, why have so many Worthy learned men been,

not onely revited but ruined by them; because they chose rather to sollow the expresthe world, and therefore very well disposed both for Prayers and other boly Duties.

3. What think you of these Prayers, amongst the rest, in the English Laturgie?

Lmighty God, which shewest to all men that be in errour, the light of thy Truth, to the intent that they may return into the way of righte-ousnesse; Grant unto all them that be admitted into the sellowship of Christs Religion, that they may eschew those things that be contrary to their profession, and sollow all such things as be agreeable to the same, through our Lord Jesus Christ.

Or of this,

Ord from whom all good things do come, grant us thy humble fervants, that by thy holy inspiration, we may think those things that be good, and by thy mercifull guiding, may per-

form the same, through our Lord Jesus Christ. God, forasmuch as without thee, we are not able to please thee, grant that the working of thy mercy may in all things direct and rule our hearts, through Jesus Christ our Lord.

Or of this,

thy Church with the continuall mercy, and because the frailtie of man without thee cannot but fall, keep us ever by thy help, and lead us to all things profitable to our salvation, through Jetus Christ our Lord.

Or of ibis,

Ord, we beseech thee, to grant thy people grace to avoid the insections of the Devill, and with pure heart and mind to sollow thee, the onely God, through Jesus Christ our Lord.

Lastly, what think you of this Prayer,

Revent us, O Lord, in all our doings, with thy most gracious savour, and further us with thy continuall help, that in all our works begun,
continued, and ended in thee, we may
glorisie thy holy Name, and finally, by
thy mercy, obtaine everlatting life,
through Jesus Christ, our Lord.

Is not this a Rationall Prayer? Doctor Jackson saith, That, In the first B. 10. chap. part of this Godly Prayer, we have 37. pag. the state of the Question concern-3131. ing the concurrence of Grace and Freewill, more pithily and more plainly set down, than in any Controversie writers, whether in the Romish or reformed (hurches. The Summe is, That without Gods Preventing Grace, or peculiar disposition of his Favourable Providence, we cannot do any good works at all, though but Civilly or Morally Good, nor any works Spiritually Good without Gods assistant Grace, or gifts of the Spirit inherent in us.

To be a Helper, is a Title, which the Almighty frequently takes upon himself; and

the Church is wont to appeal to him under that Title, when she addresseth her self to him, to gain his succours in her distresses. And, as S. Austine hath observed, that Title is as well a prescription of our duty, as a support of our expectation. Issum nomen Adjutoris prascribu tibi, quia & tu ipse aliquid agis. Agnosce, quid poscas, Agnosce, quid confitearis, quando dicis, Adjutor messes, ne derelinquas me. Adjutorem utique invocas Deum. Nemo adjuvatur, si ab illo nihil agatur. If we style God our Helper, we suppose our selves to be in action, and our Prayers design'd to solicit his assistance, not to cut out work for him. If we think those divine dispensations, under whose influence, we desire, in our prayers, to be conducted, should determine all our good motions, we might soon be tempted to throw off all care and leave all other duties to be wrought in us, by God alone, and betake our felves wholly unto prayer. And having entertain'd this vain imagination, how many are there in the world, that, when they suffer infirmitie, or fall into fouler fins, are ready to ascribe it, not so much to their own neglect of duty (for alas! humble and modest wretches, they can do nothing!) as to the suspension of that divine Omnipotent determination, that should have accomplished the same in them. And then

they comfort themselves with such thoughts "as these's God did not determine me, not "bow.my Will to do otherwife. I cannot of or my self-change my disposition, and this is "that I received from God, who suffered me-" to be ked into this temptation, though I have "not failed to gray daily not to be led into it. "What shall I do? I hope God will one day " give me so to be determined that I shall not tall. Thus they hope, and pray, and expect the return of their prayers, but their sin remains, because they will not be convinced, that it is their own duty, at least, not that it is in their own Power and choice, to determineagainst it. Our torpor and lukewarmnesse is a disease that wants to great a remedy as our own prayers and God's quickening assistance. But if the tword of Josua doth not second the elevation of Majes hands, if our endeavours do not attendupon our Prayers, those Amalekues that infest us will never be discomfited. Hence Saint Peter is carefull, that when we rise from off our knees, we should take heed to our walk also, I Pet. 1.17. If ye call on the Father, who without respect of persons indgeth according to every mans work, paffe the time of your so ourning here in feare. In a time of violent temptation, I doubt not, God may and many times, doth vouchemployment, for the service of Mar. 13.11. his Name and Church) that they shall not be vanquisht or transported by it. But when their will is thus necessarily determined by Almighty God, their liberty ceafeth, and they cannot properly be faid, at that very time, to obey God (as obedience presupposeth liberty, and denoteth duty) in overcoming that temptation; because that Speciall Help (which gaines the Conquest) is given as a kind of reward of their former piety, or as a present benefit returned unto their prayers. But we are to consider, not what God's superabundant Goodnesse is pleased to conferre upon such as are excellent in virtue, (whether by way of reward for their former piety, or of benefit upon the earnestnesse of present devotions) to help them in their saddest exigencies: But what his ordniary way of dispensation is in the Commencement and carrying on the work of Grace in mens hearts. The best measures we can take for this, are his own Revelations; and these are discovered not onely by Commands, establisht with promises and threatnings : but by obtestations and complaints: As I live, faith the Lord, I

safe so to fortifie and determine the will of

his faithfull and devout servants, at the im-

portunity of their ardent prayers, (or when he

sends them out upon some signall

Israel? By Options and wishes; O Pfal.81.13. than my people had hearkened unto me: and Israel had walked in my wayes! O that they were Deut . 3 2. 10. 29. Chap. 5. 29. wife, that they understood this! Othat therewere such a heart in them! By Prayers and befeech. ings; This is figuified by the extension of hands, (which is often Isa, 65.2. set forth as a posture of Prayer) All the day long have I stretched out my hands to a gainfaying people: (and) Now then we are Embassadors for Christ, as though God did beseech you by us, we pray you in Christs stead, be ye reconciled unto God. The Sincerity of God's earnest defire of mans Conversion and Salvation, attested by these (and other like) Patheticall obtestations, Options, and Prayers, is enervated and overthrown, viz. as well by the necessity of an irresistible conversion, as by the insufficiency, or internall inefficacy of Grace, and impossibility of obedience. 'Tis inconsistent with the Divine wisdome to desire impossibilities, as life from a dead creature, or motion from a carkasse; for that were, to desire a sufficient effect from an unsufficient Caule.

have no pleasure in the death of

him that dieth; Turn ye, Turn

ye; why will ye die, O house of

Ezek. 18.

23.

So to desire an irresistible conversion; for that were to defire obedience, wherein there must be liberty, in necessity; and to be earnest that that may be done by others, which he absolutely intends to do irresistibly by bimselfe. But whereas M. Baxter is so tender and jealous sof the reputation of his own Reason; and that must not be debased so much, as to be prostituted to an irrationall devotion; it were well, if he were no lesse tender and jealous of the honour of the Divine wisdome. For fuch is God's gracious Condescension, in his intercourse with poore Sinners, that he makes Prayers to them too, that they would be converted, and be reconciled, and be at peace with him. Are these His Prayers Rationall or serious, think you? If your prayers to him, cannot Rationally confift, without his irresistible determination of your will; how can his to you, consist with it? Is it not agregable to your Reason, to pray for God's preventing and following Grace, For Grace to excite and inable, and affift you, unlesse he doth invincibly apply your will to the fingularity, and every circumstance of every good act you do; And is it agreeable to his wildome, to pray and befeech you, to do that, as your duty, which he must insuperably work in you himselfe, or else it shall not be

of your Prayers; the same he may as Rationally expect from you, upon the account of his. And thus much for Rationall Prayers. And by Parity of Reason, your objection from Rationall Thanksgivings will admit of a like Solution. 'Tis certain we can never give Almighty God sufficient thanks for the Riches of his abundant grace and favour towards us. But there are a fort of flurdy Beggers, that will crosse the proverb and be choosers too; and if they may not have what they lift, they remain churlish and unthankfull for all other instances of our bounty. But the law hath made a good provision, in appointing not an almes to cherish, but a whip to chastise such dispositions. A [God I thank thee | may be express with no little vehemency of Spirit, when men have little thanks for their labour; For 'tis ordinary, as well to ascribe unto God what his luftice will not own, a as 2 7em. 7.10. 6 Ifa. 58.3. to expect what his wildome will not grant. You frould confider that as we are obliged to give thanks .: fo

God bath thought fit to give commands, and

So that, in thort, your objection from Ra-

tionall Prayers, is unavoidably returned upon

your felf; For what, you think, you may ra-

tionally expect from him, upon the account

away his commendations upon the actions and combatings of those little Puppers that play in fight, but reserves them for the honour of that invisible hand behind the Curtain, by whose fole strength and activity they are put into their severall postures. If our Regeneration or conversion be wrought in us folely by Gods Omnipotent strength, without us (as the Synod hath designed) it were very abfurd that we should have any praise for it: yet the Apostle saith, that, that circumcision of the heart, in the Spirit, (which can be nothing else but regeneration, or conversion) though ir gains no praise from man, as not subject to his observation, yet it hath praise of God. Rom. 2. ult. God allows his fervants to feek for glorg and bonour, as well as immortality, by a patient continuance in well doing. Rom. 2.7. c and he affures us, for our inc Phil. 3. 8. couragement, we shall not lose d 1 Cor. 4.5. this part of our reward. For he Mat. 25 2 1. will give praise, and an Euge d ferve bone & fidelis, well done thou good and faithfull servant! God understands the extent of his own work and his creatures duty, better then you or I; and he is so jealous of his own glory,

doth vouchsafe commendations and praise to our well performed duties. Whatever the

Ignorant vulgar do, a wise man will not cast

worthy flattery of his Vassals. The Approbation and Applause he gives them, at the end of the day, when their work is done, is an earnest admonition unto us, who are still upon duty in the Vineyard, that he expects to be honoured by an ingenuous and free obedience. To lay all the burden of our duty upon his operation, that the more thanks may accrew to him upon that account, is to grow lazy that Gracemay abound; And he will never accept of such thanks, as are set up to commute for duties that are attended with more cost and difficulty, or are made a pretense for floath, or an incouragement to tepidity. 2. I would aske, from whence these Thank sgivings, you set so much by, come? Sure, if the rest of your good Motions carry this stamp of Divine and irresistible Determination upon them, your Thankes givings do so too, and then whether they be Rationall or no, you cannot but perform them, and in that case, whether it be Rationall to expect praise and glory for them, I have some Reason to be doubtfull. The summe of all is this; The Praise which God gives his servants for the performance of their duty, it is a Rationall Praise, or it is not. I hope, you will not

lay the last, for shame; for admit there be a

he will not profitute any part of it to an un-

and then it must be Rationall, for God is a God of wildome, If this praise be Rational, then it is for something done that might have been omitted, or done otherwise; else how can a man bave praise in Ecclus. 31. himselfe alone and not in another, as the Apostle faith he hath, if his works be Judgement-proof and current? Galat. 6.7. In short, this puts the difference, betwixt that Good, that confists in duty, and that which consists in operations merely voluntary; and that whether they proceed from the Excellency of Essentiall Perfection, as in God, or from the benefit of exalted Nature, as in Saints, and Angels. They, who are subject to a law in the quality of Probationers, in order to their triall for preferment, they can give no proof of themselves; Acts of Subjection they may do, but rewardable obedience they cannot performe, unlesse they have liberty of Contradiction (as the Schools call it) a freedome to do, or not to do their duty. It is otherwise with Angels and holy Spirits at their journeys end, when they Rest from their duties, and Rev. 14.13. reign as kings in the possession of eternall bliffe. The excellency of their good-

great Grace in it, yet you must allow that there is some truth too,

Jab#1. 17

mity to the chief Good, with a full satisfaction and acquiescence in the fruition of it, with out that imperfection of a liberty to do otherwise. Upon our arrival in heaven, and our immediate approximation unto God, when we shall be like him, and see him, as he is in Glory, we shall then Will Good as the blessed Saints and Angels do, most voluntarily, yet nor of freedome but necessity . But to doe this, is the Prerogative of our Nature, in highest state of Exaltation, by way of reward upon the confummation of her duty, which is free obedience, and never properly performed (unlesse by special dispensation, if any such be granted) but when we have it in our own power and choice to do otherwise. But this contemplation hath transported me beyond my bounds. All that is defired of Master Baxter is this, that he will allow, that praise, which the most wise God gives unto men for their good duties, may be as Rationall, as Man's Thanksgiving for the benefit of the Divine Grace; and then his objection will amount to nothing. But as long as he continues so eager in a palpation and flattery of his own Reason, He must expect to meet with some Adversary, that will be no lesse zealous in a just vindication of Gods wisdome. But let us attend to the case he puts

nesse consists in a persect voluntary Confor-

for Tilenus to answer, which is ushered in with a [If,] and an interrogatory, after this manner. 3. If bis offence be at Gods preterition of men without a forelight of their demerit) as taught by the Synod. To interrupt your Period, I suppose this is a matter to take offence at, and to carry a just indignation against too. For Donteclock and Molinaus fay, Molin. Ait chargeth God with unjustice; natom. Ar For it cannot consist with Gods min. cap.13 Justice, Si homo innocens & nulpag. 84. lam ob calpam destinavetur ad desertionem, ex qua aterna perditio necessario consequeretur; If a man innocent, and for no fault should be destin'd to desertion, from whence of necessity his eternall perdition followeth. He addeth another Reason (Parag. 10.) If God hath destined his creature to perdition, it is necessary that he should have destinated it to sin too, without which that perdition cannot be just, and so God shall be the impussive cause of sin. Nor can man be justly punished for that fin, unto which he is either precise-

for that fin, unto which he is either precilely destined, or compelled by the will of God. And (Parag. 6.) He flies higher, and saith, By this kind of Reprobation the Innocent creature is not onely made most miserable, but also most wicked. For if God doth first

gain; and so God, by this opinion, is made the Author of sin, and mans hatred of God. This Opinion therefore, even in the Judgement of Molineus, (to whom the Synod gives so great commendations, amongst their † Part. 1 p. Acts †.) does justly give offence to all Readers, that are tender of 300. the honour of Divine Justice. But (faithM. Baxter) it is not their Doctrine (true or false) but his (Tilenus) forgery, yeart seems contrary to their Dollrine. You say right M. Baxter, it seems contrary to their Doctrine: and they and you, are much beholding to your seemings: But Mulia videntur, que non sunt; and so doth this. Was it rejected as a troublesome Doctrine to those Churches, wherein it was so fiercely maintained? Let the Reader remember (if Master Baxter will not) what the Contest was, betwist Maccovins and Lubbertus, mentioned above; Let him also restect upon the Publick Profession of Gomerus in the open Synod, let him read again his Definition of Reprobation, fore-cited. There were many Creabilitarians, as well as Gomarus, who made the creature in its condition of Possibility, to be the object of the Decree. And these Spigits were too Mercuriall to have been fixt, to

hate man, the work of his own hands, it can-

not be but that man must needs hate him a-

them, which they could not easily, by the benefit of some sew distinctions, have reconciled to their Supralapsarian Doctrine. These are none of Tilenus's forgeries, Master Baxter; by which, what ever their Doctrine seems to you, (for Perit judicium cum res transit in affectum) it will be evident to the impartiall Reader, that the Major Part, to which the rest subscribed, thought AB. Synod. it a thing indifferent, (and so the Dor.part. 2. Deputies of the Synod of Sois L pag. 24.f. Holland, expresse themselves, as Sie Judic. was alleadged above) Whether Gomari de Reprob.part. Gods Preterition of men we coin 3. p. 24.Th. forefight of their demerit, or with 2,6,7out it. If, (as you tay of Gods regard to faith and obedience in reference to election; to you affirm he had regard in his Preferition to mens demerit, 1. as the necessary fruit or effect of that Preterition or Reprobation; 2 as the condition upon which he decreed to damn them; I grant in this sense, 'tis their unanimous Doctrine, that in his Preterition,. God had a regard to it, and a foresight of it. But indeed, saith M. Baxier, they (well) (how well, is referred to the Readers judgement) affirme that there was she same on and demeris, (therefore no re-

a subscription, of those Canons or Decrees of

the Synod; if any Syllable had been found in

gard to faith and obedience in Tilenus's tense) in many, whom jet, God Decreed to convert and fave. They say so; but there is so much equivocation and Artifice in their fayings, that we see the Supralaplarians concluded they might Subscribe to it, without Prejudice to their own opinions. Master Baxter proceed thus, 4. If his offence be, that they think, that [God doth not effectually convert and fave all the rest of the world if he be a Christian, he believes the same himself: or if he be not, one Part of it may be seen If you had Practically learned, what that of our Mat. 7. 3. Saviour meaneth, έμβαλε πεωτον, you would have weeded this last clause out of your discourse, before you had charged Tilenus with Percerse Insinuations. But Tilenus will take no offence at this; neither is he offended, that God doth, not effectually convert and fave all the rest of the world; no nor yet, that he doth not give to all Grace that is immediately sufficient to faith in Christ and to salvation. But his offence is, that you teach, God hath rejected farre the greater part of mankinde, not-willing to fave them, nor to give Christ to die for them, nor to conferre any faving benefit upon them, by the help whereof they might convert themselves, no not when he doth seriously and with

designation to the eternall and most exquisite pains and torments of hell fire; and which is more, that when the Promises of the Gospel are held forth to allure them to an expectation of a better condi-See Ad. Synod. Dord. rion, that they should be decoyed part. 2. peg. into a state of infidelity, and a new 24. 1b. 2, 6 contumacy, whereby they may be 6. Cp. 84. Thef 21. p. involved in a more hemous guilt, 25. Thef. 2. and so obnoxicus to a far more inde part. 3. tolerable condemnation, for the pag. 76. rejection of that Grace which was p. p. never intended to be of advantage to them; and all this to no other end in the world, but that by this meanes, the most Grations and Mercifull God, might procure (as is pretended) the Glory of his Liberty and absolute Dominion, in saving and damning his poore Creatures at his Pleasure. But here Master Baxter, falls upon us again; for he laith, 5. If be be offended, that they teach, that God doth not give sufficient Grace

open armes invite, sollicite, and even with

prayers and supplications exhort them to be

converted and save themselves from perditi-

on; but to have decreed, that infinite Myri-

ads of men, faln, by divine punishment inflicted for Adams sin; into an utter inabi-

lity to rife again and convert themselves,

should without any mercy be born under a

to other men as well as to the elect. Answ. 1. These of his way? why may not he have a way by himself as well as you? But the truth is, he is no great friend to Singularity. He loves to keep the good old way, where a man may be fure to find him, and where he is fure to have good company, that love to keep the beaten road with him. But of your Party, every one follows his Ifd. 56.11. Of Right to own way, as the Prophet com-Sacram. plains; infomuch as you take liin the Praberty to tell them, it is not in my face. power to be of all mens minds, when they are of so many and inconsistent. But 2. do the Divines of the Synod say, that God giveth sufficient Grace to other men as well as to the Elect? I pray point us to the place. I am fure, your so much admired Martinius saith otherwise, and yet he was the most likely to use the fullest expressions to this purpose; But when he had cut some faire Trenches, as if he would bring the water of life into the dwellings of the Reprobate, on a sudden he opens a Sluce that carries all from them again to refresh the Freehold of the Elect. Et quia his (Electis), saith he, Fides, ap-

to the rest; I answer, That which he calls sufficient Grace (but you cannot tell where) or

those of his way) they confesse that God gives

eos non manentia, in solos electos 107. influent : ut quibus solis fiant utilia. All common benefits which do spring from the fountain of God's common Love, they do flide by, and make no flay in unbelievers: But they flow into the Elect, and become beneficiall to them alone, to whom Faith is effectually communicated, to that purpose. By this it is evident, that Martinius did not give sufficient Grace to others, as well, as to the Elect. 3. I wish M. Baxter had dealt ingenuously and freely with us. He might have told us positively, that the Synod confesse, God giveth sufficient Grace to the Non-elect: But he knew very well, this is inconsistent with their Doctrine, which I shall make evident by feverall arguments; as I. They who are lest in the fall of Adam. and common state of sinne and damnation,

they have not Grace sufficient to salvation.

The Non-elect are left in the fall of Adam, and the common state of sin and damnation.

Therefore they have not Grace sufficient, &c.

The Major is evident of it self, The Minor is

the expresse Doctrine of the Synod. In the

applicandi organum, donainr, re-

liqua communia beneficia, que ex

fonce communis delectionis oriri di-

xi, incredulos preterfluunt, apud

Martin. de

morte Chri-

sti pro solie

eledis. Thef.

5. part 2. p.

elect but by Accident, and not out of an intention in God to doe them good. Therefore--The Major needs no proof. The Minor is the Doctrine of Triglandius, a Sy-In Declay. nodist, who saith, That the ex-P4g. 202. ternall calling of the Gospell is properly directed to the Elect onely; and the Reprobate are not called but improperly and by accident. And Master Baxter hath let fall something to this purpose, in his Treatise of Right to Sacraments. pag. 418. m. and little lesse is implyed in the 15. Sect. of his Preface, in these words, Is it a Rationall concest, -that God hath as full a purpose &c. Tothis sense the Deputies of Gelderland above. 3. Arg. That which comes short of what is necessary to falvation, is not sufficient to lalvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect comes short of what is necessary to salvation. Therefore &c. The Major is evident; because the least

1. Chap. of Predest. Art. 15. & Rejett. 8. &

elect by accident, and not out of an intention

in God to do them Good, is not sufficient to

2. Arg. That which comes to the Non-

That Common Grace comes to the Non-

Ch. 2. Rejett. 5.

salvation.

measure of sufficiency that can be, takes away necessity.

The Minor is the Doctrine of the Divines

of Embden, (in terminis) As God for his good pleasure Decreed to leave AB. Synod, Dord, par. 2. fome in that misery, into which they were precipitated by their p. 76. Hyp. 5. own fault; ita Media ad salutem necessaria iis non conferre, decrevit; So he decreed not to conferre upon them meanes necessary unto salvation. So the Deputies of the Synod of South Holland, amongst the Acts of the Synod at Dort. Part. 3. pag. 35. De Reprob. Rejest. 1. and the whole Synod in the 8. Reject. upon the First head of Do-Arine, where they threw it off as an Errour, that God did not decree to leave any in the fall of Adam, and passe any over in the communication of Grace recessary to Faith

and Conversion.

4. Arg. Common Grace onely is not sufficient to salvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect is common Grace onely. Therefore.

For the proof of the Major, I will referre the Reader to M. Baxters additionall Sheet, (at the end of his Papers, Of saving Faith †) especially Prop. 13. † Pag. 94. where he may see the nature and essents of common Grace, and how sar it can carry a man. The Minor I presume M. Baxter will not deny.

5. Arg. That Grace which doth Specifi-

5. Arg. That Grace which doth Specifically differ from saving Grace, and can by no improvement of the Non-elect, become saving Grace, is not sufficient to salvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect, doth specifically differ from saving Grace, and can by no improvement of theirs become saving Grace. Therefore.

The Major, I think will not be

Ubi supra. denied. The Minor as to the first branch of it is Master Baxter's Synod, Dord. Doctrine; and as to the second 3 part. pag. branch of it, 'tis the judgement 213. of the Divines of Drent, who affirme, that Finis horum generalium donorum non est, ut corum retto usu majora & plura mereamur aut assequamur, & tandem Reprobi fiant Electi: Sed nt Societas humana & politea conserveniur, Electisque varies modes in-Serviatur, utque ad vocationem internam præparentur. That is, Those generall gifts are not bestowed upon us, to the end that by the right use of them we might obtain more and

right use of them we might obtain more and greater gists, and so at last the Reprobate become Elect: But that humane Society and Policie might be preserved, and that they might be inservient to the Elect, and prepare them for their inward call. As much as

this, upon the matter, is implyed by the Synod amongst their Decrees. Chap. 3, & 4.

Reject. 5.

6. Arg. That Grace which doth not flow

from the fountain of saving good, is not sufficient to salvation. The Grace conserted upon the Non-elect doth not flow from the fountain of saving good. Therefore, The Major is clear enough. The Minor is

proved out of the Decrees of the Synod. Chap. 1. Art. 9. Where they say, Election is the sountain of all saving good; from whence faith, holinesse, and the residue of saving gifts, lastly, everlasting life it selfe, do slow, as the fruits, and essects thereof.

7. Arg. That Grace which is not conducible to the falvation of the Non-elect, is not sufficient for their salvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non-elect is not conducible to their salvation. Therefore.

The Major is evident. The Minor is pro-

ved thus, That Grace, which in the very intention of God worketh to the hurt of the Non-elect, that Grace is not conducible to their falvation. The Grace conferred upon the Non elect, in the very intention of God, worketh to the hurt of the Non-elect. Therefore.

In Hoseam The Major needs no proof. The Major needs no proof. The Minor is proved out of Polanus;

further appear by this 8. Arg. That which is intended and designed, as a mean to carry on the Decree of Reprobation, to its final execution in the Nonelect, that is not conducible to the salvation of the Non elect. The Grace conser'd upon the Non-elect is intended and defign'd to carry on the Decree of Reprobation to its finall execution in the Non-elect. Therefore. The Major is not to be denyed. The Minor is proved out of M. Perkins, who speaking of the execution of the Decree of Reprobation, (in the Adult, who are called) Asmilla Aumakes three Degrees in it; and in rea. Cap.53. the first, he placeth their Illumination, Repentance, temporary faith, the tast of the heavenly gifts, and the sanctity of their outward life. These are severall steps in carrying on the execution of the Decree of Reprobation, and to this end is that common Grace conferred upon them, as the more inge. nuous and clear, of that side, do acknowledge. For they tell by sufficient Grace (when the equivocation of the Phrase is laid aside) they meane sufficient (not unto salvation,

I hose, saith he, whom God hath Predestina-

ted to eternall destruction, those he also cre-

ateth to eternall destruction, to them all things

which are for the falvation of the elect, are

unto their eternall destruction. Which shall

least, neglect of that great benefit (which elsewhere they say, was never intended for them) thus the Bittin Synod. Dord. tended for them) thus the Bittin par. 279.

Divines. De Art. 2.

2. To render them inexculable. So Gomarms, in his I beses de Predesse. So Gomarms, in his I beses de Predesse. Prosedors in their Synopsis Pur. Theol. Disp. 24 These 55. and the Orthodox Churches of Wedderau, in their Judgement given in at the Synod, and Ma-

Ib. par.3. p.

152.

ster Perkins speaks very home to

the point. (de Pradest. pag. 85.)

All that are within the Pale of the

that is far from their thoughts, but) to these

To Convince them of their contempt, or at

Foure ends.

Church are bound to believe the Gospel; that he is redeemed by Christ every one, as well Reprobate as Elect, but for different reasons. The Elect is bound to believe that by believing, he may be pertaker (of the fruits) of Election: The Reprobate, that by not be lieving, he may be made inexcusable, etiam exintentione Des.

3. To improve their induration, and blindnesse. So Calvin. Instit. 1.3. c. 24. n.
12. Those whom he hath greated to dishonour and destruction, that they might become instruments of his wrath and examples

of his severity; that he may bring them to

more blind and harden them by the preaching of it. (On. 13.) Behold, He directs his Word to them, but it is to make them the more deaf: He sets up his light amongst them, but to make them more blind: He affords them his Doctrine; but to the end they may be more hardened by it: he applies the remedy, but that they may not be healed. 4. To augment their condemnation and torments. So Beza. Most misera-In brevi exble wretches, alies conscendent, plic. tot. ut gravius ruant. They are ad-Chrif. Aph. vanced higher by these gifts of 6, 7. Grace, that their fall may be the Resp. ad Scrip.cujusd. greater. And Donteclock faith, Ghrist is preached to the Repro-Anon. bate: but to what other purpole, Resp. pro then that, by this means their Daventy, ad condemnation may be the greater: Apol. Ma. and Jasob. Rivins faith, the Reebisti. p. 36. probate may frequent the Church, heare Gods word, receive the Sacraments, Gc. but yet they cannot be illuminated by these things; but all this means tends to aggravate their condemnation, because God will declare his glory by their destruction. These are the onely ends for which Master

their end, one while he deprives them of po-

wer to heare the word, another while he doth

and think Master Baxter meant (Sufficient) to falvation, the Synod do utterly disclaime it. So the Divines of Geneva De Reprob. Thef. 1. (2. part. pag. 51.) and those of Embden, pag. 73. Quast.12. & pag. 175. f. they lay, Distinctio illa Gratie in sussicientem & efficacem, si non de externis medius, sed de vero & interno Spiritus tractu loguemur, minime nobis probatur. They will not allow of the distinction of Grace, into sufficient and efficacious, if by sufficient you understand any thing besides outward meanes. So Gomarus, (part. 3. p. 24. th. 2.) The Divines of South Holland, (pag. 35. a.m.) and they of Gelderland, cast a reproach upon the Doctrine of sufficient Grace in these words; Quod de Infficienti grava & Suafione Spiritus S. dicitur, qua potest velle, credere, converti, tui adhibetur, nuga sant. Mere trifles, say Part, 3. pag. they. The Deputies of Over-Isel 162.f. do earnestly deny it toos pag. 195. p. and P. Molin. Part. 1. pag. 290. f. In a word, what ever Master Basser saith, the whole Synod denyes sufficient Grace. Ch.1. Art. 15. and Rejelt. 8. and Gh. 3, & 4. Rejelt. 5. and elsewhere; Nay, (to use his own words) though Master Baxter puts such a

Baxters Common Grace is acknowledged by

those Divines to be sufficient. But if the

Reader be so weak, as to be imposed upon,

face upon it, he denyes sufficient Grace plainty himself, in his very next words; for he saith,

To give them (the Non-elect) the natural power of free will, and a Christ to be her lieved in, and an offer of Christ and life, and an earnest persuasion of them to accept him, and to leave the master to their own choice, yea and to adde common exciting moving help

of the Spirit, which yet is uneffectuall, this

is it that the Jefuits call sufficient Grace. Who quarrels with them for the name? The Dominicans yield it them; and though the Janstinians deny it them, the Protestants have no mind to quarrell about a word, the thing is yielded them by all: Nay Master Baxter, abate me an ace, quoth Bolton. All the Divines of the Synod did not grant it. But I wonder, you should so considertly undertake for all Protestants, as if you were their Great Distator, or Prologuntor, and had all their Senses in your head, and their votes at your girdle; when alas! we see by too many of your complaints and volumes, that there is not so good an accord amongst you; where upon you lay, in your Preface (To your Diff. of Right to the Sacrament.) If I agree with some Reverend Brethren, it must displease the rest by disagreeing from them.

And in the point we are about, you disagree

Grace, unlesse taken in those foure senses a. bove mentioned, as well as the funfenians, whose ingenuity I must commend, that denying the Thing, they abhorre the practifes of others, who study to deceive by equivocating with the Name. But I see Master Baxter will be Catholic indeed; He is grown as charis table as the very festits, and allows the Nonelect as much sufficient Grace as they do; The naturall power of Free-will, an offer of Christ, and a persuasion to accept him, yea and exciting help of the Spirit too, but uneffectually BOOKNINOV APPON! But let us examine how much it weigheth, that the Non-elect may see how much they are beholding to him for his curtesie. A thing may be said to be effectuall or uneffectuall in a twofold sense; as for example, a medicine may be effectuall of its own nature, against such a disease, and yet, prove unessectuals through his fault to whom it is administred; and that either because he will not indure the Application of it; or because he will do something or take something, that prevents or disturbs and interrupts the operation of it. But when Master Baxter tells us of his

. sufficient uneffectuall Grace, he doth not take

uneffettuall in this sense. Tis unessectuall in

its kinde, and of its own nature (as to the

from a many of them; who deny sufficient

production of faith and conversion, (according to their Doctrine) and not onely through the fault of him to whom it is administred. So that we may let forth the sufficiency of Master Baxters Administrations, for cure of the fin-fick soule, by a comparison of the like made to the body. To a man desperately fick, suppose Master Baxter should thus addresse himself in the company of an eminent Physician; Sir, you are in a very sad condition, and nothing is to be expected but sudden death, unlesse you will submit to our directions and Prescriptions to prevent it. I see, God be thanked, you have all your naturall faculties, your mouth and your stomack; and here I offer you an excellent Phy-litian, and I intreat you heartily to be advifed by him; he will give you Phylick shall be wholesome and work very gently, it may stirre the humour a little, but there is no danger of working too much, for indeed tis unessectuals. Let the Reader judge whether M. Haxter should not deserve a fee of such a stek perfon, for affording him such a sufficient means of Cure. Would not this Doctrine make an excellent exposition upon that of Jer. 51. 9. Curavimus Babylenem, We mould have healed Babylan [we applyed very wholesome medicines, yet uneffectuall] but she is not bea-

yet uneffictuall) and thou wast not purged, sherefore shalt thou not be purged any more from thy filthinesse, till I have caused my fury to rest upon thee? Yet this is Master Baxters Doctrine, and his concession of sufficient Grace to the Non-elect; and if they will not take his word for the making of it good, he will put in Sureties, the Jesuits and the Dominicans, for the performance of it. But that we may not doubt he is in earnest, herenews his Grant in these words; This Generall and Common Grace which such call sufficient, leaving the matter to the sinners choice, we yield that God giveth to the worft that perish. Which such call sufficient? Who are those [such !? Such as your self, the Dominicans and fesuits. But the Remonstrants are Non-such. If you go to the Jesuits Schools to learn the doctrine of the Remonstrants, no marvell you are deceived. But that the Reader may not follow you in your error, I must tell him the Remonstrants have not so learned Christ, having been taught as the truth is in Jesus. But I must not take leave of this passage;

led: forsake her? And would not this do-

Arine make a very Patheticall Glosse upon

that Commination (of Ezek. 24. 13.) In thy

stehinesse is lendresse; because I have purged

ther: (administring very sufficient remedies,

Thould bring food, or Physick, or Cordials, to the door of a fick Bed-rid person (under a pretence to releeve him) and leave it there (for him to take in, who is not able to stirre out of his bed) and depart, taking no further care for the importation of it? This is none of the Remonstrants Doctrine. God doth not leave the matter to mans choice in this sense. He continues his sollicitations, vill he hath been very frequently and very shamefully repulsed (for his Spirit shall not alwayes strive with man, Gen. 6.) He stands Rev 3. 20. at the doore (which is within our sick-mans reach, and is by God's knocking made in his power to open; there God stands) and knocks still, for admission and intertainment; but it being mans duty, and therefore a matter of choice, not of meceffity, (wherein, as the common saying is, He can neither will nor choose) it must be his own free Act to open the doore, else Christ will not enter in and sup with him. And this is notably evinced, 1. from Gods command, Deut. 30. 19. Therefore chuse life. 2. from Christs commendation, Luk. 10. 42. Mary hath chosen the good part. 3. from that commination, Prov. 1.29. For that they did not

till I have taken notice of a [perverse insinuation] in it, in these words, [Leaving the mat-

ter to the sinners choice]. What, as if a man

tels his Congregation, in good sober sadnesse, that the reason that most men perish, for all the mercy that is in God, and for all that Christ hath done and suffered, Treatife of and for all the Grace that is offe-Convers pag. red them in the Gospel, is, What? Even because they will not receive this Grace, nor entertain Christ and the mercy of God, as it is offered to them. And what doth this signifie, but this, because they would not chuse it? And upon this account they are condemned, and very justly. Yet when he is come from his pulpit, and undertakes to dispute with Tilenus, 'tis not sufficient that Gods Mercy and Christs Merits, and the Divine Grace be at his choice, to receive it; this may ferve the Non-Elect: But be not angry, saith he, if we thank God for more, even for giving us both to Will and Do. If you may be allowed to be your own Carvers, no doubt, you will be very liberall in the choice of your own portions; and if God ratifies it, 'tis well for you. But we find that Gods design, in his way of dispensing Grace, is to promote and advance duty ? but your way doth evacuate and cancell it. For if he workes the very Att (which we

chuse the seare of the Lord. And this is good

wholesome Doctrine with Master Baxter,

when he gets into the Pulpit; For there he

more Grace, but force. That God worketh to Will and to Do, others acknowledge with no leffe thankfullness then your selves, if you mean a power and ability in us to Will and to Do (as you implyed your meaning to be, a little before, when you said, He gives both to believe and to fuffer) that is, a pomer to do it; yet so as the will is left, more free rather then determined under an irresistible necessitation, and confequently man may abuse his Heb. 12.15. liberty, and be wanting to the 2 Cor. 6, 1. Grace of God, and make default in his cooperation, and so his will may remain underermined, and the work to which he was inabled; be left undone. But if you think, when God works to will and to do, 'tis not in Mans power to bury his talent, and comradill Gods motion, I mult reject that Comment as a corruption of the Text, and a subversion of the Apostles argument to inforce his exhortation; With all bumility, sollicitude, fear and di-Eph. 6. 13. ligence, Left God be offended, and you misearry, unlegydonode, Persicite, conficite, interficite, superate (for the word

call duty) by an irresistible operation, in no

bis sine nobis, as the Synod saith of Con-

version, in us but without us, then duty is no

more duty, but necessity: and Grace is no

your salvation dead sure; for it is Godibat grams and works ability, not of necessity and indesimently, but of his mere grace and good pleafure, which he may be provoked to fufpend and withdraw. This sense gives a huge inforcement to the exhortation. But according to your interpretation, the Apostle should argue thus, My beloved, it is God that worketh in you to Will and to Do, determining your wills to the very Act of duty, insuperably and irresistibly, so that it is not in your choice to do otherwise; and this he doth because it is his Good pleasure: therefore work out Jour Salvation with feare and trembling. Would such exhortations tend to the quickning of your Audience, or rather make them carelesse? Or can it confift with the Holy Spirit of Discipline and wildome to use such a vehement exhortation, and then back it with such a Reason, as (if granted) would render that exhortation infignificant and to no purpose? for what diligence is to be used out of a feare of miscarriage, if the effect be irrefifibly determined? In the Appendix to your Aphorisme, you say, Believing is Pag. 52. properly a condition required of the Party if he will enjoy the thing promised. And

all these significations) all difficulties and opposition being subdued, work out, and make

God thanks for working in us to Will and to Do.

Reflexions upon M. Baxters IX.

Section, and the II. Article.

Wherein Master sets up (to be baited and worried, as his Phantasie pleases) the Second Article, in these words, Saith this new Tilenus, They hold, that Christ Jesus hath not suffered death for any other but

for those Electonely: having never had any intent nor commandement of his Father to

make satisfaction for the finnes of the whole

world.] Here M. Baxter flies out into pas-

sion and foule language, and the first Case of his indignation he gives us in these modest

expressions [A most shamelesse falshood, made,

as they say, of his fingers ends.] By the way,

I cannot sufficiently wonder, why a man, that

in your Treatise of Conversion, pag. 296. you say, Salvation is not given barely from

the will of God, but from the faith and obedi-

ence of men, for it is an act of rewarding In-

stice, as well as of Paternall love and mercy:

What is that rewarding Justice terminated

upon? Man's free duty, or God's omnipotent

irresistable work in him? Resolve this, and

Tilenus will not be angry, that you give

hath wrote so many Directions for Peace of Conscience; should throw such Birds of prey off his own fist, to devour a strangers. Reputation: but the best on t is, they are so well acquainted with the place of their breeding, he may fafely venture to fly them without his varvells, they will find the way home of themselves; and therefore I shall not need. to trouble my self to take them up for him. But whether Master Baxters fingers ends be. not more dexterous at such work then are Tilenus's, let the Reader judge by what follows. There is not a word of the Decrees of the Synod that hath any such importance; saith Master Baxter. But you have taught. us to diffinguish betwixt Name and Thing; suppose the word should not be there, I. hope it will satisfie the Indifferent Reader, and saye Tilenus his Reputation, if the sense be there; and if (at least) this be not there, I shall despair of ever understanding. the Riddles of this Sphinx, without the help of such an Oedipus, as Master Baxter. The Synod (in their 2. Chapter, Art. 8.) decrees and declares their Doctrine in their words, For this was the most free counsil, gracions will, and intention of God the Father, thas the lively and saving efficacy of the most precious death of his Sonne should manifest it selfe in ALL the ELECT, for the

nall life; that is, God willed, that Christ by the blood of his Crosse (whereby he was to establish a new Covenant) should effectually redeem out of every people, tribe, nation, and language, All THEM and ONELY THEM, who from evernity were elected to salvation, and given to him of the Father, that he should bestow saith on THEM (which as also the other laving Gifts of the holy Spirit, he purchased for THEM by his death) that by his blond he should cleanse THEM from all fins both Originall and Altuall, as well committed after, as before they believed, and finally should present THEM before him in glory without all spot, or blemish. Here we see the saving efficacy of Christs Death for their Redemption, restrained to the Elect ONEly, and that according to the counfil, will and intention of the Father; and this Master Baxter had under his view, when he express so much wrath against Tilenus; and therefore he confutes himself with this Consestion, They do indeed affert, Art, 2. Sect. 8. That it was onely the Elest that God the Father intended by the death of (brist effectually to bring to faith, justification and salvation: which is the same Dostrine with that of Ele-Ction before mentioned. Who ought Master

bestowing upon them ONELY, fustifying faith,

and bringing THEM infallibly by it unio eter-

Election of some, certain, culled out Persons; as the Synod declares? So we see what Master Baxters Univerfall Redemption comes to: His Redeemed All, are no more then his Elected All: 'tis an All in respect of kindes, not of Persons. But Christ is theirs to be sure, according to the most Free Counfil, gracious will, and intention of God the Father. So saith the Synod, and this Master Baxter. will subscribe to, when he is Disputing against Tilenus, though when he gets into the Pulpit he declares, this to be a Doctrine of an ill influence; for he saith, Christ and salvation are made light of, because of this dis un-Elive Presumption, either that be Making light is sure enough theirs already, and of Christ. God that is so mercifull, and pag. 21. Christ that hath suffered so much, for them, is surely resolved to save them, or else it may easily be obtained at any time, if is be not yet so. Is it not the expresse Doctrine of the Synod and Master Baxter, that Christ is sure enough the Eletts, and that God and Christ are resolved to save them, and that this will most infallibly be obtained at God's time, if it be not so yet? This disjunctive presumption which he preacheth down in his

Baxter this shame, to betray him to this in-

cogitancy? The Same Dostrine with that of E.

lestion before mentioned? Why, was not that

Church, he disputes up in his Closet. And though, when he is converting with his papers (inter Adversaria) and drawing Diagrams concerning the Divine Decrees, his good wits jump with the Synod, and tells us, The Father intended by the death of Christ effectually to bring to Faith, justification and salvation, none but the Elect, yet when he bath his Crown, which is his crowd of Auditors about him, he forgets himself, and if not his love to truth, his zeale to fouls transports him into other language, much more patheticall then this Doctrine will allow of; For thus he addresseth his exhor-Ibid. pag. tation to them; Beloved heavers; 29.30. the office that God hach call'd ms to, is by declaring the glory of his Grace, to belp under Christ, to the saving of mens souls. I hope you think not that I some hither to day of any other Errand. The Lord knowes I had not set a feet out of doores, but in hope to succred in this work for your soules. I have considered and often considered, what is the matter that so many thousand bould perish [Now the man is in a rapture and hath quite forgotten his Decree of Reprobation | when God hath done so much for their salvation; and I find this that is mentioned in my Text; Mat. 22. 5. But they made light of it. is the canse. It is one of the monders of the

satisfaction by his death sufficient for them all, and offereth the benefits thereof so freely to them, even without money or price, that yet the most of the world should perish; yes the most of those that are thus called by his word! Is it one of the wonders of the world, that Gods eternall and immutable Decrees concerning them, should be executed? Why, here is the reason, (laith Master Baxter,) when Christ hath done all thus men make light of it; God hath shewed that he is not unwilling; (but your Synod hath shewed otherwise;) and Christ hath shewed that he is not unwilling, that men should be restored to Gods favour and be saved; but men are setually unwilling themselves. God takes no pleasure in the death of sunners, but rather that they return and live, Ezek. 33.11. (How came he then to reject them upon Adam's sin, and deny them Grace sufficient unto salvation, as you teach?) But mentake such pleasure in sinne, that they will die before they will returne. The Lord Jesus was content to be their Physitian, and hath provided them a sufficient plaiseer of his own blood: (but such as his Father intended should not be effectuall, by your doctrine) but if men make light of it, and will not apply it (which your Party confess they are not inabled to do)

world, that when God bath so loved the world,

as to send his Son; and Christ hath made a

what wonder if they perish after all? This Scripture giveth us the reason of their perdition. It is a most lamentable thing, to see how most men do spend their care, their time, their pains for known vanities; while God and Glory are cast aside: (and a little after) Obhow should we marvell at their madnesse, and lament their self-delusion! (who preach such contradictions) Oh poore distrasted world! what is it that you run after? and what is it that you neglect? If God had never told them what they were sent into the world to do, or whin ther they were going, or what was before them in another world, (or what Decrees had past to shut them up under sin, and deny them the Grace of Faith and Repentance, according to your Disputations) then they had been excusable; but he hath told them over and over till they were weary of it. This is Master Baxters preaching vein; by which his vulgar flock would be ready to flatter themselves, that they had their Teachers warrant to be confident, that God doth earnestly intend the salvation of them all. But when this pang of soul-saving zeal is over, that he gets into his Polemicall strain, then he disputes them out of all their hopes again; for thus he proceeds; If this Tilenus think that God intended the justification and Salvation of all by Christ, it's absolutely, or conditionally. Here I wish Ma-

the Reprobate or Non-elect; if not their fu-Mefication, and Salvation; then, I know nothing else it can be, but their greater condemnation, and then ture he is unwilling they should be restored to his favour; which is opposite, point blank against Master Baxters popular exhortations. But if God intended their instification and salvation absolutely, they shall be saved, saith Master Baxter, which no Christian that I know believeth; Tilinus, as little Christian as you make hun, is of This Faith too; and therefore he laith, God intended this but Conditionally. But then Master Baxter tells us, The rigidest Anti-Arminians, even Doctor Twisse doth over and over grant it you (and I thank him for nothing) of Justification, and Salvation, that Christ died to procure this Common Grace, that men shall be entified and saved, if they will believe. The Reader perhaps may be amused at this Doctrine, That Christ should die to procure Salvation, upon Condition of belief, for such as are absolutely reprobated. But here lyes the pretty knack; In Logick, there is a certain truth of the Connexion of the Antecedent and Consequent, when yet both the Antecedent and Consequent taken apart are false. For though it be true, saith

Her Baxeer had positively spake out, what it is that God intends them, whom he calls

either that Indas shall believe, or that Judas shall be saved. Now by the help of this Logick, Master Baxter, and his Party, may be able to preach a very Common Grace indeed, though they utterly deny Saint Jude's Common Salvation. For the Epist. ver. 3. Scripture telling us indefinitely that Christ came to seek and to save that which was lost, and Mark 16. Christ giving his Apostles Com-15, 16. mission to preach the Gospel to every Creature; sticking to the letter of the Text, a man might inferre, that Christ died to procure this Common Grace, for the horse and mule that have no understanding, yea even for the Devills; that they shall be justified and faved, if they will believe. For there is a truth of the Connexion of the Anteces dent, and Consequent, Whosoever believeth (hall be faved), though taking them apart there is no truth in them: It is not true, eis ther that horse, mule or Devill shall believe, or that they shall be saved. But as Master Baxter saith a little after, Christ did not die to purchase empty Names, as a benefit; SOI may say, he did not die to purchase empty Connexions of Antecedents and Consequents. This would be an excellent Mouve to con-

Master Norton, If Judas believe

he shall be saved; yet is it not true,

Abi supra p.

79.

spirit, to tell them there is a certain truth of the Connexion of the Antecedent and the Consequent, if they believe they shall be saved, though taking them apart there is no truth at all in them; For really they shall neither believe, nor be saved. Is not this strong consolation and passing encouragement to tell them, they have just as much possibility of grace and salvation as the beast that perish, or the veriest Devill? I know Isel-In Artic. 2. burgh, one of your Bremish Di-Tb. 1.p. 110. vines, doth say, that no mortall par. 2. mans sins are so great, but the sacrifice of Christ is Sufficient for the expiation of them; nullus etiam ex genere humano ab eo ita aut pariter alienus sit, atque Satanas & Angeli mali: That no man is estranged to such a distance from Christ, as the Devil and his Angels are; and he cites for it, Heb. 2. 16. He in no wife took upon him the nature of Angels: but the seed of Abraham. But Zanchy laith, he was born, prayed, died, rese again, ascended into heaven, and there maketh intercession for the elect onely; and then as good he had not been born at all in respect of the Non-elect, nay, it had been good for them, if he had never been born; as we shall shew anon. In the mean while the Reader is to be

version, if made use of amongst the unregenerate, or a sweet Cordials for the Desolate

least, a many of them are of Zanchy's Judg. ment; and so we have not onely the sense that Tilenre charges upon the Synod, but the very words too. For the Divines a De Artic.2. of Vtrecht a say positively, Chri-Thef.4. Par. stou pro omnibus & singulis homini-3.P.117. bus non est mortuus; &c. Christ did not die, nor procure reconciliation with God and remission of sins, nor satisfie Gods justice for the fins of Every one. Of the fame judgement are the Divines of Over-Hel.b The Hassien c Divines b Ibid. pag. deliver the same Doctrine too. 134-135. • Par. 2. p. And those of Wedderaud, are of the same opinion; Christ perfor-92. d Pag. 100. med all the parts of his Priestly office, fulfill'd the law, paid the ransome, makes intercossion; And of these three, all and onely the Elect are the adequate object. Qua tria pro objecto adaquato habent omnes & solos elettos. And the whole Synod in their Decrees Rejects e it as €C. 2. de an errour in those, who teach that Morie Chr. all men are received into the state Rejell. 5. of reconciliation, and grace of the Covenant. If Christ died for the Non elect and purchased neither Reconciliation, nor remission of sins, nor antissication, nor eternall life for them, as the Synodists do generally

informed that the Divines of the Synod, at

whole Synod of Anti-Remonstrants? But the office of Christs Mediatorship must be a little more evacuated, and stoop formewhat lower yet, to serve the interest of this Doctrine, as we shall now demonstrate. For, why was the Title of a Saviour imposed upon the Son of God? The Angel told Joseph, the reason was, because be should save his people from their sins. Mat. 1.21. What, from the guilt of them onely? no fure, from the power of them also, the dominion and pollution. For he came to destroy the works of the Devill, 1 Joh-3-5,8. Tosurn See 2 Cor. away ungodlinesse from Jacob, 5. 19, 20, Rom. 11.26. To Redeem as from our vain conversation, 1 Pet. 1 18. To redeem us from all iniquity, and purifie is unto himselt, a peculiar people zealous of good

conclude; to what end then did he die for them? to procure, saith Master Baxter, This

Common Grace, which amounts to no more

then the truth of the connexion of an Ante-

cedent and the Consequent, If they believe,

they shall be saved though there be a Decree

past against them from all eternity, that they

shall neither Believe, nor be saved. Is not

this a worthy atchievement for the eternall

Son of God to shed his bloud, and lay down

his life for, and a rare subtilty worthy the

profound judgement of Doctor Twife, and a

God having raisedhim from the dead, sent him (which implyes his Commission) to blesse you, in turning away every one of you from his iniquities. Act. 3. last. And this was according to the Covenant, sealed with his bloud, which conteined on Gods part a gracious Grant of power, That we being delivered out of the hands of our enemies, might sirve him without fear, in holinesse and righteousnesse before him all the dayes of our lives, Luk. 1. 74, 75. To this agrees the Grand Commission for the establishment of the Ministery; whose great imployment is, to preach repentance, (and that is conversion, saith Master Baxter) and remission of sins in Christs name among all nations. Luk. 24.47. To open their eyes, and turn them from darknesse to light, and from the power of Sasan unto God, that they may receive for givenesse of their sinnes, and an inheritance amongst them that are sanctified. Act. 26. 18. (From which, I remember Master Baxter, in some of his Papers, proves Sanctification to goe before Justification, as Justification goes before Glory; and to this purpose, he tells his Reader flatly, that without a grain of his own falt, he cannot relish that saying of Saint Austin, Bona o.

morks, Tit. 2.13.14. His Commission to this effect did not expire at his death, it was con-

tinued and ratified after his Resurrection; For

the facrifice of himself, and interdo alleadge for is, Hebr. cession of his bloud, as were ei-9.10. Hebr. ther Pardon, reconciliation, or ever-13,12.Eph. nall life. 5. 25. 26. 27. Now if our delivery from sinne, by sanctification, be the very first Part of that Redemption which Christ bath wrought for the world; is it not a very groffe and palpable ablurdity, to overlook or overleap these first fruits of our Redemption (as I may call them) and to affirm, That Christ hath purchased the latter for some men, for whom he bath in no wise procured the former, i. e. Remission of sins and eternall salvation, for this

pera non pracedunt justificandum, sed sequun-

sur justificatum. Good works doe not goe

before justification, but follow

The Hassien Divines likewise do

most expressly declare, that holi-

nesse and righteousnesse + were as

true parts of Christs purchase, by

after it.)

AA. Synod.

Dor. 2. par.

+ And they

p. 92. pr.

an Universall Redemption.
Christ, say the Hassien Divines, appears be-

to whom he hath not fo much as procured-

reconciliation or sanctifying Grace? Yet this

is generally the Doctrine of those Calvinifis

who seem most to advance the Merit and ef-

Ibid. p.100. ator, that by the Presentation of bis merits and accomplish satisfaction, he may procurs remission of sinnes, and restitution of righteenshesse for us. Then he undertakes with his Father for our obedience and gratitude; the seal and earnest of which sponsion or undertaking, (which is) his holy Spi rit, he conferres upon us, by whom he sters up inus a care and fludy both to avoid fin, and to performe righteournesse. Lastly, he makes intercession for us. Quæ tria intercessionis Christi momenta nullo modo ad hoedos, sed tantum ad oves Christi pertinent. These parts of Christs Mediation do belong to none but the Elder. But perhaps these are none of those Universalists of the Synod, that Malter Baxter will be tried by. Wellthen, to do him a kindnesse, he shall have his own choice. First he named Params; and having examined him, he acknowledgeth the sufficiency of the merit: but the efficacy, as to Ibid. par. I. the procurement of power, for the P.213. G. Non-elect to performe that Condition, of Faith and Repentance, (upon which pardon of fin, and Ibid. per. 2. eternall life are suspended) he Peg. 79. Th. flatly denies it. Here is but cold 3. in explic. comfort from that firanger; let is therefore come to thole, who sate warm in

fore his Father, as the onely Medi-

It seemeth good to Almighty God (they say) even after his acceptation of Christs Sacrifice, not to conferre remission of fins and eternall life, actually upon any, but by and through Faith in the Redeemer. And here that eternall and secret decree of Election discovers it self; when that Ransome which was paid for all, and shall most certainly be beneficiall unto all the faithfull, to life eternall; yet not withstanding it doth not profit all, because it is not given to all, to perform the condition of that gracious Covenant. Christ therefore so died for all, that by means of faith, all and every one, by verine of this ransome, may obtain remission of sins and life eternall. He so died for the Elett, that by the merits of his death, especially destined for them, according to Gods eternall Beneplaciture, they might infallibly obtain both Faith, and eternall life. Here is very slender confirmation of Master Baxters Universall Redem. pison hitherto; and now he hath but one reserve to trust to, the Bremish Divines; and alas! they say so little to make his affertion good, that I wonder as much, why he should offer to intitle them to it, as why he should so foully asperse Tilenus for declaring the Divines of the Synod &c. to be. Ibtd. p. 110. Thef. 2. of another judgement. For Isel-Burg affirms roundly, that the

the Synod; what say the British Divines?

Non-elect from all the saving benefits of Christs death. And Lad. Crocius, tells us of no other universall Redempti-Ibid.p. 117. on, but Reconciliation, upon Com-Thef.3. dition, if they will repent and beheve: but not a word of procu-Ibid p. 106. Th. 21. with ring Grace to inable all men to Pag. 107. perform this Condition. And Thes. 2, 3, Martinius, whom it seems M. Ba-47 53 xter reposed most of his confidence in, though he tels us of a like Conditionall Remission and Salvation, if they will be regenerated, repent, and believe, yet, really and effectually Christ hath promerited, purchased, obtained, and communicateth Faith, Regeneration, or effectuall Calling, Justification and Glorification, to none, but the Elect, according to Gods speciall Decree. This is his judgement. And now are not these Divines wonderful Magnifiers of the merit of Christ, in affirming that it hath procured this Common grace, even for the worst that perish, as Master Baxter Phraseth it, that he Mall be faved, if he will believe? This is just according to the Proverb, If the skie fall, we Mall catch Larks. You had as good (and may do it as reasonably) tell men of a certain inheritance designed for them in the world in the Moon, provided they will make a Ladder

Decree of Reprobation hath shut out all the

cient, in affording what is necessary, to inable them to perform it. And then, 2. it would follow that God were the First Defertor in respect of this new Covenant, which is against all sober Divinity. 3. It will make the Covenant of Grace to be no lesse intolerable than that of workes; for by this Doctrine, it doth exact impossible commands, and afford no strength at all to perform them. It supposeth God to make new Lawes, and lay new Impositions, upon those bruised shoulders of Adams Posterity, whiles he pretends to heal them.

It is a Rule in the Civill Law, Quando quis aligned concess, and it and concedere order to redeture.

to Climb up to take possession of it. Happinesse propounded upon Condition, but that

Condition made impossible, and that by a pu-

nishment, inflicted by the Propounder; and

yet he to be the Father of Mercies, who sent

his own Son to seal a Covenant of Grace

with his own bloud, wherein he hath under-

taken to give both Grace and Glory, this as the

end, and that as the way. How inconsi-

to cause in menthis condition or not? Sure he

did. Otherwise, it would follow, 1. That

God invites poore sinners to confederate with

him in a covenant of Grace, and yet is defi-

But, saith Master Baxter, did God purpose

stent !

eth therewith, whatever is necessary for the enjoyment of that life. And in our case, (you heard,) provision is made for it by the very Article of the Covenant, Luk. 1.74, and tisa main Part of our Medi-Eph. 5. 25. ators Office to take care for the performance of it, (in a way fu-. Heb. 13.12. table to his wildome, justice and mercy) according to that of the Apostle, AR. 5.30, 31. The God of our Fathers raised up fesus, whom ye stow and hanged on a tree; bim hath God exalted with his right hand to be a Prince and a Saviour, to Give Repentance to Israel and forgivenesse of sims." See Ad.3. But because he gives this, not to daft. evacuate but affift our duty, not to discharge us from it, but to inable and so oblige us the more to be diligent in applying our selves to it; Hence it comes to be our duty, as well as his donation, To have Grace, whereby me may serve God acceptably with reverence and Godly fear, Heb. 12. 28. and upon this account the Apostle exhorteth so earnestly, Phil. 2. 12, 13. Work out your salvation with fear and trembling; for it is God that worketh in you to will and to do, of his good pleasure.

fine que res concessa effe non potest. If God makes a grant of eternall life to any, or a se-

rious Promise, sure he promiseth and grant-

And this makes a fair way for our Answer to Master Baxters next demand, in these words; If God did (purpose to cause this condition) then it was Absolutely, or Conditionally if absolutely it it will be done. If conditionally, what is the Condition? and so in infinitum. That you may not tyre out your patience, or run your selfe quite ont of breath in such a long course, I shall endeavour to stop your passage, by telling you, that there is ordinatily, some condition to be performed, not by way of Causation, Merit, or Congruity, but by way of Order, to the introduction of faith, or the work of Conversion. This is confest by Master Norten, who saith, Ubi supra That Christ in his ordinary dispenchap. 6. pag. sation of the Gospel calleth not fin-129. ners as sinners, but such sinners; that is, qualified finners, immediately to believe. But because, he may runne with the Hare and hold with the Hound, like your self, in this course; therefore, I shall send an Abimaaz after you, to give you 2 Sam. 18. a turn; I mean Doctor Jackson, no Novice, M. Baxter, in School, or 27. Pratticall Divinity; His words Bookito are these, And because Man by the 3109. c. affistance of Gods speciall Providence (without the concourse of san Etifying inherent Grace) is inabled to do somewhat, which

work out our own salvation. For so the Apostle Speaks, Phil. 2, 12. But how are we said to work out our own salvation? Non Formaliter sed Consecutive: Salvation is the Necessary Consequent of our working, or doth necessarily follow upon our work, Net by any Merit, or Causality, force or esticacy of our work, or by any naturall Connexion, but meerly by Gods grace, by the Counsil of his boly and irresistable Will, by the Determination of his eternall Decree, by which Pag. 3110, it hath pleased him to appoint, The G 3111. Scep.3114. one as a Necessary Consequent of the other; to wit, Spirituall Mortification or life it selfe, as the Issue of our endeavours to Mortifie the flesh. Thus that profound Doctor. To whom I P. 3143. f. may adde the invincible Argument of that Learned, and Judicious Editor of his Works; His words are these, Let us take a Polemo, (a must shamelesly debauched Ruffian), upon this man we defire the work of the Lord by our Ministery may be prosperous. We must either tell him that there is something required of him in this present state, unconverted as he is, and

being done his Conversation or Mortification shall undoubtedly be accomplished a therefore

are we said to mortifie the body; and not so

onely, but to make our Election sure; yea to

them, I say, that of this man, something is required. The first Minimum guod sig, is Reflecting upon his own actions, and the Law writin his Conscience. Next I would apply some of Gods words spoke by Or I would the Prophets to some sinfull peareade to bim, ple or Person; as 1/4.1.16. Wash Exek 18. you, make you clean, put away, the evill of your doings, cease to do evill, learn to do well. Or that of Saint James 4. 8. Draw nigh to God. --- Clenfe your hands ye sinners, and purific your hearts, ye double minded. And would Affirm that these words figuific something, were (not empty noises, but) Precepts; and if Precepts, have some Duty correspondent to be performed by him to whom I laid them; which is, quod quarimus; that I would have done. My Adversary must say, No:bing is to be done; It's to no purpole for me to Exhort, or him to Try, nothing can be done to purpole. Now what will the poore Patient say? Men are naturally inclined to believe them, that most ease and please their natures best. The least Consequent of this Doctrine that he will or can make, and that if he were a good natured man too, will be this; Why then I will

so set him a Task; or that nothing at all is expe-

chedfrom him. These two be Points Contradi-

ctory Diametrally, there is no mean betwixt

betake my self to a negative idlehesse, wrap my body in my armes, fit still, and wait the Good houre when Grace shall breathe upon me. A Second will fay, Go coched, I will eat my meat with joy, and take my portion of the things of this life, till rafts of a better drop into my mouth from heaven. A Third may perhaps do worse; wend to a Tavern, or work place; and make work for Grace, with a gracelesse Desperate hope, that the more he fins, the more Grace, when it comes, may abound; that quo sceleration ed Gratia vicinior: If my Adversary saies nay; He must abstain from lewd Courses; we are half agreed: is not that part, the same with Esay's, Chase to do evill? If he maintain his Conclusion; I have no more to say, but to enter an Appeal to God, and this Protestation to man; That I disclaim all such dispositions, preparations, endeavours, as, cooperating to the Production of Grace, after the manner that temperate behaviour concurreth to produce the Habit of Temperance; or that naturall qualities do, to produce Forms merely Physicall: And this will quit me from Pelagianisme or Popery; But he shall never be able to free himself from the Errours of the Stoick or Manishees that holds it indifferent, what workes a man does before he be regenerate.

Ibid.

This is Master Buxters own Doctrine. Sure I am, laith he, that some means is appointed to be used for the Asquisition of Speciall Grace. Of Saving Faith. (pag. 27, and pag. 46.) And that a very command to use such means as means, is a strongly incouraging intimation, that God will not deny mentile end and bleffing, that use the mutans as well as they can. For it is corpain that be appointeth no means in vain. But whereas you say, isomediately before this, That you are-latisfied, that God bath not entred into Covenant or Promise with any unregenerate man to give him faving Grace upon any Condition to be performed wishout it I Give me leave to ask you, Hath not God made a Generall invitation to all the unregenerate (within the pale of the Ghurch)to come unto him, with a gracious promise to receive them? and doth not this promise imply a readinesse, to grant what ever may fit them for his communion, without which, that promise cannot be made good to them? Dare you affirm, that God will deny saving Grace to some, who make the best use they can, of the Gifts of nature and his common Grace, to stirre up themselves to lay hold upon him? If you dare do this, you dare contradict AB. 10.34, the Apostle S. Peter, and say 35. (though not, as he doth, Of a

truth) [I peracive that God is a respecter of Persons: for in every nation, there be some that feare God and work righteensnesse, which are not accepted with him. You 4 Whi fupra. complain*, you have people in pag. 40. your Parish that are harping on this string; (and yet this is Ipfife-# 4. Re-MA Fides DORDRACENA, † a string of that very Instrument, which you have provoked Tilenus to play upon). We cannot give Grace to our selves, mor be saved without it; nor can me have it till God give it us a which if he will doe, we hall be saved wif he will not, all that we can doe will not belp it. I pray do not you twist another string for them to harp upon, by telling them, Doe what they can to dispose themselves for its a God hath made no promise to bestow saving Grace upon them. For this will make as foul a jarring in their minds, and as unpleasant Musick in Gods Church, as the Denyall of works Preparatory and Dispositive to faving Grace. But to give you your due, you Govern your discourse tometimes with more moderation and Caution when you addresse your self unto your Congregation. For though in heat of Disputation, you determine, That God hath Cull'd out some certain persons for himself by his Decree of Election; wherein he had no pravision of, or

therein made provision for it, that in due time it might be irresistably wrought in them, not with, but without them, by His own Omnipotent strength; And for the rest, not compres hended within that Decree, there is another Decree patt against them, withholding from them all internall Grace sufficient and neceslary for their salvation; which though offered them in the Gospel, yet tis suspended upon the Condition of Faith and Repentance, which Condition is impossible, because God did not Burpole to Cause it in them. This is your Disputation-wise Doctrine, when you are compating with an Adversary: But when you are consulting the advantage of Souls, then you are zealous (as best becomes you) in another strain. In your Sermon (on Mat. 22.5.) you lay, It is true that Makinglight Grace is free, and the offer is uniof Christ. p. versall, according to the extent of 21, 22. the Preaching of the Gospel; and it is true that men may have Christ when they. will; that is, when they are willing to have him on his terms; but he that bath promised thee Christ, if thoube willing, hath not promised to make thee willing: and if thou art not willing mow, how canst thousthink thou shalt be willing

respect unto, either faith or obedience or any

other good quality, as wrought in them by his

Gospell accompanied with his Spirit; But he

might do much, though you are not able of your selves so come in, if fou would now subject gour selves to the morking of the Spirit, and fet in while the gales of grace continue. And in your Directions for Peace of DireA. 9. p. 65. Edic. 2. Conscience, you affirm, If wicked undelievers would but do what they can, in faily, serious, deep considering of these things, (viz. the variety of the world, and certainty of damnation, the excellency of Holinesse, with the certainty of everlasting Happinesse) and the like, they would have no cause to despair of obtaining Paith and Santtification. This is your Sermon-wife Doctrine. And you have written Directions so prevent Missarrying in Conversion]. Sure, you do not fear a miscarriage of the work on God's part, the danger is not from bis falling, but our own. Therefore something is required on our Part, and possible to be performed by us, which being performed, out Convertion is afcertained, but being neglected, it miscurries, and we our felves onely are guilty of it. If this be not true (Master Baxter) the Title of that Book is improper, and your whole Discoutse imperiment. And now you have to many blocks in your way, and some of your own sawing out, I hope your course will be Sopisand your Dispute not run out in infinitum.

bereafter? But soon after; Ob Sinners! you

I return to your Vindication of the Synod: you say, 2. [But contrary to this Accuser] This is another Cast of your displeasure: A Civill Title; To be an Accuser, is a piece of the Devils character: but such bolts are soon shot, when Faction hath bent her Bowe, and Pride hath a mind to make a quarrell. But if Tilenus be the Accuser, the Synod or Master Baxter is the Adversary; For he saith, Contrary to this Accuser the Synod declareth. (Art. 2. Sect. 3.) This death of the Son of God is the onely and most persect Sacrifice and satisfaction for fins, of infinite value and price, abundantly sufficient to expiate the fins of the whole world] and that it is, [therefore sufficient --- because this death was joyned with the sense of Gods wrath and curse, which we by our fins had merited.] that is, that the sinnes of all the world were &c. But how is this contrary to this Accuser? Indeed it is besides him, if you will, and as much besides the purpose. There is in the Chamber of London as much Treasure, perhaps, as will pay the Debts of all the Prisoners about the City; and 'tis so much the more current, because it is of excellent old Gold: But what is this to the poore Prisoners redemption, as long as the Major and Aldermen, (in whose sole power it is to dispose of that Treasure)

will not disbutie it to that purpose? The in-

distressed, for whose benefit 'tis not imploy. ed, but rather upbraid the want of Liberality in him who is Master of it, and hath the Power but wants the Will, to lay it out in such charitable and pious uses. But, you say, [the sinnes of all the world were charged on (brist, and be bore their penally, as Paræus in his writings to the Synod (and there conteined) expresses it.] Answ. Laid on Christ? To what end? to Load him, or ease them? But you joyne with Paraus, in your first Assize Pag. 14. Sermon, where you say, Doubtlesse Christ diednoi for all alike, nor with equall intentions of saving them is and yet he hatbborn, the sinnes, of all men on the Crosse, and was a Sacrifice, Propitiation, and Ranfome for all. To what end, I say, all this, according to your Doctrine? Was it to purchase faving Grace, Faith and Repentance for them? you say, No. Was it to make satisfaction and procure Pardon? you cannot with any modelty affirm it, if you speak consonantly to the Principles of the Synod; For, as you sonfesse (Sect. 7.) they determine concerning all the Nonselett, that God left them in that mifery, into which they were precipitated by the fall of Adam, and decreed to damn

superabundant sufficiency that is proclaimed to be in the Exchequer, doth not relieve the

on in this condition) as the (auses of their damnation. So that this Decree hath from. all eternity, laid the sins of the Non-elect, upon their own shoulders, and they are immutably designed to sink under them; why then should they be charged on Christ? why should he bear the Penalty of them? Is not Christ a principall link in that Golden cham. of means, composed by the Eternall Predestination to draw the Elect to glory & From hence some of the Divines of the Synod doconclude, I hat the death, and all salutary benefits of Christ do belong onely to the. Plect. Ad oredentes quidem, propter indivulsam illam saluis cate-Ind. Revlef. Wenter, Connam. Rom. 8. To them alone, fir. Thef, 3. in regard of that inviolable chain, par. 2. pag. Rom 8. And you tell your Reader, in the Pretace of your [Call to the Non-converted; [For Gods Decrees, you must know that they separate not the end and means, but vie them tagether; I If it be so, why do you untie them here, and afcribe the Death of Christ, which is a prime means of salvation, in any measure to the Reprobates, who are immutably appointed to another end, unlesse you affirm withall, (-which is the Doctrine delivered by many of your

them for this and all other sinnes (which would inevitably follow upon their derelisti-

+ See M. Party †), that Christs deatle Perkins Sybelongs no further to them than it nopfis above, may cooperate to their End, that and Testimois, be a means of their destrunies cited for this. ction? But, you say, They adde also (Sect. 5.) That the promise of Salvation to all that will believe must be preached to all without difference, with the command of Faith and Repentance. This Command is either Legall or Evangelicall; Legall a I know you will not fay; If Evangelical, a Quia solum Evance. then there is a promise of strength lium novie annexe to those commands, to enremedium able us to perform them (for this contra tralemakes the difference b betwixt dictionem leeis, folumeprecepts purely Legall, and Evanvism predigelicall; the Gospol doth afford sat poniten. strength, the Law none.) And tiens in town-Redemption from our vain Conne Christi. Hemingius versauss, being (as was said) Syntag. Inft. the first part of our Salvation, Christ. Loc. our Salvation must needs com-16. The .21. mence in an oblation of frength, b Wendolin. Theol. Chri. the tendry of a Gracions Subfin lib. z. c. 19. die towards Faith and Repen-Thef. 6. in rance; which yet you deny the unexplie. Difregenerate to have any promise of, crimian, E. vansily Leand how then can the Promise of Rife Salvation be preached unto them?

fummation of it,) then to preach the, unto men, who are puttiffit with an utter inability to repent and believe, for the Fall, or upon the Fall of Adam, I say to proclaim such a promise of Salvation, to persons of that quality, under condition of Faith and Repentance, is no lesse absurd, than to run in amidst a multitude of blind men, and pretrife them ten thousand pounds apiece, if they would but view such cofours and distinguish the green from the black and white. And if you take remission of sins in to this promise of Salvation; and tell us, that is to be granted too, even to the Non elect, if they will Repent and Believe; This is as if a Physitian should come into an Hospitall full of sick and diseased persons, and professe serioufly to them, Alas! poore wretches! what a number of fad Objetts are here! But I have compassion in store for you, and my bowels yern over you, '(and yet he administers nothing effectually to work their cure; but exhorts them vehemently after this manner) Come, be ye ruled by me, do you but purge your humours, and allay the inflammation of your bloud and spirits, and reduce your bodies to a good temper, and I will save your lives, and preserve you from death and tor-

2. If by falvation, they and you, under-

stand onely eternall life, (which is the con-

ments. Were not this a comfortable proclamation? But suppose a Command t were added to this Pro-+ As the Non electare mise, and a Commination appendant vied, to Reto that Command, that if those pent and Beblind mendo not distinguish those tieve by a command, but colours, and those sick men do excluded and not of themselves recover their denied abihealth, they shall be tormented lity by the in flames of fire, and kept alive in Decree. those slames to the uttermost; what would you think of such a tendry of salvation to these poore Mortals? But the Synodists were very willing to passe over this black and more horrid part of the story, whereof the event is undeclinable, and to take occasion to tickle the conceit of the Reader, with the Imagery of glorious promises, whose fruition, to such Non elect, isutterly impossible. Yet even about these promises of the Gospel, I find a considerable difference amongst them; They are not agreed whether saving Faith, Conversion, or Regeneration (which come all to the same reckoning in this point) be pramises or no. Upon that 2 Pel. 1.3,4 passage in Saint Peter, Whereby there are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises, that by en Notis Mithem me might be partakers of the ner. ad lo-Divine nature. Beza faith, He

Participation of Divine qualities, whereby the image of God is restored in us. And sure, this is nothing else but Regeneration. If this be the matter of those great and precious Promises, and not the effett of them onely, then here is a promise of Regeneration (conditionally) made to the Unregenerate (for the Regenerate being already possess of them, the Promises cannot properly be said to be made to them as such) and truly seeing Amefius Belan Unbeliever hath a Conditionall lay. Enerpromise of Salvation made to him, vat. Tom. 3. as an Unbeliever, which becomes сар. 2. питabsolute upon his believing, as Io. Amesim saith, I can see no reason, why we may not as well fay, that an Unbeliever, or unregenerate Person hath a conditionall Promile of Faith and Regeneration. The British Divines, if I be not much De g. Attie. deceived, were of this Judge-AB. Synod. ment; For to prove that Fauh Dor.p. 200. and Perseverance are Absolute Pro-\$471. La mises, they say thus, There are Some Promises of God which concern the End, others which concern the Means unto that end; The Promises which concernibe end, v. g. concerning Salvation, are conduionall. Believe, and thou shalt be saved. Be faithfull (or per-Jevere) unto the death, and I will give thee a

doth not understand the Divine Escence, but a

crown of life. And seeing no man is able to performe these Conditions, God hath also made most free and absolute promises to give these wery Conditions; which he himself worketh in ms, that by these, as the means, we may attain unto the end. To prove this they alledge, Deut. 36.6. God shall circumcife thy heart, that thou mayest love the Lord thy God, with all thy heart, &c. The end here promised is life; Which the Israelites could never attain unto, unlesse the condition were performed, that is to say, the love of God. But God doth here Absolucely promise, say they, that he would give them this Condition. Here then we have a promise of Faith and Rigeneration or Conversion, (for so the Belgick † Professors understand [the † Synopsi Pur. Theot. circumcifion of the heart] and to whom is this promise made, but Disput. 32. to luch as were yet unregenerate? Thef. 2. pag. And Master Baxter bimself was 420. once of this Judgement; For in

once of this Judgement; For in Pag. 47. his Appendix to his Aphorisms, in his Answer to the eighth Objection of his Adversary, he saith thus, If the Covenant were onely Absolute, then it can be made to none but wicked men: and indeed the absolute Covenant is made to none other. Sure those that God doth promise to bestow new hearts upon, and soft hearts, have yet their old

and bard bearts: (except it were meant of a further degree, and not of the first saving Grace.) 2. And as the Absolute, so the great Conditiowall promise [Believe and be saved] is also made to ungodly men. Is not this spoken to Unbelievers? will you speak it to none but those who believe already ? were none of those Jews ungodly, to whom Peter faith Act. 2.39. The promise is made to you, and to your childrent &c. But it seems he liath since met with a new light; For, in his Directions to pre-Pag. 265. vent Miscarrying in Conversion, dividing the Benefits, or Mercies derived to us, from Christ, into Common and Proper, The Proper or Speciall Mercies, he makes to be of two forts. Some Physicall inherent qualities, of Performed alls, 2. And fome are adherent Rights, or Relations. Of the inherent fort, he makes three Degrees:

whereof the first is, the first Speciall work of Vocation, Conversion, or Regeneration, caufing the Sinner to Repent and Believe, and giving him the Principle of Spiritual life; and of this, he saith, God hath not promised it Conditionally or Absolutely to any individual Person that hath it not. He hath bound all to Repent, and Believe, but hath not promised to make them do it: (onely he hath revealed, that there are certain Persons, so given to Christ, as that

they shall be infallibly drawn to believe.) But he hath appointed certain meanes for the ungodly, which they are bound to use, in order to their conversion; and if they will not use them, they are but hout excuse. What should move Master Baxter to change his opinion in this Article? I will give you my conjecture. The promises of the Gospel are observed to be Generall, and must be preached promiscuously, to Elett, and Reprobate (as they call them) without distinction. And if the Redemption, wrought by Christ, be not supposed as a common benefit, bestowed on all men: that indifferent and promiscuous preaching of the Gospel committed to the Apostles, to be performed among all Nations, bould have no true foundation, as Martinius hath observed. What De Artie. 2. should these men do in this case, Thef. 8. or what should they say? To say, the Promises of the Gospel are not to be Preached to all, the more Sober and Learned, dare not. To say, Faith and Regeneration are Promises of the Gospell, is to confesse them to belong indifferently and promiseu-

them to belong indifferently and promicuoully to the Reprobate, as well as to the Lalect; and then maintaining that these are Promised most freely and absolutely, to be wrought in m, without m, by God himself; this would by an underlyable consequence intitle the Nonirresistible conversion, as the very elect. What course then must be taken so to state the Article, that they might avoid this Inference? The Synodists, they divide the Promises, (as you heard) into some concerning the End, which are conditionall; If you repent and believe, you shall have pardon and salvation; and these may be safely preached unto the very Reprobate; it really intitles them to nothing; For, By this Proposition, If you believe, you shall be laved, it is not signified that God willeth either Faith or Salvation unto him to whom it is so declared, more then ambeliese and death, seeing he addeth together therewith, + Rescript. It you do not believe you shall ad Grevin. die; saith Amos. † cap. 5. The other Promises (in their divition) are concerning the Means, Faith, Regeneration and Perseverance, which are absolute, undertaken to be wrought of Almighty God without us, by the strength of his Omnipotency, and these are the Propriety, and Free-hold of the Elect; not to be indifferently and promiscuously preached. But by this Doctrine ther fet up a double Gospel; one for the Elect, which offers falvation upon fuch conditions as God hath abioluiely promised and undertaken to

perform himself, by an irreligible ethiciency;

another for the Reprobate, which offers salva-

elect to as good an interest in the Benefit of an

Conditions, as they are bound to perform themselves, though there be no competent strength of Grace, either promised or administred to inable them hereunto. Perhaps (to give him the Title, he bestowes on Amyraldus) our Oculatissimus Baxterus, upon his second thoughts, discovered this foule Absurdity; audito preventin, chose rather to call them Revelations concerning the infallible eftecting of Faith and Regeneration, than Pramises. But there is another saul Absurdity sticks even to his Dostrine, and he cannot possibly shake it off, that is, He-makes Christ to be set up as a Double Saviour: For unto some, he hath procured santtifying Grace, which he doth effectually and irrelistibly communicate, to redeem them from fin and their vain conversation, and this in order to their Glory; And thus he is the Saviour of the Elect. But for others he allows no more, but externall Ministeries, with Common Grace, which is uneffectuall, and these in order to their condemnation too; such a Saviour be is to the Reprobate; Indeed in respect to these, he is made a Pure Secinian-Saviour; from whom (according to this Doctrine) they receive little or no influences, but those of his Holy Laws, and A Grand Exem-

tion upon other terms, though under the same Name and Title) that is, upon such

now he is ingaged will pursue his vindication, as he imagineth, to a perfect Triumph; and therefore he pleads moreover for the Synod, that they adde, That the Reason why many that are called by the Gospelldo not repent or believe, but perish in Insidelity, is not shrough any defect of the Sacrifice of (brist offered on the Crosse, or insufficiency of it, but by their own fault.] By their own fault? Saith the Synod so? Alas! how could that be? It was a punishment indeed inflicted on them, when as yet they had but a mere Possibility of Being in regard of the sufficiency of the Divine Power to effect it. So the Creabilitarians, or Gomarists have determined. But to come as low, as the lowest Calvinsts; Admit it were upon the Fall of Adam; yet be could not by that Fall, forseit an interest in Christ, which he never had before that Fall (for then, that being a means and power to rife again after falling, be could not have lost it, by falling) whether for himself, or his Posterity. 2. Did Adam's Posterity become their Fathers Surety, that he should perform the conditions of that First Covenant, and so became liable to the Forfessure of that Obligation, which he did violate? Or, 3. did They voluntarily, and of their own choice, fet up

And yet, right or wrong, Master Baxter

Christ, to be their Prince and Saviour, and were the Laws of Repentance and Faith (the breach whereof becomes fo exceeding finfull to them) of their Own Elettion, or were these both Laws and Prince imposed upon them, and they invited to embrace, submit and subscribe to them, as Speciall Acts of Grace, and the onely Instruments to make them happy? Or, 4. Was it ever in their power to Prevent, or is it yet in their power to rescind that eternall Decree of Reprobation, whereby God immutably determined to leave them in the Lapse: iisque, media ad fidem & Conversionem, vel simpliciter non dare, vel non efficaciter applicare, idque ex mero Placito & liberrima voluntate, faciente de suo quod valt; (as the Zealanders have defined, and it is inferted amongst the Alts of the Per.3.p.45, Synod;) And either simply to deny them Means necessary to Faith and Conversion, or else not to apply it effectually to them, and this out of his mere will and pleasure, Disposing of his own, according to his own minde? By which Doctrine we learn, that it is their Misery to be ruined for Adams sin, but not their Fault to perish in Infidelity. Neither proceeds it from any insufficiency or defelt of the sacrifice of Christ, but merely from the sole Pleasure and incontrollable will of God.

us. The British Divines, and the Bremish especially, and most clearly Martinius, (and Crocisus well did give in their fuffrages for Universall Redemption, which are Recorded in the Acts of the Synod, and these Decrees are plainly agreeable. How well Crecime and the rest have stated the Point, we have seen already; and indeed one may thrip groffe or pile, whether he squares his judgement by their suffrages, or the Decrees of the Synod. What the sense of those Decrees is, you find in their eight Artiele upon the second Chapter or Head of Do-Arine, cited above, at the beginning of our Reflexions upon this ninth Section; and he that would see more, may examine their 5. and 6. Rejections. What is this Universall Redemption gon or they speak of? Doth it consist in the Ablation of the Curse or Pain, the Imperration of Grace and Righteousnesse, and the collation of Life and Glory? Mans Misery consists but of two parts, sin and punishment. Doth your Universall Redemption make sufficient provision to free the Non-elect from both, or from either of these? From the wrath to come, the Damnation of hell, or from iniquity and their vain conversation? Indeed in your

And yet, for all this, Master Baxter runs

on, and to make a fuller Vindication, he tells

Keeper, or their Lord Treasurer, to communicate his laving Grace, for their Conversion, or to fecure them against the assaults and rage of their Ghostly enemy. These last Offices you suppose him to beat, in favour of the Elect onely. So that your Universall Redemption hold a very faire Correspondence with your Sufficient Grace (as to the Non-elect); there is not one fingle person sanctified by this, or saved by that. Nay further, Reprobis Deseeing all the influence, that Christs us Mediato. death hath upon them, according Tem patefato your Doctrine, is of a killing on ut negle-Ha conditionature and tends clearly to no one point enther end, then to carry on the tia to fidei Decree of their Reprobation, and inexcusabiles reddantur. they being the far greater part, it Wendel. had been a much more proper Time mbi supra extle, if you had faid, Those Divines plic. Thef. 8. diagive in their Suffrages for Univerfall Perdition. Martinus, (who deals to clearly, as you suppose, in this Question,) saith, De Artic. 2. That the Redemption by Christ Abef.8.11. must be proclaimed, not onely as a Common (afficient Benefit, but as really and in-

Assize Sermons, you did very seasonably Preach up Christ, to be a Lord Chief-Instice,

to Judge the Reprobate; but I cannot finde that ever you Declare bim to be their Lord

way, doth somewhat take off the edge of the unregenerate, from endeavouring after Regeneration, if there be no promise concerning it, as Master Baxter's New Light, hath discovered.) But what is this common Benefit, and what doth that Redemption amount unto, which is to be thus universally preached? why, (not saving Grace; for that † 1b.Tb.14. is peculiar + to Believers, but) remission of sins, and everyall life, if Ib. Thes. 21. they Repent and Believe. It will be worth our while, to observe, after what manner, God is supposed, by this Doctrine, to addresse his Pesications and Calls of Mercy, to these Non-elect, who are dead in sins, and sick of an impotency to Believe and turn themselves, that he may woo them unto Repentance. He must (consomantly to these opinions) bespeak their Repentance aster this manner: "O ye children of Re-' probation, once, in your first Parent Adams dearly beloved of me, but now rejected and cast away by me, out of an eternali and implacable hatred; how long will ye abuse 'my Patience? how long will ye spin out the war of your Rebellion against me? Know * ye not, how acceptable a sacrifice Repentance

can be deduced from it, to ingage me to be-

lieve, that it belongs to me, (which, by the

and a broken heart are to me? Go to, therefore, recollect your selves and believe me; I intrest and pray, request and supplicate, beg and befeech, turn your selves, and seek after Righteousnesse; I swear by my self, * (that ye may have no temptation 'to be doubtfull of it) Obedience s is better to me then Sacrifice, and I will be the Author of eternall Salvation to * All them that obey me: And if these things cannot move you, behold the tears of your "God, your Creator, a Father of Mercies to and moans, complaints and lamentations? Othat ye would be wife, Othat ye would confider, Oh miserable wretches, why will 'ye die and perish in your fins? What plea-' sure is it to you, to provoke your God to anger, who loveth Righteousnesse and hateth iniquity? Tis very true, and I know it right well, that you cannot but Rebell against me, because I have deprived you of the power to will and to do rightly, and from all eternity rejected you from all communion in my saving Grace: but yet I do " seriously affirm it, and protest and swear by emy Holineste, that your Repentance will be e very acceptable to me And do you but Ree pent thorowly, and I will unfeignedly give eyou Pardon and Salvation. Behold what a

then the Synod of Dort doth? I must say, if you can, it's yet beyond my reach or my remembrance. Then I must say, you have a shale low reach, or a Treacherous memory, or a Partiall judgement; The first, if you could not apprehend; the second, if you have forgotten; the third, (which lyes most under my suspicion +) if you will so rashly condemn, whom you will + Because I find you renot vouchsafe to hear, pleading ferring your Gods cause, as well as their own, Reader to fo earnestly and so convencingly Books that in their many Writings. are confuted, and yet you take no notice of it. E.G. Saints Rest. par. 1. pag. 154. in the Margin. Bogerman, Vedelius, dgc. I pray see Corvinus against Bogerman, and Vedelius Rhapsodus. But tis time to take up here, that we may reserve our strength and patience, to follow you, in your next stage, where you run on in

Patheticall Scheme of Persuasion God should

use! Behold, what affections and bowels his Invitation of the Non-Elect should be

cloathed with, according to this Doctrine!

Master Baxter puts it home to Master Pierce,

with sufficient confidence, in these words, Can Tilenus, or you, or any that is most passionate in these points, tell us of one jot more

that you ascribe to the death of Christ for all,

And yet for all this in the next (10.) Sect.

after this mannet; They give more to Christs death for the Elect then you, but no lesse that I know of, (the more shame for you then, to condemn opinions and persons unheard and unexamined) to his death for all then you. For you say, that he dyed to bring it to mans choice, whether they will have Christ, and life er not? and so say they, (you should adde EQUIVOCALLY, and perhaps you may fay true;) and Calvinists, commonly, (as Dallæus hath told you, in the very words of abundance of them.) If you say, that according to you Christ bath purchased Grace for all, or for more then the Elect, to Cause them to believe. I answer, I. That the highest Grace with you doth but bring it to their choice; and help, but not determine their wills; and this (but not verily this) they grant to others, as well as you do. 2. Is it the Name of sufficient Grace, or the Thing? The thing that you call so, as I said. (too often already, unlesse it had been to more purpose), they grant to be as common as you can Reasonably expect them to imagine, (you say right, considering the rest of their Principles,) and Christ did not die to purchase emply Names, as a benefit. (I pray, what is that Remission of fins, and evernall life, which you say, he purchased for Reprobates? Is there Name and Thing too? They heare the

Tausologies of a tedious length. You set forth

Christ purchased more for some, then you do; but no lesse for others.

Here we have Master Baxier in extreams; he is excessive in his bounty towards the Elect, but defective in his Charity towards the Reprobates; and therefore no wonder he is out in the mean, which is that Grace that brings Salvation to Man's choice, as stated

found of it, but never any of them tasted

how sweet it is.) The difference (you conclude)

(not by him but) by the Remonstrants.

For the First, he is descient even in his Pretended Sufficiency, and the Accompts being truly and exactly cast up, we shall find the Reprobates are very little obliged to him, or to the Synod, for their Alms of sufficient Grace.

For when they speak of Grace, they under-

for when they speak of Grace, they understand either Gods Love and savour; or the effects of it. Gods Grace in the first sense is, either Generall, extended to all mankind considered, as Rationall Creatures, but out of Christ, and this, though the Reprobates have an interest in it, will not serve the turne; or Speciall, which passeth into a Decree of Election, and thereby provides Christ and all other means necessary to the working of Conversion and bringing Salvation (as they as-

firm) insuperably. And this is a peculiar

free Love and favour; this Grace is divided (as the former) into Generall and Speciall; The Speciall Grace which is saving, is Proper to the Heirs of Salvation, faith M. Baxter, and the Synod too, that is to the Elett. The Generall, is that Common Grace, confisting of such effects as flow from Gods Generall Love, and this is vouchsafed to the Reprobate. Which Grace, though adorned with the Title of Sufficient, to tickle the fancy of the unwary vulgar, and flatter him into an apprehension, that it containes all that is need. full unto his falvation, yet really it fignifies onely (in the very acknowledgement of the more ingenuous fort of Calvinifts) so much as is sufficient to Convince men of their sinne and mitery, of their infirmity and want of a Redeemer; and because it informs them likewise, that Christ is such an one, sent to give life and pardon upon condition of Faith and Repentance (though intentionally designed for the benefit onely of the Elect) and that life and pardon is tender'd to them upon those conditions, which are irresistibly effected in those Elect, but made impossible to the Reprobate; therefore by the administration of this Common Grace, they become guilty of imperatency and unbelief, and so this Grace

Inclosure to the Elect. If we take Grace in the Second sense, for the effects of Gods

Reprobation; And this is all the Sufficiency I can find in it, whether I examine it by Perkins his Table, or the Dostrine of the Synod.

We see how little the Reprobates are beholding to you for your bounty. For this

your sufficient Grace, both Name and Thing, is of no more value then a New Nothing, which many times is promised unto children to please them; but with an intent really to cousen them; and therefore discovering the fallacy, we account it a piece of ingentity in

them to flight the offer.

If the Non-electneither have, nor can have interest in that Grace of God (by what name soever you will call it) which is of force to procure Conversion and a saving saith, what do you telling them of the rest, by which never man was, nor ever shall be, nor ever can

ver man was, nor ever shall be, nor ever can be saved? And is it possible for any man to arrive at Salvation, who lies under the Decree of Preservition, and is thereby, spso sasto put in the order of men certainly to be damned, Damnation being the unavoidable execution of that Decree, whereof Preterition and Predamnation are but severall + Respecies of I must therefore preserve. + Symples

spects? I must therefore preserve, † Synopsis to such collusion, the ingenuity of Theologia. Theologia.

Theologia.

Disp. 24.th.

nion fully out, and tell us that all 49, 6752.

these Non-elect, are designed but to make them the more inexcusable.

You alleadged above, in the words of the Synod, that it is not through any insufficiency or defect of the sacrifice of Christ, that men Perish in their Insidelity; and may not as much be said in respect of the Devils, that it is not through any defect, or insufficiency of Christs Sacrifice, that they are damned eternally? The Reason is the same for both according to the Principles you go upon, namely because God mills to have it so. And I wonder with what considence you can tell the

Non-Elect (and them you must be supposed

to speak to, the Elect not being concerned in

the Dispensations of Grace administred to

Pag. \$2. Sermon of Making light of Christ,
That, "It were better for him he had been a Turk or Indian, that never had heard the name of a Saviour, and that never had falvation offered to him. For such men have no cloak for their sin. Joh. 15.

22. Besides all the rest of their sins, they have this killing sin to answer for, which will undo them. And this will aggravate their misery. That Christ whom they let slight by must be their Judge, and for this fin will he judge them. Oh that such would

'now confider how they will answer that

fors, Mat. 23.33. How will ye escape the damna-Suian of Hellsor Heb. 2.3. How shall we escape if s we neglett so great salvation? Can you escape without a Christ?or will a despited Christ save 'you then? If he be accurled that let light by 6 Father or Mother, Deut.27. 16. What then " is he that sets light by Christ? &c. How I say, can you say this, unlesse you lay better grounds to glorifie Gods Justice in punishing the Non-elect for their Infidelity? For by that Doctrine, the most part of the Christian world are sofarre from being designed a benefit by the exhibition and tendries of Christ to them, that they receive far more hurt by it, and so their condition is readred a great deal worse than that of Devils, to whom Christ was never offer'd; For the most they could say of Christ, was to expostulate or cry out, Art show come to torment us before the time: but these may complain, that, though there was as little benefit intended them, by the offer of Christ, as the Devils to whom he was never tendred; yet it did serve to aggravace their sin, and made them guilty of infidelity and liable to a greater condemnation. Be not startled at the news, (if it leems so to you,) for it is the very Doctrine of Go-Thef 31. de marus; This is the difference, faith præd Disput.

be, beiwixi the Reprobation of men

1604.

Question that Christ puts to their Predecel-

tendred unto them, but unto men he is tendred often, (outwardly in the word, and inwardly by the Spirit,) that being convinced of Infidelity and a stubborn heart, they may by that means, be rendred the more inexcusable. Now I Conjure every Christian Reader, as he tenders the Glory of our ever Bleffed God, and the Honour of his most Holy Ordinances, and the eternall Salvation of mens precious souls, sadly to weigh and consider, the pernicious influences of such a Doctrine; that his understanding may be awakened to apprefrend, and his will inclined to entertain, more solid practicall principles of Divinity; that every mouth that utters such wickednesse, may be stopped. For certainly this cannot be the purpose or purport of Gods Generall + See and love towards mankind, in fend-consider, ing his Sonne to die for them and 70b.3.16.17 be preached unto them t.

and Angels, that the Angels never have Christ

And now Master Baxter, perhaps will tub up his memory a little better. What I Doth neither Tilenus nor Master Pierce, nor the Remonstrants ascribe one jot more, to the death of Christ for all, than this amounts to? Doth that Grace (of Christs purchasing) which they account sufficient rise to no higher a pitch, no, not so high, as to put them into a

God be thanked, there is no truth in it. The difference is lo wide, betwixt the two Parties in this Point, that nothing can well be wider. For 1. Sufficient Grace in your fense, is, that which never did, and never will, and never can, bring salvation unto any man; for let him use his usmost diligence to cooperate with it, it will not, it cannot fanctifie him, being (not through the Receivers default, but) of its own kind and nature uneffectuall. For thus the Profesiors of Leidenspeak Censura in of it; Concedimus omnes illos, &c. Confess. cap. We grant, that all who are called 17. par. 3. by the Gospel are sufficiently called, Pag. 235 3 that us, God is not obliged in ju-236. stice to call them otherwise, then he doth call them, and by that Calling they are sufficiently deprived of all presence of excuse, before Gods Tribunall, if they be not converted; because the fault of their non-conversion resterb in themselves onely. This is their definition of sufficient Grace, and the Compiler of this work was the Synod. I shall referre the Reader to the Remonstrants Descant upon this Definition (in their Examen Censura. cap. 17. parag. 3.) But sufficient Grace in the Remonstrants

possibility of being restored by Christs mediation, into at least as good a condition as that

of Devils? This is a very strange story. But,

sense, (which is like to be Master Pierce's, and Tilenus his sense too;) is, Gods Grace which bringeth solvation unto All men, to whom it hash appeared, Tit. 2. 11. For, they lay, To the end man may not onely be able, but also freely and heartily willing to performe the Divine commands; God willeth to do all things, op his part, necessary to the effecting of both in him: that is, he hash determined to conferre Such Grace upon finfull man, whereby he may be rendred fit and able, to perform all that is required of him in the Gospel, (and Confess. Rein their next Thesis) God therefore mon. c. 17. Thef. 1. when he calls sinners by his Holy Gospel, be bestows upon them Grace not onely necessary but also sufficient, to performe faith and obedience (the Requiries of the Gospel.) 2. They do not deny, but God may, and many times doth conferre, Imparia Paribus, Paria Imparibus, & Potiona Pejoribus. They acknowledge God hath not past any Decree, whereby he hath debarred, either Himself of Liberty to bestow, or men of a Possibility to receive such teverall Dispensations. And although they contesse, there is a Ibid. Thes. 3. In secret calling, which yet is uneffectuall, yet they say, the reason is, because it wants the Saving effect, on mans part: Perque solam hominis volunta-

sive eventum optatum ac debitum non sortitur, and that it obtains not the due and destred event but becomes unfruitfull, is through the fole voluntary and avoidable fault of man. 3. This Grace doth not onely Prevent the will, and conferre a power of willing, upon them that are Called, (for I think it unprofitable to speak of the rest,)but (if they oppose not a new contumacie to check it,) it doth also accompany and belp the Will of man, so, that the will, when it astually willeth, that is, believes and obeys God, ought to ascribe this, to that very Grace, as the Principall Moving Cause, by the prevention and concomitant affistance whereof, men, duely cooperating with it, are many times, really converted and sanctified, to such a degree, that there is nothing wanting, but Perseverance, to obtain the crown; To which purpose our Saviour Christ saith, He that continueth unto the end shall be saved; which saying of His, doth not onely imply a Peffibling of defection and consequently of destruction, for want of continuance; but an affurance also, of the happinesse of such, if God should please to put a present period to their life, in that condition. 4. Doe not the Remonstrants hold, that 'tis possible for a man to fall Totally and Finally

riam, ac vincibilem culpam, infructuosa est

that Fountain of Election; (which Grace, according to his opinion can never be lost,) this therefore at least in his sense, is but Sufficient Grace, and yet 'tis farre more, then that common lufficient Grace, which the Synod speaks of; and how could this be either out of Master Baxters reach, or memory, having so lately, before this, put forth his Account of Perseverance? More distinctly, for the satisfaction of the Reader touching the Remonst ants opinion of the operations of Divine Grace; 1. They hold, that it works upon the un-AH. Synod. derstanding inlightening and indu-Remonst. de ing it with the knowledge of Di-G741.p. 14. vine truth. And, that God by the fole illumination of the understanding, without any formal immediate or direct impression or action upon the will, makes all the Elect, of children of wrach and servants of sinne, to become children of light, and servants of Righteousnesses This is all that Camero requires unto their Regeneration; as appears in his Theses, and his Conference with Tilenus, where he saith. Fidem projesses ab illuminatione Spiritus Sancti, That Faith pro-

from a true justifying Faith, or laving Grace, and that this doth sometimes eventually come

to passe? and yet Master Baxter, will not al-

low this to be speciall Grace, flowing from

thice moveri pesse; It is not to be understood how Free will, being a Morall Principle, can be moved otherwise then Morally. But the Remonstrants say, 2. That the Divine Grace worketh upon the affections also, and that irresistibly, (as likewise it doth upon the understanding;) to which purpose, we may consider those Passages, There came a fear on all, Luk. 7.16. and, Did not our hearts burne within us, while he talked with us? Luke 24. 32. 3. That it works directly and immediately upon the Willtoo, and that irrefistibly, as to the collation of power to believe; Praceres minime quoque diffitemur Spiritum Santtum smmediaté agere in voluntatem, in illam vires infundendo ac potemians supernaturalem ad credendum, That the Holy Ghost mer-A&. Synod. kech immediately upon the will, in-Remonst. de fusing strength into it, and a su-Gratia.p. 14 pernaturall power to believe, we doe not deny; and in the next Page, Si per gratiam habitualem intelligere libeat potentiam quandam supernaturalem, concessam voluntate adboc ut credere & bene agere possit, cam liberter admitismus; If by babilhall Grace,

ceeds, from the illumination of the

cipi non poffe quo pacto liberum arbitrium,

quod principium Ethieum est, aliter quam E-

holy Spirit; and also, animo per-

Thefi 3.

be understood a supernaturall power conferred upon the will, to this end, that it may be able to believe, and alt well, we admit of it willingly. And after, If any one de-Ibid. pag. 20 mand of us, whether, the action of God conversing (a sinner) be onely morall, consisting of proposals, invisations, suasions; we answer, say They, that it is more then Morall, and in respect of excising Grace, we say there is also a supernaturall power, infused into the will, disturble from the illumination of the understanding; and if we respect cooperating Grace, we say, that may be salled Physicall, and bath a reall and proper efficiency. If it be demanded whether there be any immediate action of the Spiris upon the will, (they say) we do not deny it. If it be demanded, n bether, besides the illumination of the minde, and excitation of the affections, and invitation of the mill, Grace dath nothing after the manner of a principle, or ance-Peg. 21. cedantly unto Conversion; we say, et doth. And after, (pag. 62.) Potentiam credendi ante omnia conferri dicimus per irresistibilem Gratiam. We say the power of believing is conferd by an irresistible Grace. And, If it be demanded, whether he, who doth not oppose a new contumacy (or rebellion) but yields to the motions and operations of Grace) and consequently is

tecedent and preventing Grace may Pag. 21. be equall, but the first hath cooperating Grace, which the later hath not. Indeed after a man is instructed with this Supernatural power to believe, they acknowledge no other Grace necessary towards the Eliciting or educing the act of faith but what is Morall, or that which useth the word, as the instrument, not excluding fundry secret inspirations, impressions and motions of Gods Gracious dispensing, which yet do not produce consent otherwise, then in a morall way of working. For if the Artuali confent, to what is offerd in the word, be infill' or inspired into the will, as it is a Principle of Election; Then, I there is a Consent in the Will, before it be elected or drawn out by the will; which is absurd. 2. Then it is not the wills consent unto the motions of Grace: but Grace that imprints that Actuall consent, doth consent unto it self; which is no lesse absurd then the Former. 3. Then a power of believing in the Will were unnecessary; and it would be in vain to conferre it; because the Consent or Act of Believing should not be drawn, out of that power, but be imprinted upon the will by another internall force or

Converted, bath more grace, then he, that doth

oppose (and check them,) and consequently is

not converted; we answer, the an-

mition. 4. Then the word should conduce nothing to the begetting or effecting of faith, or consent in the will of man: For the word cannot concurre but as a morall Instrument, nor act but objective and morally, and such actions are relistible, and may be uneffectuall, which such an Actuall consent instilled into, or imprinted upon the will (by an Omnipotent Grace, as + they fay it is) can-+ Contra re. not be. So that by this Doctrine, monstranis. if it should be granted, (say the Remonstrants); the Ministery of the Word would be made woid and altogether unprofitable. This inconvenience Master Baxter could discover well enough as to Of saving Faith. psg. the Infusion of Habits; And therefore he follows the stream 2 I. of those Divines, who take Vocation, which (taken Passivels) conteineth the Acts of Faith and Repentance, to be Anrecedent unto Sanctification, which comprehends the Habit of them. Placing the Act before the Habit, he faith, This makes the Word the Instrument of that work, whereas (which moves me very much, faith he) according to the contrary opinion, the Word cannot possibly be the Instrument, or means, of our Regeneration, as to the Habit, (nor as to the Act neither, if that Act be irrelistibly infu, led or imprinted) but onely a subsequent means

+ Whose eems against the stream of Scri-AB? not pture, and Divines of All Ages. Gods; that were 100 A faire Confession. grosse: then it must be man's; and then by this means, the will and the Deed. Man worketh The Remonstrants then, do, not onely grant an Illumination of the minde, which, upon the matter, is made the All-sufficient Grace by Camino, but also a Collation of Supernaturall power; which yet they cannot allow to commit such a Rape upon the Will, as to force it, in its manner of working, or deprive it of its naturall Liberty to Will or Nill. They referve to ber, as her undoubted Preregative, that freedome still, as entire as ever, to Act or suspend her action, without which power man is able to do no more Duty properly so called, then the Brute Beaft, which hath a Spontaneur as well as Man, but no Rationall Election. But Master Baxter will here step in, with his objection, and tell us, This is but to bring the matter to mans choice, and so they do. But I must acquaint the Reader with a vast difference in the Portage, whether you consider the matter or the manner of it. For, 1. Your Doctrine doth not bring the same Thing, to mans choice. It brings Christ as

o elicite tor educe the Act, which

life, to his choice; upon condition, [If he will Repent and Believe | But doth your Sufficient Grace, by an irrefistible Collation of power upon the will, bring Faith it felf, and Repentance it self, to the choice of them that perish? It doth not, it cannot. For by the conduct of an Immutable Antecedent Decree, Grace Inflicient to bring it to their choice in this sense is denyed them, and their choice otherwise determined and that Infallibly; unlesseyou equivocate in the use of the word choice, and put it for Spontaneitie, whereby the wretched Reprobate, for all the influences of that sufficient Grace, is unavoidably led, as an Oxe to the slaughter. 2. And as your Doctrine brings not the sume Thing to their choice; so neither doth it bring the same affiftance. You bring Remission and eternall life, but as a covered dish With a Nolime tangëre, upon it. They must not touch it with unwasht hands, and shutting up the Living fountain, and scaling it by an immutable Decree, you afford them neither towell nor water that is sufficient or of force to clense them. What you bring to their choice and lay at their doore, you leave as a burden too heavy for their strength to take up, and their feeble shoulders to carry in to their quiet possession and comfort. 取小件

you fay, and remission of sins, and eternali

well brought to choice, as Christ, Pardon, or eternall Life; but not laid down and left there. God continues to illuminate the mind; and inspire the will; and Revel.3.20. thus he knocks at the deore of the heart; till man freely opens to him, or gives him fuch rude and shamelesse Repulses, as provoke him to Gén. 6.3. withdraw himself in a sore displeafure. Here then being such a free, preventing, irresistible efficiency of a supernatural power, and a no lesse Gracious concomitant Assistance both of outward meanes, and inward motiv ons, towards the carrying on, and accomplishment of our Faith and Repentance, our Conversion and Salvation; The Glory of this Work ought in all Reason to be ascribed to the Divine Grace, as the princi-

But with us, Faith and Repentance, are as

illi devent !if under the conduct of such, no bi tribucie lesse sweet, then powerfull Disgel vene. pensations, there proves to be Pant, quia vo . a miscarriage, to what can we, in cativenerut; nec illi qui justice, impate this unhappy enotestant un vent, or what can we charge the nire, debent alteri tribuere, sed tentum fibi, quoniamuet venirent vocati, erat in corum libera voluntate August. lib. 83. qq. q. 68. Agens de invitatis ed canam.

+ Iraque nec

pall Cause or Author of it. † But,

fault upon, but mans own willfull and execrable Rebellion? For we must consider, though the understanding be inlightned with the knowledge of Supernaturall excellencies, yet it apprehends still, the whole variety of sensuall or carnall Goods, the will, † (though + Adde bereimpomered to do better,) hath a liunto, that berig to embrace them s and both which the the understanding and the will Brivib Dihave a natural Inclination (tendvines do averre [de ing also to their own eafe and Pre-Att. 2, 18 4. servation) to gratifie the Infirmipa.133.pr. ties of their neighbouring Appe-Per verfitatites, whose objects being at hand sem five refi-Stendi poten to affect and tickle the senses, with tiam remothe soft infinuations and relishes of Bam (y in atheir immediate presence, have a Eu primo pogreat advantage over spiritual obfitam, in sua

and bloud; yea and over the chief Voluntate de-Goodupon a like Account(whom litescere, unde pronitas being enjoyed would transcendentad refisienly fill, latisfic and swallow up all dum moribus our most infatiable Appetites;) Piritus S. v. Hence it comes to passe, that ma-LOCH III. ny times Sense finds too great an indulgence with the more noble Faculties, and being preferrd above it's rank the Objects

amarâ radi ces .etiam in

Renatorum

jects, which are remote at a huge

distance, and out of fight to flesh

them so eagerly, that they can brook no check. Video meliora, proboque, Deservora sequor. When men are not able to relift the Spirit speaking to their understanding A8. 6. 10. by way of Conviltion, they will Rebell against the light thereof, Job. 24.13. and abute the Liberty of their will, to refift the Holy Ghoft; And A8. 7. 51. having grieved this Good Spirit so long, till they have made him, even weary of striving with them, no marvell be complains so bitterly of such perversities; This peoples heart is maxed Mai. 13.15. grosse, and their ears are call of hearing, and their eyes they have closed, lest at any time they should see with their eves, and beare with their ears, and should understand . With their heart, and should be converted, and I should heal them. That this was most unquestionably the Catholick Doctrine of the Church for the First three hundred years after Christ, Par. 1 pig. we have M. Baxiers own acknow-154.in Mar.

thereof are entertained with too inordinate

a complacency; so that, we observe, how

men, though irrelifibly convinced of the

truth and excellency of things Spirituall, yet once bewisched with the charmes and pleasures

of these (for want of Mortistication and a

Main Guard, they pursue the enjoyments of

vocat. Gent. to mens choice: Igitur ficut qui c. 9. crediderunt, suvantur, ut in fide sic & qui nondum crediderunt, jumaneant: vantur, ut credant. Et quemadmodum illi in sua habent potestate, ut exeant, & isti in sua habent potestate, ne veniant. As they which have believed, are affiled, that they may continue in the faith: lo they also, who have not yet believed, are affifted, that they may believe. And as they have it in their power to depart (from the faith), so these also have so in their cower, not to come, (or accept of it). Saint Austine, the Great Champion of Divine Grace, he brings it (in our sense) to mans choice too; even in that book | De Litera & Spiritu, which was oppo-Cop. 34. 1ed to the Pelagians: Nemo habet in potestate quid veniat in mentem, saith he, sed consentire vel dissentire propria voluntairs est. What shall (be represented, or) come into his mind is not in mans power, but it is in his power, to consent to it, or diffent from it. And in his Book de Dogmat. Ec-Cap. 21. clesiast. Initium salutis nostræ a Deo miterante habemus; ut acquielcamus salutiferæ inspirationi, Nostræ est Pote-We have the beginning of Salvation from Gods mercy: to affent unto his swing anspiration, and acquesce in it, is in our own pe-

ledgement, in his Saints Rest.

Thus † Saint Ambrose brought it

+ Lib. 2. de

wer. And in L. 1. Retrast. he hath these words; Also loco di- Cap 22. xi, &c. I have faid in some other place, that except a man change his will, he cannot work that which is Good, which, the Lord reacheth, us. to be placed in our own power, where he laish, Eisher make the tree good, and his fruit good, or the tree evill, and his fruit evill: which, laith he, is not against the grace if God which we preach; for it is in mans power to change his will for the better; but that power is none, unlege it be given of God, of whom it is laid, He gave power to them, to be the some of God. By which words 'cis evident, his judgement was, that the same man, under the same helps, hath it in his power and liberty to bring forth good or bad fruit. And Hierome writing against the Pelagians, saith, Eisam bis, qui L. 3. Advers. Pelag. mali sunt suturi, dari potestatem Conversions of Panitenies; There is a power of Conversion and Repentance, given even to such as will be wicked. It is in our power, faith S. Bernhard, not to be over-Seim. 5. de come, and in this Spirituall warquedrages. fare, none of us can be conquered against his will. Thy Appenie O Man, ic put under thee, and thou phalirule over it. Ity enemies may make a commotion, and levic fom: forces of Tempeations, but it is in thy pomer,

of theu wilt, (to make peace with them, or give battle to them) to give them thy Confent, or to deny it. It is in thy power, if thou wilt, to make thy enemy thy servant, that all things may cooperate to thy advantage. If these testimonies be not sufficient to give the Reader satisfaction, he may find abundance more, if he consults Grotius his Disquisitio, An Pelagiana sint ea Dogmata, Gc. Nay, doth not Master Baxter himself preach this Doctrine unto his people, in his popular Sermons? What means that Pag. 54. part of his Application, in his Making Light of Christ, where he tells his Hearers; I come now to know your Resolution for the time to come. What say you? Do you mean to set as light by Christ and salvation as hitherto you have done? and to be the same men after all this? I bope not. Oh let not your Ministers that would fain save you, be brought in as Witnesses against you to condemn you. At least, I beseech you put not this upon me. Why Sirs, if the Lord shall say to us at Judgement, Did you never tell these men, what Christ did for their soutes, and what need they had of him, and how nearly it did concern them to look to their falvation, that they made light of it? We must needs say the Truth: Yea Lord, we told them of it as plainly as we could; we would have gone on our knees to them, if we they made light of all that we could say to them. And in the Preface of your Call to the UN-CONVERTED, you tell them, Our Preaching and Persuasion, and your Hearing and Considering, are the appointed means to get this Morall Power or freedome, that is, to make you truely willing. You know these have no other way of operation, but, what is Morall, which may be rejected or embraced; and therefore if there be not a Supernasurall power sufficient (in altu primo) confer'd upon men, with a liberty to reduce it into act, which they may freely use or suspend, to what end are all these exprobrations of their neglect? And yet we must account them Rationall, (and that cannot be but upon supposition of such power and Liberty) because we find them used by our Saviour Christ, with a denunciation of woe Mat. 11.20. against such contempers; Then 10 24. began he to upbraid the Cities, wherein most of his mighty works were done, because they repented not. Wee unto thee Chorazin, were unto thee Bethsaida: for if the mighty works which were done in jou, had been

had thought it would have prevailed; we did

intreat them as earnestly as we could, to consi-

der these things: They heard of these things every day; but alas, we could never get them

to their hearts: they gave us the hearing, but

pented long ague, in Sackcloth and ashes. But I say unto you, It shall be more tolerable for Tyre and Sidon at the day of Judgement than for you. And thou Capernaum which art exalted unto heaven, shalt be brought down to bell: for if the mighty works which have been done in thee, had been done in Sodome, it would have remained untill this day. But I say unto you, that it shall be more tolerable for the land of Sodome in she day of Indgement, than for shee. By which severe intermination and af-Leveration of our Saviour, it appears, that if God had afforded the men of Tyre and Sidon, or those of Sodoms, that Grace which he granted to the Cities of Chorazin and Bethsaids, they would have repented. But this Grace was not any quality or motion determining the will by a Physicall, or irresistible operation: for if it had been fuch, they whom Christ so bitterly reproved and threatned, for Non-conversion, had been infallibly converted. This Grace therefore did but impower, and (bringing the matter to their choice,) affift and solicite them, morally to embrace it; which solicitation and affistance, they obstimately rejected, when they had it in their power and at their liberty, freely to cooperate with it, to their effectuall conversion. Our Saviour gives us another Empha-

done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have re-

nas, and behold, a greater than Jonas is here. How was our Saviour greater than Jonas? in respect of his person, or office onely, and not also in respect of the efficacy of his Ministepy? He was full of Grace, had Pfal. 451 the words of eternall life, taught with Authority, Grace came by John I. him. Was Jonas a better Preacher than our Saviour? Did a more efficacious Grace of the Spiritaccompany his Ministery, than did ibas of the Son of God, who came from beaven to feek and to fave that which was Loft, by calling them to repentance? The horrour that follows the conception of such a blasphemy, will not suffer any sober Christian bosome to entertain it. Yet the men of Neneve repented at the preaching of Jonas: But that generation did not repent at the Sermons of the Son of God. Was this through any defect in Christs Dispensations? No: The administration of Grace bere by him was more abundant than that of Jonas. The fault therefore lay in their abuse of their power and liberty, in opposing new consumacy and obstacles to these more Gracious Dispensati-

call Instance in the men of Nineve, Mat. 12. 41. where he tells the Scribes and Pharisees,

The men of Nineve shall rife in Judgement,

with this generation, and shall condemn it,

because they repented at the preaching of fo-

ons. Io this purpole Prosper, writeth expresly, (lib. 2. De vocat. Gent. c. 26.) The Grace of God, saith he, is principally preeminent in all our Righteousnesses, persuading us by exhortations, moving us by examples, terrifying us with dangers, inciting us by miracles, giving understanding, inspiring Counsil, and inlightening the heart it self, and imbruring it with affections of faith: but the will of man is also subjounced and conjounced to it, which is excited by the forefaid helps to this end, that it may cooperate to the Divine work in it self > that it may begin to exercise toward the attainment of rewards, (ad meritum), what through the (power of the) supernall seed it conceived, towards an endeavour [ad studium], hawing it from its own mutability, if it fails, from the help of grace if it proceeds. Which help is applyed to All, by innumerable wayes whether hidden or manifest, and that it is rejetted of many, is their own wicked fault: but that it is received of many, is both of the divine grace, and mans will. I shall shut up this with an Instance out of Ful-In libr. de Prædest. G gentius, framing a comparison betwixt Nebuchadonosor and Pha-Grat.c. 15. rao, he saith; In respect of their nature, they were both men; in respect of their Dignisie, they were both Kings; in respect of the Cause, they both kept the people of God in

Captivity; in respect of their punishment, they Were both chaftised and admonished by the rod of Clemency. What was it therefore that made their ends to be so different but this, that one sensible of Gods hand, bewailed the memory of bis own iniquity: the other fought against the most mercifull truth of God by his own freewill. But all this will not serve Master Baxiers turne, though he contradicts the faith of Primitive Antiquity, and overthrows, not onely mans naturall liberty, and way of working; but likewise all the commands and exhortations, comminations and promises of Holy Scripture, he will not be satisfied without Gods irrefissible attingencie of the will to apply and determine it to the very Confent or All of willing; which is that we are now to take into examination. But to attain Master Paxiers meaning may be a matter of some difficulty, he doth say and unfay, so often; (which makes many not to regard at all what he saith;) For Physicall Predetermination he denyes it in this Preface; and in his Sermon of Judge-Section 5. ment, he faith, That God doth de-

Pree, as the first Efficient Physicast be 23% and immediate Cause: or else nothing (mini. pag. could Act; This Principle, he 242, 243.)

Answer to

termine all Actions, Naturall and

wil is necessarily and infallibly determined by the Practicall Understanding, which is unresistibly necessisated by objects: and therefore whatever Act is done by my understanding or will is necessitated, and I cannot belp 11. And, that Liberty is but the Acting of the Faculty agreeably to its nature: And it was God as Greaton, that gave Adam bis Faculties: and Ged by providentiall dispose, that Presented all Objects to him, by which his understanding, and To his will, were unavoidably necessitated; This, laith M. Baxter, is of the Were this true, for onght me can see, it would lay all the fin and misery of this world on God, as the unrelistable necessary Cause; which because we know infallibly to be false, we have no reason to take such principles, to be true which inferre it. I wish Master Bexter had kept himless wayes of this minde, and then he had saved me all this labour. But a little after, he tells his Reader, There are other wayes of Determining the William which yet he mentions not; But in his first Asses Ser-Page 9: mon hey faith; Christ hath undertaken bunself to be a Physician to the world, (who are now Morally dead in in, though naturally alive,) to cure all that

taich, is most tikely to be false. And, that the

'will come to him, and take him so to be, and trust him, and obey him in the Application of his medicines. He hath erected an Hof-'pitall, his Church, to this end; and commanded all to come into this Ark. Those "that are far distant, he sirst Commandeth 'to come nearer; and those that are near, he inviteth to come in. Too many do refuse and perish in their refusall. (And your do-"drine declare they cannot do atherwise.) He will not suffer all to do for but mercifulby boweth the wills of his Elect, and by an 'insuperable powerfull drawing. Competts them to come in. So that we have an insuperable s compulsory determination. And pet in his forelaid Sermon of Tebi supra. Judgement, He telsus, The will of maninits very Dominion doth bear Gods Image. It is a self Determining Power, though it be byassed by Habits and needs a Guide. a Guide would serve Master Baxters turne, we are content to allow him one; not an Ignus fa-LAMBON but a: Lamern that doth direct the underitanding infallable, and besides this, a reall influx, that after the manner of a Physicall Ca se, inclines the will to Act: But he must have such a one as doth controull and Determine the will to Act and Operate, (notwithstanding the Dominion over its own Acts, which he feems to ascribe to it) which we

think not onely unnecessary, but, in the ordinary course of Gods providence, very absurd, inconvenient, and of dangerous Consequence to be affirmed. 1. That it is unnecessary is evident by Gods complaint, Isa. 5.4. Indge I pray you between me and my vinyard. What could I have done more to my vinyard, that I have not done to it? Wherefore when I looked that it bould bring forth grapes; brought it forth wilde grapes? That God administred all things necessary and sufficient (not in Master Buxters sense, of sufficiencie which is unsufficient) to this effect, appears, by his expectation of grapes (of good workes;) for the All-wife God doth not, he cannot, expect to gather grapes of thorns or figgs of thistles; and to expect conversion and good works from them, who have not grace necessary and sufficient to their production, is as unreasonable as to expect a Bird should fly without wings, or a man gee without leggs. But here was no determining Grace administred; for then they would have been infallibly converted, and have brought forth good works. Therefore such

Determining Grace is not necessary.

2. As it is unnecessary, so it is inconvenient: For (1.) it overthrowes that Dominion which (by Master Baxters own confession) the will hath over its own Acts, and destroyes

the will quoad exercitium, in regard of the exercise of it. 2. It destroyes the proper nature of duty, for a Duty is a work perform'd conformably to a command, for his Authority lake, who doth commandit; that giving proof of our free obedience, we may avoid the Penalty, and gain a Right to the Reward, upon which the Command is established. This cannot be agreeable to the nature of that work to which God doth irresistbly determine the will; for 1. though the work be confermable to his command; yet it cannot be properly faid to be done because of his Authority, but because he doth insuperably determine it. 2. The doer (or rather the sufferer) gives no proof of his free obedience, because he cannot do otherwise. 3. This can procure him no right to the reward, because it is not 1 Pet.2.19: thank-worthy, (as the Phrase is,) 20, beeing no part of a free obedience. And 4. upon what Title can it free a

ies Connatural manner of working > For it

puts a necessity, in order of Nature and Cau-

fality, Antecedent to the Act of the will, to

that all Prarequifites put in order, the will

hath not a simultaneous power (that may be

reduced into Act) to Act otherwise, or a po-

wer to want that operation, to which it is so

determined; which takes away the liberty of

mansfrom punishment? For we see God doth over-tule such as become the Rod of his anger, and directeth them to do his Ma.10. 5,6, work, (according to his Secret, which the Calvinifes account his emply proper with) and yet when that work is done, he casteth the Rad into the Fire. But M. Baxters Determining Grace hath the Doctrine of the Synod to justificit, in making Faith and Conversion, Repentances Regeneration (for the termes are promiseuoutly used here) no part of mans work or ditay. For the Synod faith, That Regeneration BC. is a work, for the mightineffe thereof, not infariour to the Greation of the world, or raifing up the dead, quam Deus fine de Convers. nobis, in nobis operatur, which God without us, worketh in us, and Art. 12. (they fay) that Faith, whereby we are first converted, and from Ibid Arr. 14 which we are Ryled Faithfull, is Roll 6: 20 4 3 333 really inspired and intused min the mili ; and that God, in regenerawid Rejed , 8. ting aman doth emptoy the strength of his Omnipotency, powerfully and infallibly to bow and bend his will in Fauch and Conversion. And in * Of Saving this work faith M. Baxter*, the Faith. pag. Spirit is as the Hand; the Object 20. and Word as the Seal, the Act of

and Habit immediately are effected by it. Is this Faith and Conversion (thus wrought) Gods or mans? It may be called Mans in regard of the Possession of it after it be wrought: but in regard of the efficiency, the production is so meerly a piece of New Creation, that it can in no fense be accounted a part of Mans Morall duty. For this is not performed by man because Gods will commands it; but wrought in him, because Gods power imprints it. And then 3. This will evacuate the force of the Ministery, the use of Commands, and exhereumens, expostulations and reproofs. For how can you in Gods Name feridully command a man, under pain of death and promise of life, to do that (as bis duty,) which you teach him to believe, that God will insuperably esfect himself? If he believes that God must and will do it, by his irrefift ble determining Grace, he cannot reasonably believe that he doth seriously require it as his duty; because it implyes a contradiction, that God'should at once will an effect to be done by another, and yet will to do it himself alone. What do your Ministery then amount unto? Tis but the Revelation of what God will do in mens souls, like the Angels Message to the Blessed

impression on the Intellect is first in Order of

nature, and so upon the Will the impressed Alt

the Highest shall overshadow thee. Therefore that Holy thing, that Faith and Repentance, that shall be borne of thee, shall be called the work of God. Thus you may signific to your Beloved Disciples what God will doe for and in their souls; But if you should attempt the use of exhortations, &c. to move them to undertake that work as their duty; your exhortations would lose all their force and propriety; for that work, you say, is actually and really of Gods Impression; Now when Gods Omnipotent hand of Grace sets the Determining Press on work (which is not moved atiall by your exhortations, they being directed onely to souls that are merely Passive under it) that work (of Faith and Repentance) is stampt upon them irresistibly. And can it consist with Gods wisdome to attaque a Sinner thus; If you will be wrought upon, and converted and believe, as the force of my insuperable Grace shall irrefistibly determine you, you shall be saved? And can you find in your heart, to exhort your Auditors, and to fall down upon your knees to them (as you say, many times you would do) to intreat and befeech them, not to wrastle with Omnipotency, but to suffer themselves to be moved

Virgin (Luk. 1. 30. with 35.) Fear, not for thou hast found favour with God; for the Ho-

ly Ghost shall come upon thee, and the power of

not thus determined, telling them withall, (which is a part of your Gospel Truth,) that there is no other internall Grace defigned for them, but what is specifically different from that, administred to determine the will of the Elect? Is this a Dollrine according to Godlinesse? or were this a good way of Preaching? Yet this is exactly according to the sense of your School-Divinity, if you would deal ingenuously and speak without aquinosation. But if you come to exposulate with your Hearers in good earnest, what rationall evasions and subterfuges doth this Doctrine afford them to repell the force of all fuch Expostulations? For whereas you apply your self (in your Sermon Of Making light Pag. 59,60 of (brist.) to try them, whether Oc. they will not make light of him bereafter; and demand of them, 1. Will you for the time to come; make Christ and salvation the chiefest matter of your care and study? 2. Will you for the time to come, let more by the word of God, which conteins the discovery of these ex-

cellent things, and is your charter for falva-

tion, and your guide thereunto? 3. Will

eyou for the time to come esteem more of

and determined by it? And can you threaten woe and eternall death to others, if they be

the Officers of Christ, whom he hath pur-'posely appointed to guide you to falvation? 4. Will you for the sime to come make confcience of daily and earnest prayer to God, s that you may have a part in Christ and falvation? 5. Will you for the time to come e resolvedly cast away your known sins, at the command of Christ? What say you? Are 'you resolved to let them go? To all these Quaries you have furnished when with a newdy Answer; They will tell you, (and with great Reason, according to your Doctrine,) yes; if God shall, not onely bring it to their showe: but also insuperably determine their will theseunto. The like Answer will Bid. R. 63. they return to your demands that CTCfollow: 6.Will you for the time to come serve God in the dearest as well as in * cheapest part of his service? not onely with your tongues, but with your purfes and your deeds? 7. Will you for the time to F come make much of all things that tend to vous falvation, and take every help that God offererh you, and gladly make ule of fall his Ordinances? 8. Will you do all this with delight, not as your toile, but as 'your pleasure? They will tell you, Yes; if God-shall vouchfafe, not onely to bring it to their choice, but insuperably decermine their wills to it. In like manner are all Gods most

mination, and made frustrate; For example, Zac. 1. 3. Turne ye unto me, saith the Lord of hosts, and I will turne unto you, faith the Lord of hoftes. And Revel. 3. 20. Behold! I stand at the doore and knock, if any man will heare my voice, and epenthe doore. I will come in to him and sup with him, &c. The sinner is taught by Master Baxters Doctrine to Answer, Alas! Lord, how can I turn? how can I open! Do not illude and mock at the impotencie of a poore finner; for seeing Conversion and the opening of the heart cannot be peracted, unlesse I do determine my self to it: and seeing I am indifferent and undetermined to Act, it cannot be that I should determine * Ordina my selfunto Conversion, unlesse causalitatis thou doest first, in order * of nadrumum o> pus prace_ ture and causality, determine me dat, nostra dto the same conversion, and that peratio seby some such potent and insuperaquatur, neble motion, as I neither have, nor cesse eft. Brit. Divin. Al. can obtain by any Act of mine, if Syn. par. 2. thou hast not decreed to conferre P. 131. f. the same upon me. And this Doftrine doth surnish the most obstinate sinners with an Apologie against all Gods most vehement exprebrations and re-

Paibeiscall and earnest invitations to con-

version put off by this Master Baxters Deter-

the mighty works, which are done in you, had been done in Tyre and Sidon, they would have repented, &c. The excuse is very ready and easie, out of this principle; Lord thon knowest the men of Tyre and Sidon could not have repented by this means, unlesse thou hadst decreed to administer determining Grace to them herewith; and if thou hadst communicated that Grace to usalfo, we should have repented, nay we could not but have repented, as well as they. When you shall upbraid them for rejecting the Counsil of God against themselves, and putting the word of life from them, and despising Gods Goodnesse, and negletting so great salvation; what influence can these exprobrations and reproofs have upon them? If they have once imbibed your Doctrine, they will return scorn to your reprehenfions, and tell you, this means was so tendred, that it might be rejected and despiled; for it was not accompanied with $D_{\theta-}$ termining Grace, but administred onely to render them the more inexcusable, and upon that account fit for no other entertainment but neglect. 4. Whereas Faith is so the work of the Saints, that it is said to procure them praise I Pet. 1.7. and honour and glory at the appear-

proofs; For whereas, he saith, Woe unto

thee Chorazin, woe unto thee Bethsaida, for if

the reception of it, working it irrelishibly in them, but without them, (as the Synod faith;) All those Eulogies, which are given them, as, Well done good and fasthfull servant are rendred ablurd, (not to say ridiculous.) Should a man cause his Servant, (that of himself, hath no minde to goe) to be nailed up in a lost chariot, and brought to London, and then commend him for his care and faithfulnesse in taking such a journey to come and serve him; when he is merely passive in the businesse; would you think him in good earnest? I think not. 5. If this Determining Grace be necessary, there is another groffe absurdity, and of more dangerous confequence than the former; for from hence it follows, That a man cannot sinne, unlesse God be first descient in what is necessary. So Piscator (in ter-Resp.ad Duminis), Deservio Divina est causa plic. Vorst. desertionis bumana, obediendi Deo, p. 145. non autem contra hac causa est illins. Gods desertion of Man is the cause of Mans deserting his obedience towards God, and not the contrary. And of all true Believers, he saith, They are no more able to Ibid pag. omit or neglest the study of perse-314. verance, then a Blackamore is able

ing of Jesus-Christ; By this Determining Grace, which makes them mere Pussives in

But because this affertion is so palpably contradicted by the foule fins of such as have been Regenerate, therefore Do-De Perseu, dor Damman doth mend the mater pag.6. ter thus; Regeneration possint omittere prastitionem ejus quod abillis postulatur, mido Deus illis p aftet quod promisit. The Regenerate cannot omit to perform what God requires, unlife God doth omit to perform what he hash promised. And, Quando Dem partibus suis defungitur, nos no-Ibid. p. 37. stras omittere non possumus. When God doth his part, we cannot omit ours. How do you like this Doctrine, Master Baxier? This is the genuine fruit of your opinion concerning Determining Grace. But it yields another fruit no lesse unsavoury and of a juice as pernicious in the diffusion of it, as the former; For, 4. This Opinion is a great and ready Inlet to all Enthusiasms; And it is not onely easie but ordinary for men to intitle their Diabolicall delutions to the Determinations of Gods Spirit; and his broad Seal is frequently stampt upon that Commission (to Authorize it), which is drawn up by a lying, and one haply a great deal worse than their own private Spirit. When men of high ambition, and hot Brains, and Arong Phantasies, and

change his colour, or Male and Femaletheir fex.

tended to a Good end, though the onely means visibly conducible to carry, them on be apparently unwarrantable; What Methods do they follow in this case? God is carnestly sought and wrastled with, for obtaining a Dispensation, and successe in a course of disobedience, against his own expresse command. When God (who is not so much call'd upon to counsel, as to countenance and assist in the affair such men have resolved upon, and are præengaged to transact) being provoked by the perverse importunity of such Addresses, permits them, in displeasure, to the sway of their own inordinate. Passions, and to prosper in the irregular pursuit of them, this is presently interpreted to be Gods gracious return unto their prayers, and his casting voice (the intimation of his secret Beneplaciture) for the Determination of their Will to this choice of their very Rebellion against him, and consequently it hath, as is pretended, his unquestionable Approbation. When Balaam upon Balaks invitation of him to curle Israel, consulted the Lord first about that Message and Expedition, He gave him a clear and peremptory fignification of

Passionate Appetites, will not acquiesce (as you know, many times they will not) in

Gods clear and distinct Revelations concern-

ing their dury, but entertain new Designs, Pre-

people: for they are blessed. But Balaam, upon a new and more urgent invitation, feeks God again, that he may yet obtain leave to gratifie his Avarice and Ambition; Almighty God provoked with the perversity of this solicitation, permits him to his own lust, and upon this (which was but an instance of Gods indignation against him, that he was not satisfied with his expresse command at first) without doubt Balaam would have concluded, that God had now infallibly determined and actually sent him, had he not been rebuked for bis iniquity by a miracle: 2 Pet. 2.16. but the dumb Asse speaking with Mans voice, forbad the madne se of the Prophet. What practices have been suggested and put in execution at Munster, &c. upon a persuasion of such an irresistible Determination? and what work that opinion may yet help to make in other parts of Christendome, if not timely prevented, is easie to foresee without a Spirit of Divination. Lastly, you may be advised to consider, how much you fail in your intended Commendations and praise of the Divine Grace; For you do wonderfully disparage the excelleney of its nature, and sweetnesse of its insimuations, while you think to advance it, by

his will and pleature. Num. 22. 12. Thou shalt not go with them, thou shalt not curse the setting it up to so high a pitch as an irresistable Determination. For who performs the most ingenuous and commendable Cure upon a Difiracted Persons he that puts him into irons in Bedlam, or he that makes such applications as keep all his limbs found, and lets him go at liberty? Your Determining Grace, doth withhold the Will from the Contrary Object, and restrains it from one part of the Contradiction, ere it does prevail with it to cheese and imbrace the other: But Grace with us, the more to illustrate her own Glory, and that The may truly appear to be, as the is styled, Grace, doth win the Will to act even then, when the preserves to it, its naturall indifferency and freedome to Act and not to Act. So that in short, the effect of your Grace, is as an obligation which a man is drawn to enter into by Durance; that of ours, as one which he enters into out of † Generosi-+48.17.11 tie, ingenuitie, or justice, where-Generofitie. of though the first will not hold good in Law, yet the last doth remain in full power, force and virene.

O Reflexions

Reflexions on Section XI. and the III. Articles

3 C Aith This Tilenus, they hold [That by

Adams fall his Posterity lost their free will, being put to an unavoidable Necessity to do, or not to do, whatfoever they do or do not, whether it be good or evil; being thereunto predestinate by the eternall and effectual fecret Decree of God. Answ. Unworthy falsistation still I saith Master Baxter. But I remember when Christ sent out his Disciples, He gave them a Commission, and charge, when ever they came to a house, to say, Peace be unto this house; telling them withall, that if the house were not worthy, their Peace, (i.e. their Apprecation and Blessing) should return to them again. What Commission Master Baxter hath, to asperse, slander, and calumniate his neighbour, I knownot; I am fure the Divine Grace did not, whatever the Doctrine of the Synod might do, to determine his will to this unhandsome language: but because he was not very well advised in the disposall of it, having bestowed it upon a person altogether unworthy, it doth infallibly return to him again. But, Not award to any such sense in

nor Thing? Let the Reader judge by what is already faid, and still to be alledged; whereby it will appear how little reason Master Baxter had to adde that Sarcasticall expression [Well might this Author conceal his Name for shame of the world.] What induced the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews to conceal his Name, or your good friends Martin Mar Pratate, and Junius Bruius to conceas theirs? Was it for shame of the world? Is there never a Propflet lest, think you, to lament the Desolations of Gods Church, and say as feremian did, If ye will not hear, my soul shall Jer. 13.17.
weep in factet places, for your Pride? I pray you therefore use no Arts of conjuration to call the Chost of Tilenus out of his Retirement, where he loves privately to exemerate his passions. But you say, As the words be not in the Decrees of the Synod; so much is there in many suffrages against the sense. I wish you had produced, that much, that we might have examined how much it will amount unto. But suppole the words be not in the Decrees of the Synod, it is enough to justifie Tilenus his affertion, if they be found in the writings of such as Adhere to the Synod, or such as were before it, if the Synod hath not reje-

the Synod; I saith be; What, treither Name;

But you go on and tell us, It is but the Morall, or Dispositive, or Habituall Freedome of the Will, that they or other Protestants commonly say that Man bath lost. M. Parker whole Theses you do so much Thef. 13. P. cry up, upon all occasions, saith, Licet logica superfuerit ratio, seu principium quod, unde remeta ac passiva materia potenția, perist tamen principium quo, live Forma facultas ad bonum. And the Deputies of Over-Isel say, In the Synod. will of man after the fall, non re-Dord p. 197. mansit libertas bene agendi., there par.3. remain no liberty to do well. And in their Decrees, the Synod saith, C. 3. 6 4. All men are conceived in sin, and born the children of wrath, untoward to all good tending to salvation, forward to evil, dead in sins, slaves of sin; and neither will, nor can (without the Grace of the Holy Thost regenerating them) set free their own crooked nature, no nor so wuch as dispose themselves to the amending of it. See also the 2, 3, and 4. Rejections; and Tilenus charge them with no more. He needs not. See Embdanorum exam. circa 3, & 4. Artic. Quast. 26, & 29. ps. 185, 186. par. 2. You go on; . They all professe that man bath the natural Faculty of Freewill; And will

cted them, as I am confident they have not.

Devil? But you bid us, [See my fore-cited pages in my Treatise of Judgement of this.] If by that Reference to those Pages, you intend to raise in us an expectation of something exrraordinary, you have deceived us; for we can find no luch matter; if you onely directed us, where we might find an account of your Opinion more at large in this point, we have maturely considered it, and must prosesse to you, that it doth not satisfie. 2. You say, L There is not award in the Decrees of the Synod, that men are put to unavoidable Necessity. 3. Much lesse to do or not do, whatever they do or do not, good or evil. You take fanctuary very often in the Decrees of the Synod, which, you may know, were contrived with a great deal of Artifice to serve the interest of two Parties (as was obferved above) and wherein they inferted nothing but what might seem most plausible to save their Reputation. But the Reader may remember (or if he doth not, he may look back and finde) that every branch of this Arnele was sufficiently made good out of the Writings of the Doctors of the grea-See Antidot. test note amongst the Calvinsts, pag. 41, 42. viz. That men are put to an unawoidable Necessity of doing or not doing

you not acknowledge as much of the very

good then they do, nor omit more evill then they do omit; and that in regard of the Divine Decree; And these positions are no where Rejected by the Synod; but rather confirmed by some of the Divines thereof, as was alledged above. That they are under a Necessity of Immubiling (and that is an unavoidable Necessity) in respect of the Decree, is to be evinced from the Judgement of the Divines of Wedderau; De Artic. 3. & 4. Thef. I. P.150. par. & in Corol. they conclude concerning the Necessity of evil thus, An peccata fiunt necessario? Dosins come to passe of Necessity? They answer yes; by a necessity that depends upon a double bypothesis, Decreti scilicet permittentis, & sinis boni; That is, in respect of the Divine Decree (aperatively, for to they declare their scale a little afore) permitting it, and in respett of the good end, which God accomplisheth by it. And then for good; To begin with the first Act of it, Conversion or Regeneration, the Divines of Wedderau do affirm, Ubi supra, (and tis inserted amongst the Acts of the Synod) Sicut homo ad sui generationem nibil prorsus confert; itanec ad sui regenerationem's Aman can confer no more

good, or evil; so that they can do no more

generation. Is not this work wrought by an unavoidable Necessity? and yet the whole Synod, in their Decrees, speak the same sense, (Cap 3, 64. Art. 12.) They say, It is a work which God, without us, worksth in us, by an operation, for mightinesse not inferiour to the creation of the world, or raysing up the dead. Is not here an unavoidable necessity? and (Art. 17.) they compare it to that powerfull operation of God by which he giveth being to this our naturall life. And Re est. 6. They say, that faul by which we are first converted, and from which we are styled faithfull, (So that we have that denomination for a work wherein we are merely Passive) is infused by God; and this is said to be done, by his Omnigatent, strength, and tresistably; Rejett. 8. All which expressions do clearly evince an unavoidable necessity; for they affirm in the same place, that it lyeth not in mans power to be or not to be regenerated. And for all succeeding good, the Divines of Post sinem AA. Synod. South-Holland do conclude, that the Spirit of God doth promove, (mihi.) pagi and apply and determine the mind, 292. will and affections, to act, and not onely adexercitium Altus, sed etiam ad sin gularitatem, to the singularity of the Act, as well as to the exercise of it; that is,

to bis regeneration than he doth to bis naturall

explain it) the Holy Spirit doth not onely determine us simply to do, but also, to do or to Act in this place; at this time, after such a manner, as pleaseth him. This Determination of the Will unto every good Act, makes a Neceffity in order of Nature and causality, antecedent to every such Act. Without doubt, the meaning of Daniel Tilenus (the compiler of these Articles) was this; That, in respect of the Divine Decree, (according to the Doctrine of the Synod) All men, whether Elector Reprobate, are under an unavoidable Necessity, of being saved or damned, and of performing + See Synopf: such works t, as do inevitably pur. Theo. conduce to the accomplishment of disp.24 thes. their severall Ends respectively. 18. CA AD. Syn. Dord.c. And this is evident, even to the 1. Artic. 7. eye of fense, in M. Perkins Delidy Rejel.6. neation of the Decree exprest And for the Salvation of the Elect, hereafter Means conducing infallibly therewith the is clear by the Synods Definition unto, that Ibid. of Election therewith inserted; + Ibid. Art. and that the Damnation of the 15. G Rej. Reprobates is under a like una-8. G Symop. voidable Necessity, may be colpur. Theol. lected from hence, that many Cat-D110.24.16. 44. (9 All. vinists do Resolve of chat, by the Sy. Dor par. Rule + of Contraries, as it stands 2.1.19.4 m. in opposition to Election. And Jud Th. pal.

because those sins are Ingredients, that help to make up one full and perfect Medium, conducing infallibly to their End, as All. Synod. concerning the Elett: and those Dord par. 2. Good workes are Ingredients likep.118.m. wife, that help to make up one ful and perfect Medium, conducing infallibly to their End, as to the Reprobates, as is shewed out of Perkins, Szegedine, and Norton, ellewhere. When therefore these men deny, that Mankinde is under such an unavoidable Necessity, to good or evil, they do but impose upon the unwary Reader, and abuse him with equivocations and Fallacies; and herein it lyes, They make Liberty to confist in a spontaneous motion or Lubency, and a freedome from the Necessity of Coaltion and violence; and when you charge them with this Opinion, that Man is under an unavoidable Necessity, to do good or evil, in this sense, they will as stiffy deny it, as Master Baxter doth; They are under no such Necessity, they will say, meaning they are not compelled by violence. But if you

presse them with a Necessity of Immutability,

And though the Elest may fall into many

sins, and the Reprobate perform many good

workes, { at least, quoad substantians actus,).

yet these do not remove either of them one

inch from under that unavoidable Necessity;

tion, as in the conversion and perseverance of the Elect; or from his ineluttable Decree, as in the Government of the Reprobate towards their Finall doome 5 this Necessity they will not, they cannot deny. For the truth of the first branch, i. e. in respett of Gods irreststable operation, See P. Molinaus, amongst the Acts of the Synod. par. 1. pag. 295. m. The British Divines, par. 2. pag. 132. Thes. 2. The Hassiens. pag. 145, Thes. 3. Those of Wedderau. pag. 150. p. m. Those of Embden, pag. 169. thef. 59,60. and pag. 185. Quest. 26. and Sibrandus Lubberius par. 3. pag. 157. m. For the other branch, i. e. an unavoidable Necessity arising from the Immutable Decree, you had it even now, from the Divines of Wedderau (ubi supra) where they adde, Multa funt necessario & c. (as above) Many things come to passe of Necessity, upon supposition of the Divine Decree, which are done freely in respect of mans will. Thus, they say, the Jews crucified Christ necessarily, and yet freely. Necessarily; because being delivered by the determinate counsil of God, they took bim, and with wicked hands fastened him to the crosse and slew him. Act. 2.23. Yet freely; because with a full Lubency, and a deliberate or

(which is no lesse unavoidable then the other)

whether arising from Gods irresistible opera-

rest shall be exempted from Gods Decree; which is abfurd. Will a spontaneitie alleviate the Necessity of finning, or the Perdition that follows it? Let me put a case to M, Baxter. Suppose 2 Prince intending the ruine of such a Noblemans Posterity, makes a Law, that who foever affociate themselves with Strampets, and are not reclaimed by one or two Admonitions, shall be Rack! alive, and have their bones broken, and their bowels and heart torn out of their bodies and burnt before their faces; And yet underhand takes order to Caresse such persons, and give them opportunity and entertainment amongst Harlots, with plenty of wine, and ravishing aires of Musick to take them off their Guard, and applyes charms and Philiers to work upon their Phantalie, bloud or spirits, till those persons are no lesse drunk with lust and passion than with wine: M. Baxter is sent once and again to admonish them, and he saith unto them, Sirs, Ide-' fire you to confider, what a levere yet a ve-'ry just law, is made against this Luxury wherein you live. You have a most gracious Prince that tenders your wellfare, and

interpretative will, they sought to slay him, as the Evangelicall Story witnesseth. And this

Mulia must be extended to Omnia, to All

sins as well as that, or some others, else the

from that exorbitancy, which otherwise will bring you to utter ruine; I carneftly beseech you to recollect your selves, and 'reform your lives and manners, that you 'may be restored to your Princess favour; whom these crimes have so highly exasperated. I pray, as you tender your lives reflect 'upon the horrour of the punishment awar-'ded to such vices, &c. But the men inthral'd by the witchcrast of the foresaid Artifice; (which is still applyed to them) do hugg their unlawfull pleasures, and desie the threatned torments, till the houre comes that summons them to the dreadfull execution, which all men, that understands the whole processe of the businesse, bewails with bitter lamentations. But then in steps M. Baxter to justifie the equity of the sentence that is past against them, and he aggravates their guilt, and taking no notice of those underhand practises, by which these forlorn wretches were captivated to this misery, he tells the sad Multitude of Complainers, that the proceedings are very just; for they knew the Law, and he had taken pains to informe, exhort and admonish them, in the bowels of his tenderest compassion, and he is sure they had the nainrall faculty of Freewill, and they lay under no Necessity that compel'd them to those leud

'takes care by my Ministery to reduce you

warrantable. I am very loth to make the application? For to fancy that the Decrees of the most wife; just and holy God have any fuch influence or any Aspect that looks that way, were horrid higsphemy. And if there were any such Decrees made in heaven, Almighty God should for his honour sake, rather give Master Baxter a Fee to hold his peace, than to divulge them. And yet they have been divulged by men of no imall account amongst the Calvinists, As God denies the Reprobates his Grace that they cannot but fin, so also hath he destined them to this condition, that of their own nature they cannot but commit diverse wickednesses. Zanch. de Nat. Dei. pag. 554. And, we doubt not to confesse, that by the immutable Reprobation, a necessity of sinning is incumbent upon the Reprobate, and of sinning even unto death without Repentance, and of suffering eternall punishment for it.pag. 571. (alia edit. 743, 744.) Piscator to the same purpose. in Notis ad Duplic. Vorst. pag. 217. Although the rebellion of the Reprobates depends upon the antecedent, absolute and irresistibly essions will of God, yet by this they cannot nor ought to be excused from the fault of Rebellion; Also pag. 223. When God ne-

of Luberry and alacrny; and therefore the

infliction of their lufferings was very just and

cessitates man unto sin, that he may punish him for sin, he doth instity, because he hath power to governman as he list.

By this it appears how little reason Master Baxter had to say (as he doth in his sollow-ing Investive) [All this is such a self-devised tale, that no honest man should have been guitty of against the poorest neighbour or enemy, much lesse against a Party, and a Synod of sa many truely learned and worthy men.]

Answ. I. What Self do you mean? Self-Will? or Self-Richard? Mutato Nomine,

Research Self, and why I may not adde Symod-Self too, I see no reason but your Selfsdenyall, which in this case ought not to be accounted of to great validity as D. Tilenus's affirmation, who (as we have been informed) was privy to the transactions of their very clase-Committees.

2. The Calvinists do impute far worse matters to Almighty God himself, as appears by sundry of their Testimonies cited above; to which one thousand more might be added

our of their writings, if it were needfull;

and what think you of that modelt expres-

tion (lately mentioned) of D. Damman a Sy-

nodist, That if God performs his part, we can-

not onoit ours?

3. I make a very great difference betwixe the Forein Divines and the Provinciall, and betwixt the single Doctors and the Where nor † Synod. And M. Baxter is a man the best are of so much observation, (if affection hath not darkened his the most votes do carfight) that he cannot but see, ry it; as when men have espoused a Cause, Luk, 23,23. what unworthy * courses (to say See Antino worse) they will take to prodotum in Prafat. (5. vide a Dowry for it, and make it B.130, 131. fruitfull. These Divines took a solemn Oath at their entrance into the Synod (as was faid above) to examine these controversies impartially, without affection or prejudice, according to the word of God, yet so unmindfull were they hereof, that they condemned the Remonstrants unheard, shut them out of the Synod, not permitting See, ibid. p. them the liberty promised in their 7. 8cc. Letters of Citation, to explain and defend their opinions. By which it appears clearly that they were a Party indeed (in another sense, than M. Baxter takes the word) and therefore as unfit to be Judge in these Controversies, as the Councel of Trent was

and therefore as unfit to be Judge in these Controversies, as the Councel of Trent was to be Judge of those, betwixt the Church of Rome, and the Protestants. That they were such a Party tis surther the more scandalous that a Synod should be such a Party evident,

ters, that he would transmit the Confession of his Divines, that they might examine whether it were ealculated, to ferve the in-See ibid. p. terest of that Doctrine, which 7, &cc. they were resolved to establish, before they would admit them to their Convention; which motion that Prince resented so ill, that he rejected it, not without disdain and indignation. The onely Divines that carried themselves worthily, that is, with prudence and equity towards the Remonstrants, were the Helvetians, who darkly taxed the crafty practices and prejudice of the rest, and protest a defire to suspend their judgement concerning enther Party, till the whole cause were fully known; For they say, Caterum ut criminatio acerba est venerandam banc All. Synod Dord.p. 102 Synodum appellare Schismaticam, f. par. 1. e-dit. in fol. ita intempestivum novis videri non difficemur, Remonstrances criminis ejusdem, hoc quidem tempore, agere reas & condemnare. Est enim veneranda & santta hac Synodus congregata eum in finem, ut Dostrinam Remonstrantium propositam, explicatam defensamque audiat, ad Dei verbum probè examinet,

evident, by the Diligence used in exploring the Judgement of Divines before they were

invited to this Assembly. To which purpose

they solicited the Prince of Anhalt by Let-

usque igitur sententiam de schismate, ejusque Authoribus suspendendam esse sentimus, quandoquidem pars ea, que post examen convicta suerit doctrina erronea, hoc ipso Schismatica quoque intelligetur, nist cum corpore, à quo se sentit avulsam, rarsus coalescat But their the rest; judgement was not followed by who were so much the lesse worthy See the An. tidotum, &c. for dealing so unworthily, both in word and deed, with their Reverend Brethren. You go on; The Question is, Whether men have Originall fin or not? These of you that are of Doctor Jeremy Taylor's minde in this, speak out, and disown the Pelagians no more, but speak as bitterly of Austin as of the Synod of Dorr. To which I answer, 1. That men have originall sinne; the remote Cause whereof is Gods imputation of it: but the next Cause is their Carnall Generation. For the sin of Adam is therefore of right imputed to us, because we are carnally propagated from him, now become guilty: and so according to the flesh we were as a certain part of him sin-

de ejus vel veritate vel falsitate pronunciet. Eo

when he sinned. The like, in a manner, is said of Levi paying tithes in the loines of Abraham, Heb. 7.9, to. The Question then, is not whether men have originall sin derived to

them from Adam, for that is yielded: bug whether being called, they have a new power given them by Christ to become Evangelically Righteous? and this question M. Baxter stated with some thew of moderation in his first Assize Sermon, and resolved it Pag. 12,13. seemingly according to the sense and meaning of Tilenus. His words are thele; '[The last Question is, Who they be that are and may be urged to glorific God on this ground, that he hath bought them? Doubt-"lesse, onely those whom he hath bought: but who are those? It discourageth me to tell you, because among the godly it is a controversie; but if they will controvert points of such great moment, they cannot disoblige or excuse us from preaching them. Asmong the variety of mons opinions, it is fafe to speak in the language of the holy "Ghost, and accordingly to believe, viz. that, As by the offence of one, judgement came upon all men to condemnation a even so by the righteougnesse of one, the free gift came upon all men so justification of life. Rom. 5.18. And that he gave himself a ransome for all, and is the onely Mediator between God and man. Tim.2.5.6. That he is the propitiation for our lins, and not for ours onely, but also for the sins of the whole world. I Joh. 2. 2. That God is the Savieur of all men, especially of those that

damnation the more intollerable. But Tilenus, farre more to the advancement of Gods Grace and Christs merits, doth conclude from those Texts, by him alledged, That God for Christs sake doth conferre upon all those, who are called by the Gospel, a new power, whereby they are inabled, if they use their diligent endeavour, and be not wanting to-themselves and that divine Grace, to expedite and free themselves from the servicude of sin. But by what consequence this should be drawn to prove a denyall of Originall sin, I am not able to imagine, seeing this Sufficient power all men will not make use of, no not so much as to exempt and free themselves from that servitude of sin, which is superadded to sin Originall. As for Doctor Fereng Taylor, you moutd do well to stop his mouth first (not by impotent and unworthy infinuations, but by felic

believe. 1 Tim.4. 10. That he is the Saviour

of the world. Joh. 4.42. I Joh. 4. 14, 15 That

he tasted death for every man. From which cleare evidence Master Baxter is so fully con-

vinced, that he doth acknowledge a Generall

Grace in words, though indeed, in the refult,

as he defines it, it is not so much Grace as Se-

verity, having no power to save, and being

designed onely to render the persons, upon

whom it is conferred, inexcusable, and their

and convincing Arguments) before you invite or provoke others of his minde to open theirs. But why disown the Pelagiaus no more?

would you not persuade your Reader that Doctor Taylor is a perfect Pelagian? and is not this suggestion as odious and uncharita-

ble, as the self-devised tale, with which you charged Tilenus even now? how then comes it to palle that so honest a man as Matter Baxter is found guilty of it, not against the poorest neighbour, but against a very learned and morthy person, though haply his enemy for telling him some truths that go against the grain of his interest, Popularity or Ambition? M. Baxter may remember a little Pamphlet intituled [A Testimony to the truth of Jesus

Christ, &c. I subscribed by 52. Ministers (his Brethren) within the Province of Pag. 4. with London; wherein amongst other the 9. abominable errours, damnable herester, and horrid blasphemies, they reckon this for one [That Christ was given to undergo a hamefull death voluntarily upon the crosse, to satisfie for the sin of Adam, and for all the sins of all Mankind.] Now seeing the Pe. lagians are charged by the Ancients with this Doctine [that Christ did not die for all, as

appears by Saint Austin, contra 2. Epist. Pelag.

L. 2.c.2. Pelagiani dicunt Deum non esse omnium

statum in hominibus mundatorem, salvatorem, liberatorem, &c. Suppose a man should return Master Baxters language upon his Party, [Those of you that are of the, 52. Ministers mind in this, speak out, and disown the Pelagians no more; How would they take it? or how would Master Baxter interpret it? This would be called a shamelesse calumny, at least a perverse insinuation in Tilenus, though it must passe current for a piece of ingenuity and candor in himself. But doth not Doctor Taylor, in stating the Question, that there may be no clamours against the person interested in either persuasion, nor any offence taken by errour or misprisson; tell you. It is not intended, nor affirmed; that there is no such thing as Originall fin; for it is certain, and afplicat.p.452 firmed by all Antiquity upon many grounds of Scripture, That Adam sinned, and his sin mas Personally bis, but. Derivatively enrs; that is, it did great hurt to us, to our bodies direltly, to our souls indirectly and accidentally. So great hurt, that the Doctor saith, (in his Unum necessarium) Peg. 431. That our Spirit, when it is at the best, it is but willing, but can do nothing without the miracle of Grace. He doth not stick (in his Answer to the L. Bishops second Letter) to call it the Pela-

gian Heresie, and saith, it did serve it self by saying too lettle in this Article. And Pag. 101. in his Vindication to the Countesse of Devonshire, he saith, I desire to be observed in opposition to the Pelagian Heresie, who did suppose Nature to be so perfect, that the Grace of God was not necessary, and that by Nature alone, they could go to heaven; which beeause Lassirm to be inspossible, and that Baptism to therefore necessary, because Nature is insufficrem, and Baptism is the great channell of grace; there onghe to be no envious and iguorant lead laid upon my Doctrine, as if it complied with the Pelagian, against which it is so estentially and so mainly opposed in the main desforence of his Doctrine. I do not insert this, as if I had a minde to vindicate the Doctors opinion, or espouse his quarrest; (he is of age to answer for himself,) but to give the Reader notice of the difingenuous practifes used by this great pretender unto truth and Godlinesse, in his unworthy defamation of some, no leffe than in his undue vindication of others. But for the Dectors honour and comfost, Mi Baxter puts him amongst very good company, (under this accusation,) Sulliv Ruff. viz. All the Fathers of the first parti par two hundred or the ee bundred years; 154. 四。 and the plant truthis, faith he, till Pelagius dates, all spoke like Pelagians. And

not, seeing S. Austin maintains his Doctrine against the Pelagians, by the Authority of all the Fathers that wrote before him, and con-demneth that of Pelagius, as a recent curour or novel Prefumption. However M. Baxter should do well to consider, that the Manichees are, at least as ill as the Pelagians, and therefore he should take heed, he runne not into the extreams of very many Calvinifis who think they are never fafe from the danger of this Charybdis; till they fall into that Scylla. And if I should fay the Synod of Dore did so, he would not spare to tell me, as he doth Tilenius here, that he fiedly bitterly of them. Why binerly? Some men are to tender of their very errours, that they are ready to complain, Truth bires them, when the doth but imploy her tongue to lick their soars, in order to their healing. All other thens Gall and Copperas, it feems, doth corrode and free : but Master Baxters is purely Balfamical. But is it all Gofpel that was faid by Saint Austin, or the Synod of Dort? Rare faith. You differe from the first as much Augustinum as Tilenus, and the Canons of the tam Larium later are no Authentick Text with fuife in fervore disputationis bujussut passim nec secum, nec cum Scriptura concilia

and passet. De grat. Er lib. ark. lib. 2. cap. 14.

yet how this opinion can be true I understand

12 Art. 3. Sect. 12. & 15. Art. 5. P46.25. Sect. 9, 10, 11, 13. And having cleared your lest of the imputation of Arminianisma, you proceed in these words, [So I shall think that those who go as much on the other hand, and differ from the Synod one may, as much as the Arminians did the other may remain sensurable as well as they; and soon after. Yet let me Pag. 27. adde this, lest my seeking to satisfie the offended, may draw me into guilt; Though I have voluntarily my self profalled my consent so those severall Canons and Confessions of Faith, (but this is upon liberty taken to explain what Phrases you dislike in them, and putting your own fense upon them; and therefore you might very well Sabjoyne what followes) Tet for the Synod of Dort, the Confession of the. Assembly; year on the Larger Catechisme, without some correction, I do hereby protest my dissent against the so supposing them to a word upon all Ministers, that no man that cannot subscribe to them shall be permitted in the Church: , whether our conression were intended for such a necessary Test. I know not well; But that the Synod of Dorc was, is expressed in the end; saith M. Baxter. Whence we may collect, had Master Baxter

you, unlesse you may be allowed to make your

own expolition. So you professe in your

Confession of Faith, concerning Articis. Sect.

been amongst them, his pretended moderation would have been intolerable, and if not banisht, at least he would have been silenced as well as the Remonstrants.

I must not forget to give the Reader warming of Master Baxters Artifice, to insimuate into his credulity, that the Synod of Dort maintains no other Doctrine then what was taught by S. Augustine; which suggestion. had it any truth in it, might gaine some reputation to the Synod. But M. Baxter acknowledgeth (in his Account of Pag. 5. Perseverance) that Austin's opi. nion was that fome who are effe-

Etnally Called, Regenerated, Justified, and

Sanstified, do fall away and perish; which neither the Synod nor He will allow of for sound Doctrine. And that he never intended so teach such an irrespective Decree, (I say Irrespective in the same fense) as the Synod holds forth, is evident by that one Argument even now mentioned; † That he defended his Doctrine by the † Antiquiths fundas am Catholicam sidem adversus recentem Pelagianorumbæreticorum prasumptionem perniciemque desendimus. Aug. lib. 4. adversus Pelag. Epistol. & cap. 12. Quibus demonfiratur quomodo fit bac quam tenemus fides vera, vereque Christiana arque Catholica, sicut per Scripturas san&as Antiquitus tradita, sic a patribus nostris & usque ad hoc tempus, quo isti eam convellere tentaverunt, retenta atque

servat a, & deinceps propitio Deo retinenda aique servanda.

Authority of all the Fathers that had written before him, and accused the Pelagians of Novelty. What the Antionts opinion was Prosper hath expressy delivered in these words; Pane omnium parem inveniri & unam, sententiam, qua propositum & pradestinationem Dei Secundum prascientiam receperunt Mt. ab boc Dens alies vafa bonoris, alsos vafa contumelia fecerit, quia sinem uninscujusque praviderit, & sub ipso gratic adjutorio, in qua futurus effet voluntate & actione Prascienit. They all held that Predestination was according to Gods præscience; that God made some vessels of honour, others vessels of difhonour, because he foresaw the end of every one, and foreknew what he would be, by his own will and choice, under the affistance of Divine Grace. S. Austin doth professe that S. Ambrose by name maintained this cause, with him. But Saint Ambrose (lib. 5. de Fide. c. 3.) saith expresly; Non enim ante Predestinavit, quam prasciret : Oc. Gods Prædestination was not afore his foreknowledge; &c. And in those Commentaries that go under the name of Ambrose, and thought to be of the fame. Age, Ad Rom. 8. We read thus; Istos, quos prasciit futuros sibi devotos, ipsos elegit nd promissa premie capessenda. He chose them to receive the promised reward, whom he fore-

rorem, recto corde reverturum ad me. I will give mercy to him, maam I foreknow, would after his errour, return to me with a right beart. To him we may adde Hierome, the Marpest Adversary of Pelagius, who is of the fame judgement; for he taith, Ex Dei presoientia evenit, ut, quem AdGal. 1. seit justum furmrum, prius deligat, quam orietar ex utero. It is from his foreknowledge, that whom God knowes will be righteoms, he loves before ever he comes forth of his mathers womb. And upon the first Chaprer of Matac. Delectio & odium Dei vel ex prescientia pascitur sucurorum, vel ex operibus. The love and hatred of God ariseth either from his foreknowledge of fusure things, or else from works. And upon the eighth to the Romanes. Proposuit fold fide servare, ques presciveras crediouros. He desermined co save them by faith, whom he foreknew would believe. Which is more confiderable, in his beat of Conflict against the Pelagians (lib. 3.) He saith, Eligit (Deus) quem bonnm cermit. God chooseib him, whom he seeth to be good. I may adde to these Saint Austin himself, who in his fast writings (being Bishop) ad Simplicianum +, hath these Words, Nemo eligitur, nisi jam di- L. I. Qu. 2.

know would be devoted to his service. Et mi-

. iericordiam dabo ei, quem præscri, post er-

Works. And a little after of facob, he faith, Non electus est ut sieret bonus, sed bonus factus eligi potesit. He is not elected that he might be made good, but being made good he might be elected. From which clear passages I argue; either Saint Austin taught the Same Doctrine which the Antients had taught before him, or he did not; If he did, then he taught Election upon Gods foreknowledge of faith and piety, which is against the Doctrine of the Synod at Dort: If he did not teach the same Doctrine, then he falfly pretended their Authority and concested against all Amiguity, as well as against the Pelagians; which is very absurd to affirm of him. So that mangre M. Baxters pretension, a man may disown the Pelagians and the Synod of Dort too, and yet speak Reverently of S. Austin. Master Bax or shuts up this Scene with a notable Question, in these words; Do you believe that all ungodly men, or any man Na-

stans ab illo qui rejicitur: unde quod distum

est, Quia elegit nos Deus ante Mundi Constitu-

rienem, non video quemodo se dittum, misi de

prascientia sidei & operum pietatis. No man is

chosen, but he who is diftant, or differs from

him who is rejected: hereupon I cannot see how

that faying [that God hath chosen us before the

foundation of the world is to be understood,

but of Gods foreknowledge of Faith and good

rate. The synod holds the Affirmative of both branches, as touching all the Reprobate: But I deny such a Decree, and consequently that unavoidable Necessity, supposed to follow it; and if there were such a Decree and fueh a Necessity of wanting Faith and Repentance, that want could not be the fin, but the Fare of such Reprobates. Reflexions upon the XII. Section and IV. Article. He foursh Article, you say, so-ged by this Gbost of Tilenus. You have been gruly informed, that the Article was drawn

turally, hath the Habit of Faith, or Love, or Holinesse? This is the very Question, if you

will rightly understand it. To which I an-

swer, That, I do not believe that all ungodly

men, or any man Naturall; hath the Habit of Faith, or Love, or Holinesse: But this is

not the very Question, if I rightly understand

it; but rather this, [Whether to continue

in the want of these, by reason of an Antece-

dent Decree, that denies Grace Sufficient and

Necessary to perform them, be a matter of

-mavoidable Necessity, and yet a sinne properly so called, in the Naturall and unregene-

up by Daniel Tilenus himself; but you are a very bold man in venturing to combate with a Ghoft; upon whom, your own Reason might have told you, (if your passions had not transported you to fall on without consulting is) that you were like to make no impression with such blum weapons, as you manage against him. You must therefore be content, till you can come better arm'd, to leave the Field and the victory behinde you, which your Confidence, no doubt, at your Marching forth, promised you the Glory of in the attempt. But this Gbost must follow you into the next Field, (where he is to try your strength and skill, a little further,) which is, fat God to save his elect from the corrupt Masse, doth beget faith in them by a power equal to that whereby he created the world, and raised up the dead, insomuch that such unto whom he gives that Grace, cannot reject it; and the rest being Repro-'bate cannot accept of it, though it be offer-'ed unto both by the same Preaching and Mi-"nistery.] That is work of Regeneration at Conversion, for mightinesse, is not inferiour to the creation of the world, or vais-* Cop. 3.65 4. Alb. 12. ing up of the dead *; is the exo suffrag. prese Affirmation of the Synod, Genevenf.de in terminis; What is it then that 3, et 4 cap... Th.10x113, Muster Baxter trach to object a-

say that this was to save his Elect from the corrupt Masse, excluding all others salvation? Tilenus hath not the words [excluding all others falvation;] but the Synod hath the Thing, sure enough; for they conclude that the Election of some implyes + the f Eledio (quam de Jacobo in-Rejection of others, and that is exclusion, in Zanchy's lense, as was shewed above, and in any telligit).abfmans sense, I think, but M.B.axque reprobaters. Do they not fay, many of tions quant vi oppositiothem, and 'tis the judgement of nis intelligit them all, that the numbers of the de Esavo Elect can neither be diminished ne cogitari quidem ponor increased? and are not the test. Piscot. rest excluded then by that Do-Respon. ad Etrine & And although you say, Syllogo God invites them to salvation up-1. Taufreri. on Faith and Repentance, yet Contra absolu Reprob. Dethis Condition is impossible, and cret. made to by his own Antecedent Decree, which first ordained their Dorinis fall * (as many Calvinists do ergo Deum teach) and then the denial of Sufquoque hanc ficient and Necessary Grace unto unicam viam fibi aporire, id est, Adami Lapsum ordinare, sed ad eum quem dini finem. Beza in resp. ad S. Castel. de Prædesi. in refusar, fecunda Calum. p. 361.

gainst the Article? 1. Where did the Synod

Master Baxter goes on; [And if you quarrell not with a supposed exclusion but an inclusion, then he that denyeth a necessity of salvation from the corrupted Masse, may tell God he will not be beholding for such a mercy, and stand to the venture] Here you are really guilty of a perverse infinuation, to rene der Tilenus and his Doctrine odious to the world, whereof you falfly charge him in osea, 6. & ther parts of your Preface. Why else should you hold forth such a supposition, if it were not to I The State impole upon your Reader, that Tilenus or the Remonstrants deny a necessity of salvation from the corrupt Masse? Where do they say this? or what temptation have you to suspect they think so? If you had no such intent, your [Inclusion] might have been excluded, and so might the other branch of your distinction, which follows in these words; [But if you mean it Exclusively, they professe that Faith is the means of our Salvation, not encly from the corrupted Masse, but from Insidelity, and the Curse of the Law, and from damnation, and all the sin that would procure it. Before Master Baxter spake of an Exclusion of Persons; but now he comes to resume this

Faith and Repentance, as the whole Sy-

nod hath declared; and thereby they exclude

all others Salvation.

Masse is the Terminus à quo, and if you be a Sublapsarian, you must conclude that as Mans Mifery, fo Gods Mercy and Salvation must begin there; otherwise, if men be left in the corrupt Masse, till they arrive at finall damnation, Faith will come too late then to fave them. 2. Here you separate Insidelity from the corrupt Masse, and hereby you implyedly acknowledge, that we are not made guilty of Infidelity by Adams fin, and consequently that men being Reprobated upon the account of this sinne, were Reprobated without any respect to their Insidelity, as Tilenus chargeth the Synod, to hold, in his first Are ticle. But why do you separate the carse of the Law, and Damnation, from the corrupt Masse, as is thus alone were not sufficient to procure both, as your words infinuate; though I presume as much contrary to your own sense as it is, most certainly, + to the doctrine of the Rejea. 8. Synod? Master Baxter runs on in perverse Insinua-

Exclusion as the second branch of his di-

stinction, he speaks of an Exclusion of Things,

which is not very Artificiall, in the way of

discourie. But why do you professe Faith is

the means of our salvation, not onely from the

corrupt Masse, but &c.] Who said onely from

the corrupt Masse? And surely the corrupt

pray for it, nor be beholden for it. I am fo well assured that it is God that causeth Faith in us, (in the sense of Holy Scripture) that I account my self obliged, not onely to pray for the working and increase of it, but most humbly and heartily to thank and blesse him also for the Possession and benefit. But, then saith Master Baxter, If you yield that he causeibit, but not by such a power as you mention, you either think that God causeth it without power (which is an opinion that needs no censure) or that he hath many Towers, and causeth one thing by one power, and another thing by another: which is as unbeseeming a Divine or Christian to assert. Answ. 'Tis acknowledged that God causeth Faith, and that by his Power, which Power of his is one and the same Omnipotencie, essentially; but exerted and put forth to the production of severall effects, not like the Powers of Naturall Agents, which Act Ad Ulimum sui Posse, to their utmost strength, but in such a Proportion and Measure, as seems meet to his All-wife Good pleasure, to allow every Agent in order to its operation. For it is a certain Rule; Licet non possimus Deo tribuere virtutem agendi Limitatam, nil tamen vetat, qued influx us extrinfecus ab eo ortus, non con-

tions still, saying; 2. If you think that God

doth not cause Faith in us, you will not then

or 2. that those Non-elect can conquer Gods Omnipotencie; which (to we his words) is as unbeseeming a Divine or Christian to assert. Thus I argue; Some power of God is exerted towards the Conversion of the Non-electi All power of God is Omnipotencie. Therefore some Omnipotencie is exerted towards the conversion of the Ge. But that M. Baxter may not Gavill at the Form of the Argument, being in the third figure, we will reduce it according to the Rules of Art; and 1. Oftenfive. All the Power of God is Omnipotencie. Towards the conversion of the Non-Elect is exerted some power of God. Therefore, to the Conversion of the Nonelect is exerted some Omnipotencie. 2, We will reduce it [Per Impossibile.] No omnipotencie is exerted towards the conversion of the Non-elett. All power of God is Omnipotencie. Therefore, No power of God is exerted towards the (onversion of the Non-elect. Now Master Baxter may take his choice of thele two Conclusions. If he faith there is no power of God exerted towards the conversion of the Non-elect; then his sufficient Grace is vanisht; for I presume he will not lay that is sufficient to an effect, which

he saith the Omnipotency of God is exerted towards their Conversion; then seeing they are not [de Facto]'actually Converted, it will follow, that they can insuperably resist, and prevaile against Omnipotencie; which (to take no advantage) of the blasphemy of that assertion) if they can do, though they shall be the greatest Rebels in the world, they will deserve to weare the crown for their exceeding prowesse. This will awaken Master Baxter to the nse of some of his Five senses, which, to make a show and fill up the Muster, rather than for any great service in this Controversie, are displayed in these words; [In these severall senses it may be said, that a thing is the effect of Omnipotencie. 1. Properly and strictly as denominating the cause. And so all that God doth is the effect of Omnipotencie, even the life of a Fly; and therefore you cannot denyst of Grace: 3 This I shall not Question. But 2. Improperly, as meaning that the Agent doth Act to the utmost of his Power, and could do no more: and thus never did any Divine that was well in his wits say, that Grace is the effect of Gods Omnipotency. 3. Improperly also as meaning that so much power as was put forth in causing Faith, would have created a world; had it been that way imployed.

hath nothing of Gods power in it. But if

ber Divines do not use to ascribe severall degreees of Power (unlesse which is a good Referve for you] denominatively ab effectis) to God: and if they did, yet would they not pretend to judge of the Scantling, and say, This work hath more power, and this lesse: especially in such Mysterious works: Answ. 1. Whether the Divines of the Synod were well in their wits, I shall not examine. Tis too evident that many of their Followers are not, which is the fairest excuse that can be made for many of their Doctrines and Practices. And whether they thought, that God did Alt to the utmost of his Power, and could do no more, in the conversion of a Sinner, I shall not dispute neither. Nor shall I put any of their words upon the Rack to force them to speak their meaning to be this, That so much Power as was put forth in causing faith, would have created a world, had it been that way imployed.] But whether their sobriety conteined them from ascribing severall degrees of power to God, at least from implying them, and judging of the Scantling, I shall leave to the interpretation of the Judicious and Impartiall Reader, having first fet down their very words, which Cap. 3. et. 4. are thele; In the Regeneration of Rejell.8. man, they lay, God does, lux Omni-

And this cannot be their meaning, because so-

but of the influx or emanations of it, applyed to, or imployed in this work, and these, they lay are [vires Omnspotentia fue] the strength of his Omnipotencie. And he that denies this, they adde, that he doth [Actionem Dei Omnipotentis subjects voluntatibominis I subject the Altion of the Bid. Art. 12. Allmighty God to the will of man. Which Action or Operation, Virinte sua, net treatione, net mottuorum resuscitatione minor, aut inferior, for the mightinesse thereof (as the English Transfator hath it) is not lefs; or inferiour to the creation of the world, or the resuscitation of the dead. If this be not a pasfing of Judgement upon the scantling of Gods Power, I professe, I know not what Master Baxter means by it. And I would fain be informed, whether, of the work of Specials Grace in Gods Elect, and the work of Common Grace in the Reprobate, we may not truely say, That work hath more power, and This lesse; which yet, saith Master Baxier, Sober Divines do not Pretend to judge of, espesiely in such Mysterious works. But he summs up all in these word: [Gods will is sufficient to cause the thing Willed: And the willing of

potentiæ vires adhibere, exert or imploy the strength of his Omnipotencie. They do not

speak here of Gods Essentiall power, which

we doubt not to be Infinite, or Omnipotent;

tenus Will, that causeth the Thing willed, but quatenus Potentia, as it is Power, as well as Will. And we must not forget to take notice, that Things willed of Almighty God, are either willed Absolutely, as his own workes, or disjunctively and conditionally, as Mans duty; Gods will alone is sufficient to cause the things willed in the first sense, but not in the latter; And this, not because the Will of God alone cannot, (at least if it doth not imply a contradiction, to say, God can do that which is Formally our Duty) but because alone, it will not. But it is time to feel the pulse of Master Baxters fourth sense, which beateth thus, [4. Improperly, as onely describing the degree of excellency in the effects, as related to the Cause. As if they said, there is so much excellency in this offect of Grace, that no Cause below. Omnipotency, that is, below God himself, could procure it. And he that devieth this, let bim prove if he can, that any Creature without God, can Sancifie.] A very Profound Argument! I will requite you with such another;

Grace, will not canse a world, nor the willing of a world, will not canse Grace.] Here

1. Master Baxter slips away from the Question, which is not about the Object of Gods

Will, but about the Influx of his Power; For

tis not the will of God Reduplicative, or qua-

God: Ergo, therefore Omnipotency is required to cause every Creature to fetch every haust of breath, and move every step. But let us reduce M. Baxters Argument into form, and see what will follow from it, What sever cannot be wrought without God, is wrought by omnipotency, or a power not inferiour to that by which God created the world, or raiseth up the dead. But Grace or Sanctification is not wrought without God. Therefore, Grace or Sanctification is wrought by Omnipotency, or a power not inferiour to that whereby God created the world, or raiseth up the dead. I deny the Major, That what seever cannot be mrought without God is wrought by Omnipotency, &c. For i'le assume upon that propolition; thus, Man cannot breathe, nor set one step, nor perform any one natural action without God; Doth it follow therefore, that besides Gods Generall concourse, there is required a Speciall omnipotent influx not inferiour to shat power whereby he created the world. or raiseth up the dead, to cause us to breathe and walk? Then every breath we fetch, and every step we set, is irresistible, and cannot be suspended or forborn. The Fallacy in these

Let Master Baxter prove, if he can, that any creature can breathe or move one step without

cause Grace in us, is Omnipotent? If it be so, then God Acteth in this work, to the utmost of his power, and can do no more; Our preach. and no Divine, that is well in his ing and perwits, will say so; as Master Baxfustion, and ter hath acknowledged. Tis true your hearing and confiderno creature, without God, can Saning, are the Asserbut God aseth the creature as appointed. his instrument and means to work means, fre. Sanctification; Now are ye clean Call to the Non-Conthrough the word: and Sanstifie verted, Prethem through thy truth; and Christ face. 768. 17.17. doth santtiffe and clenfe his Church Eph. 5. 26. by the wasning of water through the word: yet the word is neither † 2 Tim, 2. Omnipotent not irresistible. And it is mans duty t to sanstific him-21: r Pet-1. 16. self, and as tis possible for him to 1. Job. 3. 3. also to neglect it. Master Baxiers fifth sense is given us in these words; [And if onely the severalleffelts are compared, as if the meaning were [the work of Grace doth more clearly demonstrate Offiniporency in the cause, then the creation of the world] I have met with none that dares presend to be a Judge in the compa-

Arguings is A Dicto Simpliciter. God is

Omnipotent, doth it follow therefore that the

power which he exerteth, or putteth forth to

you are no better acquainted with him : but you may read his decision in the next words, which tell us [In some respect the work of Grace demonstrateth Omnipotency more, as beong against more actuall resistance: In other respects the creation demonstrateth is much more. J Now how can we reckon this Judge amongst the number of those Sober Divines, who you say, did never intend to + Hi funt make themselves + Judges (I wonqui se ulvi der who else made you so) of these april tents things, or trouble the Church with Taring convedisputer about them. This Affernat fine de vina disposttion will argue want of Sobriety in vione praffsome body; let the Reader judge cium, qui fe in whom. Prapofitos fra neulla Ordivaltionis Lege constituent, qui remine Episcopation dante Episcopi shi nomen assument, sedentes in pestilentia Cu-shedra, crc. S. Cyprian. deunit. Escles pag. 23. In the mean while I shall proceed to Master Baxters 13. Section. Where I find his Dufcourse ulhered in again with a new Reproach cast upon Tilenus, whom he upbraideth in this language; Fron flanderon sty say, &c. J Now

vision or competition.] Then I have been more

happy in this than you; for I have met with

a man that doth more than pretend to it,

one that hath plaid the part of a Judge in the

comparison or competition; and I am forry,

who is the greater sanderer of the too, Tilenus or Master Baxter. But what is the Slander? [That the Synod saith, The Reprobates cannot accept it, viz. saving Faich; How dares Master Baxter call this + He doth a slander t which is so easily proacknowledge ved to be their Doctrine? That it of them, in which is neither given to them, Sell. 36.0f nor designed for them, by Alshis Preface. mighty God, that the Reprobates Reprobi crecannot receive, or accept: Faith dere non pofand Repentance are neither given funt. Gomapass in The to them, nor designed for them, Mous de Pra-dest. disp. by Almighry God: Therefore they cannot receive or accept it. 1604 these 32, Reprebos nec obedire vocanti Deo, nec credere, nec resipiscere, nec justificari, nec salvari posse, inquit Musculus, in locis Com. Loc, de Reprob. The Major is proved by the words of the Baptist; Joh. 3. 27. A man can receive nothing except it be given him from above. The Minor is the Doctrine of the Synodists; For if you examine their Suffrages, most of their Definitions or Descriptions of Reprobation, do include the Denial of Grace Sufficient and Necessary unto Faith and Repentance. But we

at a venture, I submit it to the Impartiall Reas

der, to stamp the brand of Infamy, in an in-

delible Character, upon the Forehead of him

for we have it amongst the very Decrees of the Synod. Cap. 1. Artic. 15." They lay, God Decreed to leave the Non-elect in the common Misery, and not to bestow saving Faith and the Grace of Conversion upon them. And Reject. 2. They reject it as an Errour that troubled the Belgick Churches, That an Election unto justifying Faith may be without peremptory Election unto Salvation. And Cap. 2. Reject. 6. Whereas some, rather than others, are made partakers of forgivenesse of sins, and life eternall, They reject it as an Errour, [That this diversitie depends upon their own free-will, applying it self to Grace indifferently offered: and not upon the fingular gift of Mercy, effeosually working in them rather than others, that they may apply this Grace unto themfelves. By which Doctrine it is evident that this Faith is denyed unto the Reprobate, and confequently, that they cannot receive it, which is all I intended to evince from it. By all which, and much more that might be alleaged to prove it, it appeares that the Synod hath the perfect sense, (and it. is that and not fo much the numericall words) that Tilenus chargeth them with. But saith Master Baxter They deny them no power but Morall, which is the willing nesse

need not be at that trouble to finde proof;

ent and Necellary for the Introduction of that Supernatural Habit, from whence should flow (if it be at all) that Habituall millingnesse, which you call Morall Power. But they knew that all had a Passive and obedientiall Power, and also a Masurall ed-Elive Power or Faculty of willing, and so far can Accept.] Answ. I. For your Obedientiall power, it is no more than what was in the first Chaos towards the Production of the world; and you may with as much reason asfirm it possible for all Creatures sublunary, to have been educed or drawn out of that Cheos without Gods Fiar, or Omnipotent word, as for Faith to be drawn out of mans Obedientiall Power, unlesse God bestowes a new supernaturall power towards the production of iz. And for your Naturall power, what proportion bath that to a Supernaturall, Ast or Objest? So little, or none at all, that Saint Anstin acknowledgeth, that the mant of such Acts in reserence to such objects, is not culpable, but where a new sufficient power is conferred towards them. His Lib. 3. de lib. Atbierio words are thele, Ex so quod non accepit, nullus reus est; ex covec. 16: ro quod non facit, quod debet, justè reus est. Debet autem se accepit, & wolnn-

Habituall it selfis Yes by your tavour, they deny them Supernaturall Assistance Suffici-

No man is guilty for that which he hatb not recrived; but upon this account, that he dock mot what he ought to do, he is justly guilty. And be ought to do, if be bath received a free mill and sufficient ability. We may say of the Devils, as much as you do here say of the Reprobates; we know they baven Passive Obediential, and also a Natural Active Pewer or Fuculty of willing and fo farre can Accept (Grace). And the Divine Decree makes no difference betwirt them, (as the Synod states it); for that is irreversibly past against them both. But doth Godallow these Reprobates, (whom he calls by his Golpela) no more Grace and Power towards Faithand Repentance, than he allows the Dewils? you do not, you dare not affirm it; and yet you affirm what is tantamount, if not worle; For what be does afford them, you would say surely, if you had occasion as well you infinuate (Sect. 15.) that it is not with a purpose to Convert them. To what end is it administred then? I am sure many, if not all the Synodists, doe tellus, that it is to make theminexcusable; by which Doctrine, God should deale more hardly by these men then by the very Devils (as was faid above) to whom as the benefit is in no sense tendred, to the unavoidable refulal of it, doth in no

ratem liberam, & sufficientissimam facultatem;

fort procure them, (as it doth those) the again gravation of their fin and torments. But I must return to take notice what a pitifull Put-off Master Baxter is fain to make use of, to save the Reputation of his own and the Synods Doctrine. We will illustrate it by this Similitude. Suppose a School to which are fent many children; There is an Ufber appointed to read a part of a Latine or Greek Author to all these Children every day - and the head-Mafter culls out a finall number, to whom he privately communicates the Construction and way of Parsing, and inculcates it with so much earnestnesse and assiduity that the meaneff capacity amongst them cannot but understand it; the rest, which are much the major Part, are past-by and neglected, no care at all taken for their folid institution. When notice is taken, and complaint

made of this incongruous and partiall way of Instruction, according to the method whereof, those few peculiar Favourites cannot refuse, the rest not receive the benefit, and therefore had as good ablent themselves from the School: An Impertinent person undertakes the vindication of this School and the Master of it, relling the Complainant, [You flanderously say, those children cannot re-"ceive benefit by this way of teaching; for to my knowledge they have all their fenfes

the Construction and way of Parsing the Authors read to them; This Imperiment waves that which is the onely thing in Question, and falls a commending the Boyes Naturall Abilities, crying up their nimble eies; and quick eares, and faithfull memories, and ready apprehensions. Just thus doth Master Baxter here; The Question is (nor about the Habit of faith or Morall disposition to believe, as Master Baxter suggesteth a little after, but) about Necessary and Sufficient Grace for the begetting or acquiring the supernaturall Habit, or Spirituall Disposition. The Synod saith; God doth so dispense this Grace, that the Elect cannot reject it, but the Reprobates cannot accept it. No faith Master Baxter, there is no reason to object this against them; for they knew well enough the Reprobates [have a Passive and Obedientiall Power, and also a Naturall Active Power or Faculty of Willing, and so far can Accept. What a piece of Sophistrie is here! a mere Ignoratio Elenchi. M. Baxter sets himself quite besides the cushion; And it would make one wonder to observe, that men, who handling other points of Divini-

'and Naturall faculties, for Discipline, as per-

fect as the otherchildren. When the Questi-

on is about the helps and means administred

on the Masters part, to inable them to gain

ty make some shew of Learning and skill in the Arts and Sciences, when they come to dispute these Controversies, should be driven to such evasions and fallacies, and are content to serve themselves of these mean shifts, rather than abandon their beloved erxours. But, saith M. Baxter, The Question is onely of the Moral Disposition: And I pray town if you are a Christian (which is more fure, than that you are charitable) or a man of Observation, sellus, Whether you think that an Infidellhath a Habit of Faith, or a Dispos stron of believing; or whether a drunkard bath a Habit or Disposition of Sobriety, or a whoremonger of Chastity, or a worldling of heavenline I Think not. But give us leave to ask you another Question; Whether an Ebeet Infidel, drunkard, whoremonger, worldling, have the Habits or Disposition of Faith, Sobriety, Chastity, Heavenline Ie? Yet these can and do accept (rather cannot refuse) Grace, the rest cannot accept it. Is the Passive and Obedientiall power, and the Naturall Active Faculty alone sufficient hereunto in those Elect? No certainly there is something else required. Therefore the Question is not onety of the Morall Disposition, as you say; for betwixt the Naturall Active Faculty, and the Morall power which you call Habituall

the degree of its Activity, in modum Acqui sitorum. helping the Unregenerate to repent And you say, and believe. In your Treatife a of Our new Conversion, you lay, That Habibirth is a tuall willingnesse none hath, but he new creation or dinarily in that bath proportionably received materia difthat Grace that doth effect it. There pofite. Of Sais then some belpe to supply the deving Faith. fects of that Morall Disposition, pag.47. a Pag. 294. or rather to work it, through want whereof the Reprobate can-Exchs. 22. not have it. And in your Sermon of Judgement, you say, [If we take Power Ethically, (and who takes it otherwise in this Question, but such as love to lurk in Ambiguities?) none but the effectually called have a power to believe. The Elest Drunkard, Whoremonger, Worldling, &c. cannot levy forces enough of his own to subdue those Rebel lusts that fight against the soul. You confesse God is pleased to send them forrein insuperable aid, out of his Omnipotent and irresistible Armies of Auxiliaries; And though the Principes and Triarii be kept for a Grand Reserve to fall in to their succour

willingnesse, there is a sufficient supernaturall

or spirituall influx in order to the Acquisi-

+ For, Ha-

bitus Infusi

se babent ad

tion to of that Habit or Dispositi-

tion. And I conceive the very

Question is about this influx and

derstanding their great need, many times, as well as Gods free liberty to firew mercy) yet some of the Velites you might allow the Reprobate, at least to ballance the force of the enemy; And then being upon such equall termes, (as the first Adam stood upon in Pa, radise, which I do not understand in respect of Innocency, or, a present and immediate freedome from the servitude of sin, and guilt of death; but in respect of a measure of Grace proportionable to those temptations and infirmities they are to contest against, which is that condition to which the fe-Rom. 5. 17. cond Adam is said to have restored us) if they will not fight it out then, and quit themselves like men, let them be led into captivity to the Law of sin, till they perish in it. But it seems 'tis but a folly for them to expect such Relief. They are required to bring in their tale of Brick, but no straw will be allowed them: they must make a shift with such stubble as they can rake up upon the Fallows of their own Nature ; for so M. Baxter intimates in his next words, which are thefe, The Synod never doubted but that men have the Naturall Power of willing; and what then

when they are routed and discomsited, (and

we do not envie them that Assessance, but

blesse God for such Gracious Supplies, un-

to their salvation: It is of another sort, and design'd to another end, a means (not to save, but) to harden and render inexcusable, for accomplishing the Decree of Reprobation. But let us hear Master Baxters appeal, which he enters in these following words; [Now I dare appeal, saith he, to any Reasonable man, whether these vicious persons have holy inclinations to the contrary vertue? that is, whether a wicked man be Habitually or difpositively a Godly man? This is the very Question when you have driven it to the Head, about the power of unsanctified men to Repent, Believe, Love God, &c. To which what hath been feturned already is sufficient to make it appeare, that Master Baxter hath not yet hit the nail o'th' head in this Question. But there are two things which I have obferved to fall frequently from him in his writings, to which I must apply an Answer. 1. That even the Reprobates may have Christ and life, or salvation, if they will. Sellion 8. But Anionius Thysius * speaks * Ad Sum. more ingenuoully, according to Baron p. 38.

thought, you were as good to leave the Re-

probate to their Naturall Active Facultie

without that Assistance; which though they

may Accept of, yet they cannot improve

+Contra Caqui nolunt, Deus & homo; There stel.pag 102 are two that are unwilling, God and man. And Calvina, Quodigitur 2 Inflit. 1.3. sibi patefacto Dei verbo non obtem-C. 24. A. 14. perant reprobi, probe id in malitiam pravitutemque cordis eorum rejicietur, modo simul adjiciatur, ideo in hanc pravitatem addictos, quia ufto, sed inscrutabili Dei judicio suscitati sunt ad glorium ejus sua damnatione illustrandam; That the Reprobates obey not the Gospel of God, may very well be imputed to the malice and pravitie of their own heart, so this be also added to it, that they are therefore addicted to that pravitie (or naughtinesse) because by the just, but unsearchable judgement of God, they are raised up to set forth his glory by their Damnation. And little lesse then this is implyed, though very modestly, in Sect. 15. of M. Baxters Preface. The second Thing I must take notice of in Master Baxters Doctrine about this Article is, That the Reprobates cannot, is no more then they will not, for thus he saith (Sect. 36. of this Preface) When the Synod layes they

the Doctrine of the Synod, (whereof he was

a member); Multi salvi non finnt, saith he,

non quia ipsi nolunt, sed quia Deus non valt.

Many are not faved, not because they are un-

willing, but because God wills not. And

† Donteclock saich, Duo ergo sunt

slanderously charged upon them; yet now himfelf findes it in them and expounds it thus) When the Synod Sayes they cannot, they speak but of a Moral Impotency, which is nothing else but Habituall unwillingnesse, and so the cannot and the will not is the same thing] But Beza + doth distinguish them, and + In brevi saith, Nec volunt, nec etiam posexplic. tot. Junt; So doth Master Fenner; The Christian. c. Reprobates are not damned because 5 Aph.4. they cannot (though they cannot) faith he, but because they will not; Cap. 3, 69 4. And this is the very Doctrine of Artic. 3. the Synod, They say, [All men are conceived in sin, and born the children of wrath, untoward to all good tending to salvation, forward to evil, dead in fins, slaves of sin; and neither Will, nor Can, (without the grace of the holy Ghost regenerating them) [which is denyed to every Reprobate by this Synod set streight their own crooked nature, no nor so much as dispose themselves to the amending of it. And both this Cannot and this Will not, when you have driven the Question to the very Head, do flow by an inevitable Neccsfity, from the Divine Decree, according to the Doctrine of the Calvinists, speaking even by the Synod; as was shewed above, in the Testimonies alleaged in proof of the last

Cannot, (which he told Tilenus even now, he

branch of the third Article; and as appears by the Decrees of the Synodie felf; compare Chapter 3, and 4. Artic. 3. with Chap. 1. Artic 15. In his next (which is the 14.) Section, Master Baxter runs on in his wonted strain of accusation; for he tell Tilenus, [You wrong them also (and 'tis just so, and no otherwise then formerly) in feigning them simply to say, that those to whom God gives grace cannot reject it.] It were a strange Fiction I confess to affirm, that they fly simply, when tis so cvident they speak so doubly and equivocally upon all occasions. But doth Master Baxter think that every Reader will bring the Colliers faith, and follow him with a blinde obedience in what soever he please to impose upon him, if he be ushered in with a valiant Calumny? If he understands Latine he will finde the charge Isleaus brings against them, acknowledged in the next words, which tels us, [They say indeed that, Post Dei operationem, (quoadiplum) non manetin hominis potestate regenerari vel non regenerari, &c.] What alters the case? is it Master Baxters (for 'us none of Islenus's) Dictum simpliciter, or the Synod's, Secundum quid, or, quoad ipsum, the first of which is a mere blinde, and the other fuch an infignificant P irenthelis that the English Translator thought

those Decrees. It is a notable sign men are ashamed of their opinions when they use such figg-leaf distinctions to cover their nakednesse. But it seems [quoad ipsum] would not do it; and therefore Master Baxter makes it quoad ipsam, in his Descant upon the words, or the Reason he renders to excuse them; which is this that followeth, [For, faith he when effectuall Grace hash done its work, the man is regenerate already, or else grace were not effectuall: (do you give it that denomination ab eventu then ?) Besides, (saith he) by [Power] here, they mean nothing but the proportion of mans corruption and resisting dispolition, (would any man interpret it of mans virtue and cooperation?) compared with that Grace that shall infallibly prevaile against it. What need these tristing circumlocutions; you might have told us in one word, if you would have used your Christian simplicity, that, the Masculine Omnipotency, and the Feminine Irresistibility of the Synod, are so infallibly pravalent in this work, that the Elect cannot reject it; which is that we contend to be the Synods meaning. But, by the way, let the Reader take notice of the sincerity of this Pravaricator. This Article of Tilenus is taken out of that very Decree of the Synod (Cap. 3, & 4. Artic. 12.)

fit to leave it quite out in his Translation of

stions, to wrong him, under an unjust pretense that he hath wronged them. For evidence whereof, it will not be amisse to give the Reader a more full view of their sense and meaning. The Belgick Pro-De Art. 3, fessors say, that Faith, by which we & 4. Thef. are first converied, and from which 6.p.159.p.3 we are styled Faithfull, is not an Act, but a Habit infused of God: and that so Potent, that the will of man cannot resist or hinder it. This is subscribed by Ibid. p. 157. Polyander, Gomarus, Thyfius aud and these 4. Waleus; and approved by Lub-Profesors berius; which Lubberius rejects, subscribed thefe Reje= . amongst others, these two propo-Gions. fitions, as unfound opinions; 🛾 . In hominis irregeniti Potestate esse, primam gratiam accipere vel rejicere; That it is in the Power of the unregenerate to accept or reject the first grace. 2. In hominis irregent i Potestate esse, primà gratià benè vel male nii. It is in the power of an unregene-+ Part. 2.p. rate man to use the first grace well 153.m. orall. And the Divinesof † Wed-

which he professeth, (in his Con-

scribe unto; yet here, he quar-

fession of Faith, he cannot sub- Ubi supra.

rels Tilenus about it, and undertakes the

vindication of it, by his sleight Comments,

and takes the confidence by unworthy sugge-

which effecteth faith (whether it be done ordinarily or extraordinarily) is irresistible: That man neither will nor can resist it, Ita Britanhis visiosity being conquered by the mi: ad hoc oirsuperable power of God. That pus regenerathe will of man is merely Passive in tionis habet. this work. So that God is the sose bomo pasfrue, neg; eft litary Cause of the first Converin potestate sion. And doth not the whole Syvoluntatis nod subscribe to this doctrine? bumanæ im-They resemble this work to that pedire Deum sec immedia. Powerfull operation of God, by be regeneranwhich he giveth being to this our Bema. naturali life. (Cap. 3, & 4. Ast. 17.) A work to the production whereof he imployeth his omnipotent strength. (Re ett. 8.) A work for the mightinesse thereof not inferiour to the creation of the world or raising up the dead, mhich God n'orkeib in iu, but (not with us, but it without us; an operation so carried on, that when God hath done his part, it remains not in mans choise, to be, or not to be regenerate; to be, or not to be, converted; Art. 12. & Reject. 8.) From whence I argue thus; That work wherein man is merely passive, which is wrought in him, but without him, like his first birth, creation or resurrection from the dead, by Gods Omnipotent strength; That work, or that Grace that wo: keth after

deran lay, that the Grace of the Holy Ghost,

nod are not wronged, nor is Tilenus guilty of a Fiction: But M. Baxter accountable for them both. But, saith M. Baxter, [For the manner of Gods operation, they confesse it such, as man cannot here comprehend, ibid. Sect. 13.] They were then very bold men, so positively to define it to be insuperable, infrustrable, omnipotent, irresistible; And was it done like Worthy Learned Divines to exauctorate, perfecute and banish, their Learned and God-+ For it is ly Brethren, for diffenting from well known. them in the explication of an Arthey were inticle, which they confesse themfligators in felves unable to comprehend? this work. These things sound ill to impartiall cares. Let us heare if the rest will found any better. And Sect. 16. they tell you, saith M. Baxter that Sicuti post lapsum homo non desinit esse hemo, in ellectu & voluntate praditus, nec peccatum, quod universam genus humanum pervasit, naturam generis humanı sustulut, sed depravavit & spiritualiter occidit : itaetiam kac divina regenerationis gratia, non agit in

this manner, he cannot reject. But such

is the operation, or Grace that effecteth his

Regeneration, or Conversion, according to

the Doctrine of the Synod (as was alleaged

out of their very words.) Therefore the Sy-

tam violenter cogit; sed spiritualiter vivificat, Sanat, corrigit, snaviter simul ac potenter flecrit. To this I shall answer in In responsad the words of the Remonstrants, Epift.Miniprinted a year before the Synod strorum Waof Dort began. [At nullum hic lacbrian. P. discrimen datur operationis divina 7 I. in homine & trunco, desumptum ex modo & efficacia operandi, jed solum ex parte subjectorum, hominis & trunci, inter que infinitum intercedere discrimen nemo inficiabitur, nisi qui truncus sit aut stipes, ideoq; quid mirum, si non possit truncus & stipes secundum modum creature rationalis simul agere, sicut nec homo seeundum modum creasure irrationalis (aut non rationalist) Quod fi e à quam Contra-Remonstrantes urgent ratione Deus hominem convertat, nullumnos discrimen videre ingenne fatemur inter hominis & tranci vivificationem. Cum enim in homine quamvis voluntate pradito ita operetur exipsorum placitis Deus, ut non possit non volum as agere id quod agit, & sola Conversionis Causa sit Spiritus gratia, non cooperante voluntate, & bac ex innato principio reluctans Spiritui ad obedientiam tam'n potentia cui refisti nequit flectatur, ita quidem, ut causa istius actus dicinon possit; certe non alium hunc esse agendimodum censemus, quam si ex La-

bominibus tanquam truncis & stipitibus, nec vo-

luntasem ejusque proprietates tollit, aut invi-

sum attolleret. Breviter, non magis spontante, nedum indifferenter, quod essentiale est libertati, ad conversionem sui concurret homo, quam cum truncus aut lapis motu non naturali à Motore impellitur. Thus farre in answer to the Epistle of the Walachrians; And in their Antidocum they say, Verum quidem est hominem non esse truncum Pag. 97. ant stipitem, manet enim homo intellectu & voluntate praditus, sed tamen certum etiam est eum non plus conferre ad illam sui mutationem & conversionem, quam stipes aut truncus ad sui tractionem, id est, esse tantum subjectum merum impressionem recipiens, &c. And this is very roundly acknowledged by Amessus in his Medulla Theel. (l.1.c. 26.Th.25.p. 135.) where he saith, Voluntas respectubujus prima receptionis (Christisc.), neque liberè agentis, neque naturaliter patientis rationem babet, sed obedientialis tantum subjection Ku. (For the Scripture (2 Cor. 4.6.) alleaged to prove this affertion, it speaks of the extraordinary Gifts of knowledge inspired into the Apostles, or the Revelation of the minde of Jesus Christ to them by the Holy Ghost to fit them for the service of the Church then a planting; and so makes no-

pidibus filios Abraba esset facturus Deus, aut

ex limo verre Adamum, aut si contra natura-

lem inclinationem molem aliquam saxeam sur-

thing to that purpose for which he doth so frequently produce it. This by way of caution; to return;) And Maccoviust is no lesse Positive in the point + In Colleg. Misc. quast. than Amesius; Hoc non est crude disp. 1. in asserere, ut lapis se babet ad car-Corollar, mi. nem, sic irregenitus ad regenitum. bi. 410. The summe of all is this; The Synod alleages, that (however, they state the Question) they teach that Regenerating Grace dothnot work upon men, as if they were stocks and stones. The Remonstrants on the other side acknowledge a vast difference in respect of the Subjects; a man is not a stock or a stone, nor a stock or a stone a man; one hath fense and reason which the other hath not; and therefore no wonder if they do not Act alike: But withall, they say, if the Conversion or Regeneration of man be wrought after fuch a manner as is taught by the Synod, they can see no difference in the Manner and efficacy of the operation +, from that, whereby God should of Si homo mi nime liberi stones raise up children unto Abraarbitrii fi. ham; For what higher expressilius est, atq; ons could stocks and stones thus ideo non porest, diversa eligere, sed necessario semper manet quod est, quasi lignum vel lapis in seipso subsister naturæ vinculis non arbitris libertate unitus. Cyr. Alex. Thesaur. 116. 3. c. 2.

the world and raiseth up the dead, and it was lo carryed on that when God had done his part, it remained not in our power to be, or not to be, thus transformed into children of Abraham? The case is plain enough. But then there is a Quare, whether this work can properly be called a Duty, and a wife God account it Laudable and remardable in these sons of Transformation; and whether upon any icore of Justice he can impute it for a fault to those other stones, that lye still in the high wayes, untransformed, and adjudge them to an intolerable curse, and subject them to an implacable vengeance (could they be sensible of it) because they are not obedient to such an Almighty operation, though never put forth towards their change. This is the very Question, whether Master Baxter will, or will not understand it. But I shall tell him Saint Austines judgement, who saith thus, Lib.de duab. De quo nesciente, vel resistere non va-Anim, contra Man. c, 10. lente quispiam quidpiam malifece-Cap. 12, ib. rit, juste damnari nullo modo potest. And again, Peccati reum teneri quenquam quia non fecit que facere non potuit , summe iniquitatis

transformed into childrens use, than to say,

God imployed his omnipotent strength in our

transformation; and it was effected in us, but

without us, and that by an operation for migh-

tinesse not inferiour to that whereby God created

iniquitatis est & infania. It is a part of the highest iniquitie and madnesse to hold one guilty, because he hath not done that which he had no power to do. By what is said already we may give judgement of the truth of Master Baxters Interences which follow in these words; [So that you see they deny not Naturall Freewill, which is a Power of Choosing or Resusing, but Morall Freewill, which is a Spirituall Inclination:] This is fairely spoken: But for my part, I am not so quick sighted; I cannot see, but they deny the exercise at least of Naturall Freewill in the work of Mans Conversion, unlesse Non manet in hominis Potestate regenerari vel non regenerari] must lignifie, that man hath a Power of Choosing or Refusing; and if he hath so, then it lyeth in his power to be or not to be, Regenerated; which is rejected by the Synod as † Cap.3, Co 4. Rejett 8. Andbow can an errour t. That they have a Naturall Freewill, which is a Power of Choosing or Refusing in they refuse Naturall or Civil matters, is vean emnipotent operati-an : ry truely granted: but 'tis nothing to the purpose.

But let us follow him, to hear the end of his discourse, which proceeds thus, And so they deny not in the Regenerate the Naturall Power of sinning and resisting grace, (much

rience is such a Consutation of that Doctrine, (should they or you deliver it,) as would admit of no Reply. But why do you kindle such an Ignis Fatnus before your Reader; unlesse it be to blind or dazle his eyes, while you lead him from the Quæstion, that he may take no notice of the pitifull Subterfu-+ The Quegest you are driven to? frion is onely this; Whesher God doth infuse Faith and Repentance, into mans will by a strength and operation irrefistible? You fay, They deny not in the Regenerate the Naturall Power of sinning and resisting grace, (much lesse in the elect unregenerate) (so you told us: what then?) but onely that this Power, or any ill disposition of theirs, shall e-

lesse in the elect unregenerate.] I thank you

for nothing. This is what they dare not de-

ny for shame of the world. Common expe-

Resolution to renew them. This is comfortable newes for all such Elect, if true; but Ma-ster Baxter and the Synod may be mistaken in their Intelligence, and therefore every man that tenders his everlasting safety, had best not depend upon it. The Reasons of this advice are given before. But here the Reader may take notice, that the common sufficient Grace, which Master Baxter puts such a face

ventually frustrate the Grace that comes from a

and they deny it not to the worst that perish, This Grace, I say, is like to do them but a little good; it must needs perish with the Receivers; for it comes not from a Resolution to renew them; And therefore as good never a whit, as never the better for the coming of it. And yet to assure us this sell not from Master Baxters pen unadvisedly, we have as much implyed in the next, which is the fifteenth Section, which shuts up the fourth of Tilenus's Articles. This Section advanceth upon the Reader after this manner; I would at this time onely ask you, whether every fesuite will not confosse that God did from Eternity Foreknow who would Believe and Repent, and who not? When you come to be *Ghostly* Father to men of that Society, you may vouchfafe to put the Question to them your felf, and it is very probable, without the seale of secresie, they will confesse thus much to you. But what then? If so, then whether it be a rationall Conceit, that God in sending Christ to die, and the Word and Spirit to convert men, hath as full a purpose that these shall be effectuall to convert and save them, that he foreknew from Eternity would never be converted or saved, as them that he foreknew would certainly be converted and

upon, (to speak to him in his own language)

faying it brings Christ and life to mans choice,

Maccovius his Stulius foret (Chri-In Gól. de Itus) si finus esset unus pulsandi, ut Præd. difp. intraret. It were a foolish thing 15. p. 49. in Christ, to call them unto Conversion, whom he foreknew would never be converted, if one end of his calling were, that they might be converted. Master Baxter saith not so. He will not speak so broadly: but he asks, whether it be a rationall conceit, &c. 2. The word [effectuall] is equivocall; It may signifie de facto and eventually prevailing and irrefiftible; or it may fignifie fo powerfull an administration as will take effect, if man doth not oppose a new contumacie to check and hinder it. Here we may not admit it in the former, but in the latter sense onely. 3. Master Baxter seems in these words to establish Gods Purpole, as to Conversion and Non-conversion, to Salvation and Non-salvation with the effettuall means thereof upon Gods Foreknowledge; if he doth so, as to his Decrees of Election and Reprobation we should soon agree. But this he doth not. 4. Those words [hath as full a purpose] are ambiguous. Doe you mean as steafast a purpose? I suppose you will not so interpret it; for God doth not use lightnesse in his purposes, which

faved? To which Question I

T. That it is more modestly propounded than

Answer,

that this Purpose is Absolute as to some, but Conditionall to others? or if Conditionall to All, yet that that Condition is intended to be wrought irresistibly in some, but made impossible to the rest? If this be not your meaning, I am not able to divine what it is. It is as farre from my apprehension as Nebuchadnezzars dream was from the notice of the Astrologers. But I will have recourse to some Daniel or other, to whose spirit Master Baxter may be Familiar, and by that means perhaps we may find out the Interpretation. Calvin (in Ezek. 18. 23.) saith, Sed notandum, Deum duplicem personam induere; That God, in calling sinners, puts on a double person. And Donieclock saith, Quantum abest à Dei proposito ac sincerà intentione servare eos, ques per totam vitam ipsorum nunquam vocat, tantum ab ejusdem proposito ac intentione abest, Reprobas, qui vocanour, salvos facere. Ad Script. Anon. Lit. k. 3. And Pifcator saith, Interimen Dei verbo constat, Deum etiam Reprobas aliquos ad salutem vocare, T interim tamen non velle, ut ullus Reproborum salvus fiat, quippe quos omnes immutabili Decreto ad exitium destinavit. Contra Schafm. praf. pag. 7. God calls some of the Reprobates to Salvation, but he wills not that

the Apostle did not like to be

2 Cor. 1.17. thought guilty of. Do you mean

any one of them be faved; because he hath , by an immutable Decree, destin'd them to destruction. And (Thes. 120:) Nempe hoc vis dicere, Deum quod lingua profitetur, idem O velle, At id non semper, nec in omnibus verum est. God doth many times professe one thing with his mouth and intend another. And Thef. 83. Et si Deus non semper vult, quod se velle significat, nequaquam tamen unougloews vitio contaminatur. Though he speaks one thing and wills another, yet is he not defin led with hypecrifie. But according to this Do-Arine, God, though he calls them, he cannot feriously intend or will their Salvation, having Antecedently and immutably willed and decreed their Reprobation. Neither can he feriously will or intend their faith and repentance. For their Reprobation from Faith and Repentance follows unavoidably their Reprobation from Salvation; so that whose salvation God seriously wills not, their faith and repentance he cannot will seriously, lest be should seriously will things contrary and disagreeing. But saith Martinius, with much reason, Quomodo ex Ubi supra. beneficio, sufficiente quidem, at mis hi non destinato per veram intentionem, deducetur nevessitas credendi quod illud ad me pertinear? If the benefit though never so sufficient, beingt really intended and designed for

me, how can a necessity be imposed upon me to believe that it belongs unto me? Master Perkins distinction offers its service to this cause, and tis most ex-De Prædest. † Though it actly consonant to their Dono way saifdrine t; Every man within the fieth Masti-Pale of the Church, saith he, is nius his arbound by the tenour of the Gogument. 'spel, to believe himself redeemed by Christ, whether he be Elect or Repro-"bate; but upon a different account, The E-* lect is bound to believe it, nt credendo electionis particeps fiat; that by believing he "may be made partaker of the benefit of E-"lection: The Reprobate; ut non credendo, fat inexcusabilis, etiam ex intentione Dei; that by not believing he may become inexculable; and this according to Gods intention. And mhis book [De libera Gratia & Isbero hominis Arbitrio, pag. 48. The faith, The commandement of Kaith and Repen-'tance, is, to such as are ordained to eternall 'life, a Precept of Obedience, because God doth inable and confirm them to perform it: To the rest, 'tis a Commandment onely for their triall and conviction; that their fin may be detected, and all occasion of pretense taken away. Thus therefore, when faith is commanded, and yet the gift of Faith, or 'power of Believing] not conferred, Deus

tis, idque in justitia sua, redarguit convincitque; God doth not mock, but in his righ. e teousnesse, he doth reprove and convince men of unbelief. And Maccovius de-Colleg. difp. livers his minde as fully, That 2. pag. 7. G God in Commanding men to come disp.15.pag. unto him (which is to believe in 49. him, and to be converted) though he wills not that they should come, yet he Acterb herein very scriously, because he hath a fourfold end, hereby to be accomplished upon them, (though he doth not propound their obedience for any of them) I. ut explorentur; 2. ut convincaniur; 3. ut exprobret illis impotentiam; 4. ni condemnationem in illis augeat. I. To try them; 2. To convince them; 3. To upbraid them with their impenitency; and 4. To augment their condemnation. By thele Lights I presume we may see to read Master Baxters meaning, and gain the persect sense of his [Rationall conceit] and his as full a Purpose; coc. namely that God hath other designes and ends to serve upon them; and therefore in sending Christ to die, and the word and Spirit to be alministred, he hath no purpose at all that these shall be effe-Etuall to convert and save them, they come not from aresolution to renew them. And this is the summetotall that Master Baxters Pissive

minime ludificatur, sed homines incredulita-

Faculty, and his Sufficient Grace, that brings Christ and life (as he saith) to every mans choice, dowamount unto. 5. God certainly foreknew the Non-conversion of these men, you speak of, to be a sinne of ingratitude and perversenesse, of Contuma. cie and Rebellion, and decreed therefore to damn them for it. And if he did foreknow their sin to be such, then he did also consider them under such a dispensation of meanes, as might possibly render them ingratefull, perverse, contumacious and Rebellions. But if in feeding Christ to die, and the word and Spirit to convert them, he hath no purpose that these shall be effectuall to convert and save them, then these Non-converted cannot be ingratefull, perverse, contumacious, or rebellious. Do you think God in his foreknowledge can look upon men as obstinate and ingratefull towards the tendries of his Grace, unlesse he sees also that such Grace hath been seriously intended and offered to them for their Conversion? If he sends not his Preachers with a serious and gracious intention and purpose to save them, and, in order thereunto, to hinder or recall them from a course of Rebellion and obstinacie, how is it possible his Goodnesse should finde a will to convince them of Rebellion and ingratitude; and not being convicted,

obedientiall Power, and his Naturall Active

what Right can to pure a Justice finde, to proceed against them, in judgement, as persons obstinate and ingratefull, when he never had a serious intention to save them, or to free them from fuch Rebellion and obstinacie? For what ingratitude can there be against a Perfon, that hath no will to do a benefit, but onely a will to seem to do it? What obstinacy can have place against him, that calls not out of a purpose to save, or with an intention to benefit? No man can be ungratefull towards a Cruel Hypocrite No man can be obstinate against an unmercifull Deluder. And is it not a Cruell Hypocrisse and an unmercifull Delusion, to carry a will of seeming to convince those persons of Ingratitude and Obstinacie, for their rejection of Grace and favour, whom we have Antecedently, for some fault of their Ancestors imputed to them, secluded from all the salutary effects and benefits thereof, with a design and purpose, to take advantage of the next plausible pretense to ruine them? Can the odious crimes of ingratitude and obstinacie have place in such a case? The first might with as good reason have condemned our Saviour of Ingratitude and Obstinacy against that invitation to accept their faith and his own deliverance, when, having first nail'd him to it, they, in mockery, cryed out to him, Come down from the Crosse, and we will believe

en thee. What is offered in a lusory way, or onely tendred to render us mexcusable, nothing in the whole world can be more excusable, then to reject it +. We are † Neque enot wont to beat our children but nim ea fingi to commend their ingenuitie, potest bomiwhen in such cases they turn Renes reddere cusants. I hope we will allow Alinexcusabimighty God to be full as equall, if les per verbum og Spinot an infinitely more indulgent ritum voca-Father than man is. tio, quæ 40

tantum fine exhibetur, ut reddat inexcusabiles. Suffrag.

Master Baxter shuts up his discourse upon

Brit. de 3, & 4. Art. thef. 3. pag. 129. par. 2.

most of your most odious inferences fall upon your own Doctrines, if you confesse Gods Fore-knowledge, as well as upon theirs that maintain his Decree of giving effectuall Grace to some. I pray what are those odious inferences you mean, that are drawn from Gods Decree of giving effectuall grace to some? and who are they that have drawn them? name the Persons, and produce the Inferences. Who denies God a liberty to dispense what undeserved favours he pleaseth, and to whom he pleaseth, and in what measure or p oportion he pleaseth? I know no man repines at it. or disserted

putes against it. Hereby, had he pleased so

to Reveal and communicate himself, he had done great benefit to them, and no injury to others.

The odious inferences are drawn, or rather of themselves do sollow, from that which Master Calvin called an Horrible Decree, from your Doctrine of Reprobation; wherein you teach, That God, for the Sin of Adam, de-

nies All Grace that is Sufficient and Necessary to falvation, to the farre greatest part of mankinde; and yet decrees in the very self same Act to torment them for want of it; and that notwithstanding, he invites them with the greatest shews of seriousnesse and earnestnesse, and the highest expressions of Love and indeerement, to embrace it. These Master Baxter are some of the Inferences that strike so deep into the face of Gods justice and sincerity, and that makes them so edious and distassfull; and this is the ground of that practife so frequently taken up by your Party, in their writings, as well as by your self in this place; you throw out, before your Readers eies, the Lure of Effectuall (meaning irresittible) Grace, and infrustrable Perseverance, and infallible Assurance, (the greatest certainty whereof lyeth in the strength, not of your Arguments, but your Confidence) to draw him off from the deep Resentment of

those other Inferences which are really abomi-

But

nable, if not blasphemous.

But, hark you, Master Baxter, one word more before we leave this point. Are you fure that such edious inferences will fall upon our Doctrine, upon the Concession of Gods Foreknowledge, as falls upon yours, upon the Position of such Decrees? Why, according to your Doctrine of Decrees, things are therefore fature, because they are decreed: but according to ours of Foreknowledge, things are therefore foreknown, because they are future. Zanchy saith (as was shewed above) that by the immutable Reprobation there is incumbent upon the Reprobate, a necessity of sinning, and that even unto death, without repentance, and of suffering eternall punishment for it. And Piscator saith, the Rebellion of the Reprobate depends upon the Antecedent, Absolute, and irresistibly Essions will of God; This Immutable Decree with that irresistible means † appointed in order to as executione, doth make an Antecedent + Which are inseparably Caufall Necessity; But the Foretyed together. knowledge of God doth not so, Hence the and therefore the Liberty of mans Divines of will doth very well consist with Wedderau, say, that sin this Foreknowledge, though it comes to paffe cannot with that Decree. Negnaof necessity, quam recte intelligenti hac repnin respect of the Decree, and the good end intended. De cop. 38. 6 4. p ag. 154. par. 2.

gnare videntur, Prascientia quam sequitur necessitas, & libertas arbitrii à qua removeles necessitus: quonium & necesse est quod Deus Prascit, futurum esse, & Deus Prascit aliud aliquid effe, sine omni necessitate, saith Anselmus. That is, Fore- InConcordiac knowledge which inferrs necessity, and Freewill which rejects necessity, are no way repugnans, because both what God foreknows, is necessary to be future, and Godforeknows the futurition of many things to be without any necessity. But you will say, whether God foreknows me to finne or not to finne, it is necessary that I do, according to Gods Foreknowledge, else his toreknowledge should not be infallible, which were abfurd to affirm. To this Anselm answers; You ought not to say, God foreknowes that I will sin, or not sin, but God foreknows that I will fin or not fin without any necessity : and so it sollows, that whether thou sinnest or sinnest not, it will be without necessity: because God foreknows it to be future, without necessity, and so it must be. Gods foreknowledge therefore doth not oppole or take away contingency or liberty from second Causes, but establish them. It doth not presse upon the will a necessity of future Acting: but onely extends its notice to all her future motions, which are free, and it suppofeth them to be such. And therefore al-

ty is not effective, but onely illative. The things fareknown are supposed to have a being before, and not to derive their being from that foreknowledge. Ideo enim Ibid. Anselm. quia ponuntur res esse, dicuntur ex necessitate esse, aut quia ponuntur non esse, affirmantur non esse ex necessitate: non quia necessitas cogat, aut probibeat rem esse, aut non esse. Nam cum dico: si erit, ex necessitate erit, bic sequitur necessitas que rei positionem non pracedit. Idem valet si sic pronuncietur. Quod erit, ex necessitate erit. Non enim aliad significat hic nisi quia quod erit, non poterit simulnon esse; thus Anselm. Whereby it appears that Gods Foreknowledge doth suppose the operation of the will, as suture, and therefore the necessary arising from thence is but a Consequent Necessity. He saith the same of Predestination upon Fore-Ibid. knowledge, Quedam Prescita & Pradestinata non eveniunt e à necessitate, que precedit rem & facit, sed e à que rem sequitur. Some things Foreknown and Predestinated, do not come to passe by that Necessity, which Pracedes the thing and is the canse of it, but by that which doth follow it. I referre the Reader for his satisfaction in this Particular, to Doctor Hammond [Of Fun-

though the futurition of things be necessary

upon Gods Foreknowledge; yet that Necessi-

damentals; pag, 160,161.] but for M. Baxter i'le commend him to writings, that are of more Authority with him. In his Treatise of Conversion, he saith, [What if I could foresell, from the obstinate wickednesse of such a thief, or such a drunkard, that he will never be cured: Is it long of me because I foreknew is? What if the Prophet foretells Hazael what cruelty he shall commit on the children of Israel, is the Prophet therefore the cause of it? And in his sermon of Judgement, he faith, [Must God either be ignorant of what you will do, Excuse 26. or else be the cause of it? If you foreknow that the Sunne will rise to morrow, that doth not cause it to rise. If you foreknow that one man will murder another, you are not the cause of it by foreknowing it. So is it here. The short is, Gods foreknow. ledge hath no such influence in drawing men on, either to Presumption or desperation; because it makes no such Provision of insuperable or irresistable means, to carry on the work of salvation or damnation respectively, as that Decree is supposed to doe. And thus much in vindication of Tilenus his fourth Article.

Reflexions upon Section XVI. and Article V.

have once received that Grace by Faith, can never fall from it, finally or totally, not-withflanding the most enormous stones they can commit This also saith, he, is in his own abusive language, and not in theirs, whese words concerning falling away are Quod quoad ipso, &c. that is, In regard of themselves (it,) not onely sull easily might,

but doubtieffe would come to passe 1 yet in respect

of God it cannot so fall out; since neither his

Counfelt can be changed, nor his promise faile,

Go.] I. I desire the Reader to take notice,

He sifeb seigned Article of Tilenus is,

Doctrine professes [in his confession of Faith] That he cannot subscribe to soure of their Canons upon this Head of Perseverance. 2. The Synod acknowledgeth that the Faithfull sometimes by Gods inst Permission are carried away into grievous and beinous sins: which the lamentable falls if David, Peter, and others of the Saints, described unto us in the Scripture,

evidently hem. Art. 4.0 Art. 5. They say,

Now, by sach enormous fin, they greatly offend God, incurre the guilt of death, grieve the Holy Spiries, break off the exercise of faith, most grievensly wound the conscience, now and then, for a time lose the sense of Grace; Yet Art. 6. they say, That God who is rich in mercy, according to the unchangeable purpose of election, doth not wholly take away his holy Spirit from (them), no not in their grievous slips, nor suffer them to wander so far, as to fall away from the grace of Adoption, and state of justification. And Art. 8. By Gods free mercy they obtain thus much, that they neither totally fall from Faith and Grace, nor continue to the end in their falls, and perish. Is not this the same Doctrine that Tilenm charge them with, to a very tittle? Where then is the Fistion or abusive language. Did it fall from Tilenus, or Master Baxter? He could not choose but see these clear assertions; for those cited out of the eighth Article, other in his, [Quoad ipsos,] and there was fomething in it, that he flipt over them, and would not direct us to the place quoted by himself. But 'tis usuall with these men willfully to mistake or wave the + The Quetrue state of the Question; and stionis, An vere Fidelis, we have reason to suspect, that it

ad quem in

way. And so it is here; For what is the meaning of [Quoad ipfos indubie steret?] What I That in regard of themselves they would undoubtedly fall away? 'I is impossible. + For [quoad ipsos] take + If his Athem in themselves, and they are postasie cannot up; they are low enough, if not bappen in respect of God, much you consider them without God: lesse in respect of man; for if God will so invincibly pre-ferve him in the faith, man cannot hinder him. Qui jacet in terram, non habet unde cadat. But to make the impertinency of that distinction [Quoad ipsos] more evident, I shall give you an illustration of it in this example. Suppose a man being to play a prize for his life, upon a Stage erected to that purpole; bis friend should come to one, who pretends to understand exactly the strength and stru-Aure of the work, and demand of him, whether his Friend, who is to venture his life upon that Stage, might not possibly fall thorow it; should he return this answer, That in regard of the ponderosity or weight of his own body (which hath a naturall tendency to the Center) he might fall thorow: but in regard of the Arength and stability of the Stage,

is upon design, when menuse such Artifice,

to lead honest Passengers out of the right

† Which by the Synodand repeated, as an turns sensum excellent Save-All, by M. Baxter. compesitumin Why I divide a man from commusensum divinion with Almighty God, and fum. take him off the stage and supports of his Grace, design'd to buttresse and prop him up, and the man is not so much as upon his leggs, he is at least as low as Adam laid him; and then in that capacitie, it is ridiculous to ask, Whither he cannot fall? The Question here is, whether a man, as he is (set actually upon the stage) in the state of Grace, can fall away finally or totally. A clear Categorical Answer might be given in one single syllable, Affirmatively or Negatively; Ay, or No. Let us therefore have the truth uttered roundly and clearly, and away with all equivocations and trifling distinctions, that serve for nothing but to palliate a bad Cause, and amuse the Reader, casting a mist before his eies, that he may take no notice of the abfurdity that follows the opinion we have espoused. But (as Master Baxter goes on) because

made on purpose to support him, it was im-

possible. Would you not think this a very

impertinent and ridiculous distinction, in an-

swer to a serious Question touching a man's

(especially if it were eternall) safety? Yer

such is the distinction f here used

Gods purpose is unchangeable, &c. therefore necessitate consequentiæ at least you must confesse your selves that it follows that the Elect must necessarily persevere; and so there is a Logicall or Morall impossibility of their Apostafie. A consequent Necessity of Perseverance, which is inferred from Gods Foreknowledge of it, we shall not deny you. We know of no inconvenience, much lesse absurdity, that will follow it; because that Necellity doth no way infringe, but suppose the vitall operation of the will freely determining it self, by the assistance of Grace, ab intrinseco, and so makes Perseverance a duty confidered as future, in Gods Foreknowledge: but such a Necessity as follows from an Absolute Decree, and that determining Grace, which flowes from it, we deny; because that Necessity, in order of Nature and Causality, is Antecedent to the operation of the will, and doth, according to your Doctrine, irrefiftibly effect it; and so turns Perseverance into an Absolute free Gift of God in stead of being a free duty of man. And tis this you contend for, and not the other onely; for in your Account of Perseverance Peg. 36.37. youlay, I. We must distinguish between an Impossibility in te and extra rem, or à causis intrinsecis, or à causis extrinsecis or else accidentall. It is possible, you

is impossible that it spould be totally and finalty lost, if you also respect the extrinsick causes: And this both per impossibilitatem Consequentiæ; becamse it is not possible that these propositions should be both at once true. [God willeth absolutely or foreknoweth that Peter will persevere, and Peter will not persevere (And yet this following is reconcileable with the first [it is possible in natura rei for Peter to fall away.] And also 2. Per impositibilitatem Caulæ, First, because God bath not onely Decreed the Perseverance of the Santified, but also the Holy Ghost hath undertaken it as bis speciall charge. Secondly, And the Faithfulnesse of God (as far as I can yet understand) is by his promise ingaged for the Perseverance of all the truely fustified and Santtified Believers. It is not therefore such a Logicall Impossibility of Apostasie, that the Synod and you contend for. But of this Question we shall have occasion to speak more hereafter. In the mean time, let us consider your Interrogatory in the next words; wherein you demand, Will not any Jeluite confesse this, that All that (suppose on foreknowledge) God ele-Eteth to salvation, must necessitate consequentix infullibly be saved? No doubt, they will:

tay, that true grace be lest, if you speak of a

possibility à causis intrinsecis & de natura rei;

that is, the Habit and subject together. But it

foreknowledge, doth suppose the operation of mans will as determined freely of it self, not as begotten or effected of another; And this as it implies no inconvenience, so it breeds no controversie: But you love not to be tied to the true state of the Question, lest you should lose your licence of Sophistry and dawbing, which is here very palpable. In your [Account of Perseverance] Pag. 14. now mentioned, you deliver it as the opinion of the Antients, Je-Suites, Arminians and Lutherans, that they deny an absolute personall Election of men to Faith and Perseverance, and so maintain indefinitely atotak and finall falling from a state of Justification, without excepting such Elect themselves. But a little aster, you adde [Yetnote, that the Jesuits themselves may consesse that the Elect shall none of them finally fall away, but shall all persevere. But that is, because they hold that Election is upon foresight of Perseverance; and so that these Propositions [This man is Elected] and [This man shall not Persevere] are inconfistent as to their trueth. But they do not make Election, or differencing grace, the canse of Faith and Perseverance. This being most undoubtedly true, the Rea-

and some of them much more,] We have told

you already, which you know well enough,

that that Necessity Consequential upon Gods

der must needs conclude, that their Authority is very impertinently alleaged for the justification of yours and the Synods Doctrine.

In your XVII. Section, you tell Tilenus,

Your addition is a perverse insinuation [not-

In your XVII. Section, you tell Tilenus, Your addition is a perverse insinuation notwithstanding the most enormous sins they can commit. How readily ill language flowes from this supercilious froward man! [A perverse infinuation! Why? The Synod doth professe it; as was evidenced above, out of their very Canons; and your selfe acknowledge as much, assoon, as ever you had evaporated your Bilious passion. Is it a persect truth in your mouthes, and [a perverse insinuation when it falls from the pen of Tilenus? Doth his quill stain it more then yours? Why a perverse infinuation? [It seems, you say, to intimate. If it doth but seem to intimate, haply it may not really intimate. But what? [That they may commit as enormous sins as others, (this were a very perverse insinuation indeed, especially if we take in what follows) and yet not fall away: But why have you changed Tilenus his bare affertive [noiwithstanding the most enormous sins they can commit] into a comparative expression [that they may commit as enormous sins as orbers?] Comparisons you know are odious, especially such as are made betwixt your

selves, and such others, as some of your Party are too apt to account Reprobates, for no other Reason, than that they cannot digest your rigid doctrine of Reprobation. But cannot the (once) Faithfull commit as enormous sins as others? What think you of Adultery and Murder? or if they be not enormous enough, then what think you of Of Idolatry, * executions of a mans self and Grc. Perjury, and these repeated over and over to gain belief in the despall of the Son of God? Such fins the Regenerate may fall into. But yet the Synod saith, Cup. 5. Att. they cannot fall into so enormous lins as others; for they cannot commit the sin unto death, or against the Holy Ghost; so as so be altogether for saken of (God), and throw themselves headlong imo everlasting destruction; and therefore they cannot fall away. But is not M. Baxter himselfe guilty of a perverse insinuation here? Do not his words intimate, that, at least, if they commit as enormous sins as others, they do fall away? This must be the meaning of his words, if there be good sense in them. But then his next words containe such a poor Ordinary piece of Sophistry, as every Freshman that hath but looked upon Burgerdicius's Logick, would discover. 'Tis the Fallacy called Ignoratio Elenchi; a mistaking of the

committing grosse sins, they fall into a present ineapacity of Salvation. Tilenus Asserts, [the denyall of a finall and totall falling away,] to be the doctrine of the Synod; Master Baxter seems to conclude against it, but omits the Condition that should make his conclusion a Contradittion to the Assertion; for he tells us, upon their commission of grosse sinnes, they tall into a present incapacity of Salvation; but this doth not contradict the thing in Question, [their finall and totall falling] which the Synod peremptorily denies, just as Titenee hath charged them in this Article; and so Master Baxter professeth in the very next words, which tells us, though the Synod holds, that in committing groffe fins, they fall into a present incapacity of Salvation]; yet (there follows a But which yields the Question (as to matter of Fact, and the proof of this is all that the Ghost of Tilenns pretends here to aim at;) [That God will keep them from such fins as are inconsistent with Habituall Grace. For the trath of which Doctrine, we may take a convenient time to examine it. It shall suffice here, to take notice of the opinion of the Synod, [That such

Question. Observe how his discourse runs,

It seems, saith he, to intimate, that they

may commit as enormous sins as other's, and yet

not fall away: when the Synod holds that in

as are Habitually Gracious may be uncapable of salvation. And yet, such is the superabundant favour extended to them more than others, They are, 1. Elected Irrespettively, 2. Converted Irresistibly, and 3. Conducted insuperably and infallibly to their eternall Salvation. Hereupon, They do affirm concerning these Elect; I. That it implyes a Contradiction, that they should M. Norton's live after the flesh; Becamse the Orthodox E-Decree consists not of the end withvangelist. p. out the means, nor of the means 79, 80. and without the end, but of both toge-83. and so M. Baxter ther: Both end and means are conin bis Call, tained in one Decree. Yea so far Gc. in the is the Decree from admitting such Bref. Gods an inference, as that the contrary Decrees feparate not infallibly followeth thereupon: and the end and in point of Election, is not onely nemeans, but cessarily concluded, but irresistibly tie them tocansed. Faith, Repentance, Newgether. Lit. c. 3, obedience, and Perseverance, being the effects of Election. Thus Master Norton. But because common experience is too clear a confutation of their impeccability, therefore 2. They say, It is onely out of Ignorance and Infirmity that they AA. Synod. do sinne: Etsi regeniti aliquando Dor.par.3.p. ex infirmitate labuntur. So Lub-227. berius de 5. Air. And the Deputies of the Sy-

nod of Groningen to the same pur-Ibid. pag. pose; Pii autem cum peccant, sit 283, m. mon tantum, idque sape, ex ignorantia. Psal. 19. 13. 1 Tim. 1. 13. Seditiim, idque imprimis, ex infirmitate aut interna, ant ext rna; When the Godly sin, it is not onely, and that often, out of ignorance; but also, and that especially, out of infirmuy, either inward or outward. And so the Deputies of the Synod of Gallo Belgia. De quinto Art. Thes. 3. pag. 290. And because it is evident they Cap. 5. A11. may, and many times do fall into 4. 6 5. grievous and hainous and enormous sins; Therefore, to alleviate the matter, they say, 3. (as Master Baxter here Sect. 18.) That these sins of theirs are exceedingly in regard of manner, ends, concomitants, Gc. different from the like Facts in a gracelesse man. This Master Baxter haply learned from the Divines of Embden; who tell us (in their Theses upon the fifth Article, 7h. 15. 16.) However an Elect man falls, he doth not fin, With all his soul*, all his minde, But the and a full will, Ergo si peccara more lighter que ab Electis admitiuniur, respirenitency of cimus, sunt quod ad pecum atticonscience a net, peccaius reproborum paria: in man fins against, the modo vero, quo id peccandum indugreater is his cuntur, plane imparia. Ihough franc. the

their sins come to passe through Gods destitution of the Elect, from the withdrawing of his Grace and leaving them to themselves and their own weaknesses. To this purpose D. Damman (one of the Scribes De Perseus of the Synod) saith (as was shewpag. 6. ed above) Regenerati non possunt omittere prastationem e us quod ab illis postulatur, modo Deus illis prastet quod promisit. And afterwards, Quando Deus partibus suis defungitur, nos nostras omittere non possumus; That is, The Regenerate cannot omit the performance of what is required of them, if so be God performs what he hath promised to them. And, When God doth his part, we cannot omit ours. And the Synod implyes as much in the four first Articles, upon the Head Of Perseverance]; For, Art. 1. They say, Though God frees them, even in this life, from the Dominion and slavery of sin, yet not altogether from the flesh and body of sin. And Artic. 2. They fay, From hence (viz. because God frees them not from the body of sinne) arise in holy men, daily fins of infirmity, and even their best works have their blemishes. And Arr.

the fins of the Elect and Reprobate bealike

for kinde; yet are they very unlike for the

manner of perpetration. If this be not a suf-

ficient extenuation, to reconcile them to the

state of Grace, then they say, 4. That

of sins dwelling in them, and besides this, the temptations of the world and Satan, they, which are converted, could not continue in the state of Grace, if they were left to their own strength: And Art. 4. They say, They are not always so led and moved by God, as to be preserved from the seducements of Concupiscence, but by his just permission are carried away into grievous and hemous sins. So that, according to this Doctrine, their failings are not so much their sin, as Gods desiciencie in asfording Grace sufficient and necessary to preserve them from it. If it be alleaged, that they say in the Article last mentioned, that it is through their own fault that they are sedaced by the concupi- Ibid. Art.4. scence of the flesh, and give way unto the same; It must be remembred, that they make it fin whatfoever a man commits or omits against the Law of God, though such omission or commission be of unavaidable necessity, through want of Divine grace to mable them to perform or omit it; because they received a power in Adam to do otherwise. And it is in this sense onely that fuch omissions or commissions of the Regenes rate are called fins. For I argue thus; Either God hath a will, by his Grace, to hinder their fin, or he hath not. If he hath a will

Art. 3. They lay, By reason of these reliques

his Grace; which is contrary to their Doctrine, in the Eighth Rejection of the third and fourth Chapters, and fundry others of their Writings † . But if God hath not a will to hinder their sinne, + V. Relique judicia Zuytthen, the reines being let loofe to Holland ; ad the flesh in them, through Gods finem, A&. permission, how can they choose Syn. Dord. but finne? and how can they be UIZ. P. 292. said to grieve the Spirit of God, par. 3. who will not preserve them from finning? But, (to go on,) because though in the Actions that are finfull, Godbe the Author of the Act wholly, (as Ma-Ibi supra pe ster Norton hath it,) and the 63. Fore-determiner, Orderer and Governour of the sinfulnesse of the Action to his own glorious and blessed End, yet the Regenerate, that commit such Actions, are guilty of the defect and enormitie that is in them; Therefore in the fifth place, to extenuate, or extinguish rather, the heinousnesse hereof, they say, these sinnes of theirs have the nature of fatherly Castigations, and are de-AB. Synod. figned to work for their good. So Dor par. 3. the Divines of Den in their Exa Pag. 2,5. amen, upon the fifth Article, where

to hinder sinne in them, and administers his

grace to that purpose, and sin be not eventu-

ally hindred, then they do insuperably resist

for their advantage, they produce that of the Apostle, Rom. 8.28. All things work together for good to them that love God. Whence they inferre thus; If all the evils wherewith they are chastised; then their very sins also; Quæ peccata, quemadmodum in impiis, interdum habent rationem poonæ: sic etiam ipsisima peccata, etiam in fidelibus, habent rationem paternæ castigationis; Which fins, as in the micked, they bave sometimes the nature of punishment: so the very self same sins also, in the faithfull, have the nature of Eatherly correction. Yea and these sins of theirs are illustrations and confirmations of their Grace. So the British Divines (Dequinto AA. Synod. Articulo.) Tantum abest, lay Dor. par. 2. they, ut etiam gravia illa carnis pag. 202. f. peccata fidelem a statu justificationis adoptionisque semper deturbent, ut contra à Theologis, presertim practicis statuatur, Deum eadem sape in sustificatios & adoptatio permittere, ut confirmatior postea six ipsis cum ustificatio tum adoptio; Their most grievous sins are so far from disturbing the justification and adoption of the faithfull, that practicall Divines especially, do resolve, that God doth often permit such sins in them, that their justification and adeption may be the more confirmed to them. If, notwithstanding the good service their foule

to prove that the sins of the Regenerate are

full should be so tender hearted as to be affraid of them; They affure them, 6. That there is no fach reason; For they cannot die in their fins; so the Deputies of the Synod of Groningen, Non tamen Vbi. supra. manent in peccatis, sed aut externe per castigationes Dei & admonitiones, aut imerne per Spiritus Sanctus gratiamencitati O'mote resipiscant & resurgant. They do not remain in their sins, but being stirred up and moved, either outwardly by Gods admonitions and chastifements, or inwardly by the grace of the Holy Spirit, they do repent and arise. And for the whole Synod, (in the 7. Artic. of Cap. 5.) In these slips, God preserveth in them that his immortall seed (by which they were ence borne again) that it die not, nor be lost by them: afterward, by his word and Spirit, he effectually, and certainly reneweth them again unto repentance. But suppose a tender conscience should call for a solid proof of this Doctrine, out of Holy Scripture; and becaule there is none to be produced, should be troubled with doubtings, fears, and jealousies about it? Why, then in the last place Master Baxter himself hath resolof Justif. ved, (at the end of his Discussion Disp. 3. pag. 398. on of Master Tombes his Animadversions,) That, if you can prove

fins is designed to do them, any of the faith-

off before Repentance, and that such a thing will be, I should incline (taith he) to think that he will be fully pardoned at the instant of Death, and so saved; because the Lord knoweth that he repented Habitually and virtually, and would have done it Altually, if he had had time for consideration. But Quo warranto is

all this spoken? For my part, I shall ever

think it my duty, to admonish my Reader, to

remember the terrour of the evil day, and to

take heed strictly that he falls not under the

And thus much shall suffice in return to Ma-

* Luk. 21.

34,35.

Arrest of it at unawares *; for it

is a fearfull thing to fall into the

hands of the everliving God.

Put we have another task behind; For though we have cleared the Field before us, and seem to have given a total defeat

to all Master Baxters Presentions in behalf of

the Synod of Dort; yet he hath a Reserve

behind, which he leads up to fall on the Reer

of Master Pierce; and if he can, with the

strength of that, charge thorow His forces,

renew his charge upon Tilenus allo. Before we disband therefore, we will advance to find out that Reserve, and fall upon it, that it may not be able to annoy us, when we are retired to repose in our Winter Quarters. This Reserve I find in his 37. Section. And with it, he makes his Charge and Onset upon Master Pierce after this manner: And for them (whom he styles the chaifest of Gods servants) and the Synod of Dort, I may well challenge that Justice from you, as to impute. no such opinions to them which they purposely disown, and publickly professe to detest.] Master Baxters demand seems very Reasonable; if there be not some ambiguitie or equivocation in those words [purposely disown and publickly professe to detest. For what saith the Apostle of some in his time, Tit. I. last: They professe they know God; but in works they deny him. Men may professe publickly to detest what they beartily affect; and purposely disown what they like and approve of well enough in it felf: but because they see it grows scandalous and unfavoury to a multitlide of Judicious Godly men, and not well to be defended without further scandall; therefore for shame of the world, they may publickly professe to detest and disown it for this purpose. And whether it were not so

he may take the confidence to proceed and to

judge by examining each particular here mentioned by M. Baxier; The first whereof is, That the most bein us lins do not hinder the salvation of the Elect, however they live; Doth the Synod cordially detest and judiciously disown this Doctrine? You heard above what was the opinion of Matter Perkins and others, that mille peccata, a thousand sinnes, nay the fins of the whole world, nay all the Devits in hell, were not able to make void Gods Election. Is it not the General Do-Arine of the whole Synod, as well as the Suffrage of the Divines of the Pala-+ De Arric. tivatet, Electio ad Salutem imprimo prop. mutabilis est: nec defectibus aut lapfibus electorum etiam gravioribus interrumpitur, aut abrumpitur; That Election unto Salvation is immutable: and that it is neither broken nor interrupted by their failings or most grievous falls? Do not the Divines of Drent say, that the fins of the Elect cooperate to their benefit; and the Divines of Great Britain af- Ubi supra. firm, (as you heard even now) that their fins are so farre from interrupting or disturbing the justification and adoption of the Faithfull, that Vbi supra. they serve the more to confirm

with the Synod in what they disown, and pro-

fesse publickly to detest, we shall the better

ing Gods decree, may perish, and for ever do perish. This is their avowed Canonicall Doctrine, yet (as if some men of another mind had drawn up this Conclusion of those Dgcrees and Canons) here (for what purpose the Reader may gather by what hath been already hinted to him) they publickly professe to detest this opinion + [that + This Ridthe most beinous sins do not hinder dle may be, the salvation of the Elect, however and is to be they live. read, by the explicationof the next bere following. And they do no lesse detest the next opiniopinion; That the Reprobate cannoe be saved, though they truly perform all the works of the Saints; But did Marlorat detelt In Job. 15.2 this opinion, when he saith, Stat igitur firma sententia, quemcanque Deus ante conditum orbem elegerit, eum non posse perire: quem vero rejecerit, eum non posse salvari, etiamsi omnia Sanctorum opera secerit. Usque adeo irretractabilis est sententia.

Whom God hath elected, he cannot perish:

whom he hath rejected, he cannot be saved,

them. And the whole Synod in their Sixth

Rejection of the first Chapter, do Reject it

as a grosse Errour in them, who teach, That

not all Election unto Salvation is unchangeable,

but that some which are Elected, notwithstand-

the Acts of the Synod, we finde Paril. pag. 290. f. this of Doctor Molin; Reprobos posse salvari, dogma est Arminia-7 has (fuch num, Christianis auribus insolens. as they define to be) Reprobates may be saved is an Arminian Dostrine, unw-Vid.ib. per. fuall to Christian eares. And do 24.24 thef. they not all conclude, that the De-4. & pag. 35. thes. 3. cree of Reprobation is eternall and immutable, so that the Recum RejeA. probate can never become Elest? And yet these men prosesse publickly to detest this Doctrine, [That the Reprobate cannot be saved, though they truly perform all the works of the Saints. How shall we read these Riddles? Plegive you Ma-Ubi supra p. ster Nortons explication of them, 78, 79. (as before). The Scripture, saith he, holds forth the certain truth of the Connexion of the Antecedent and Consequent, when yet both the Antecedent and Consequent taken apart are false. That is, it bolds forth a truth in the Connexion of both the parts of such a proposition; in either of whose parts, considered in themselves, there is no truth. For example, to our purpole; saith he; If Judas believes, he shall be saved; If John believes not, he shall

though he should perform all the good works

of the Saints, The sentence past from all e-

ternity is so irrevocable? And amongst

be damned. There is a truth in the Connexion of the Antecedent, and Consequent of both; though the Antecedent and Consequent of both, considered by themselves, are false. For though it be true, if Judas believe, he shall be laved; and if John believes not, he shall be damned: yet is it not true, (no nor possible, for you say a little after, that it implyes a contradiction) either that Judas shall believe, or that Indas shall be saved; or that John shall not believe, or that John shall be damned. God having Decreed the end, by the Conjunction of the end and means together. Thus Master Norton hath made the Doctrine of the Synod as clear as the Sun in these particulars. We see then what it is that the Synod doth so purposely disown, and professe publickly to detest; The deniall of the truth of a Logicall connexion of the parts of a Proposition. Now I would fain have M. Baxter, in the highest Paroxysme of his zeal, to preach this Doctrine (if he be confident 'tis a truth) fully and wholly; Let him tell his Auditors at Kederminster; that they are all most certainly and immutably inrolled under a twofold Re. giment; one is the black Regiment of Reprobates; the other is the white Regiment of the Elect; and let him use all his Rhetorick to persuade the one unto Faith and Repentance, and to diffuade the other from presumption.

this Connexion of Antecedent and Confe-'quent, If you Repent and Believe you shall be saved: though indeed take the parts of the Proposition asunder, and they are both falle; for the plain truth is, you shall neither believe, nor yet be saved; for you are under the Decree of Reprobation; and Impenitencie and Infidelity are the means appointed for the execution of that Decree; and Almighty God hath decreed the accomplishment of the End, by the Conjunction of the end and means together +, fo that the means can as little be declined, + For Gods Decrees, you as the end avoided, and both are must know no more possible, then it is for the that they seimmutable Decree of God to be parate net rescinded; yet seeing there is a the end, and means, but Logicall truth in the Connexion of tie them to-Antecedent and Consequent, If gether. So you repent, you shall be saved M. Baxier (though tis decreed that you shall in his Call to the Non-con. neither do the one, nor be the overted, in ther) I beseech you bring for ib Pref. c. 3. fruits meet for Repentance, and cast away your transgressions, and so iniquity shall not be your ruine. Is not here a fair incouragement of sinners to Repentance? The

He cannot make choice of more probable Ar-

guments to prevail with them, than by tell-

ing the first, Certainly there is a Truth in

own judgement to make the Inference. A third opinion, which the Synod doth purposely disown, and publickly professe to detest, is, [That God by his own mere will, without any respect at all to sin, or sight of it, did predestinate and create, the most of the world to damnation; But here the Re-+ In Antid, monstrants + have detected the e-14.37 Orc. gregious Artifice of the Synod, to palliate the enormity of their Doctrine. For observe, they do not deny, much lesse reject it as an Errour, that troubled the Belgick Churches, [That God by his own mere will, without any respect at all to sin, or sight of it, did Pass-by, or Predestinate and create the most part of the world to destruction. Ter this is the avowed Doctrine of all the Supralapfarians, and 'tis inserted amongst the Acts of the Synod, and earnestly contended for by Gomarus, as was declared above, and his Suffrage is given in by himself Wid, ib. p. 34. (diffenting herein from the rest of + AA. Syn. his Colleagues) accordingly in Dord.par 3, these words †, Reprobatio perempag, 24.1bef. ptoria est decretum Dei, quo, pro voluntate sua liberrima, ad declarationem ju-

like might be faid, for dissuading men from

Presumption, upon the account of their Do-

Arine, touching the Decree of Election; but

I am already weary of these grosse absurdi-

ties; and therefore I leave it to the Readers

God determined to give neither Grace nor Glory to certain men, out of all mankind (not yet fallen): but to suffer them freely to fall into sin, and to beave them in their sins, and at last to condemn them for their sinnes, and this for his own most free will, (and + Vid. ibid. pleasure +), to the declaration of P. 21.4.172. his vindective Justice. And Gomarus was not alone of this Judgement: for we find the Deputies of the Synod of South-Holland speaking the same sense with him, in their Suffrage upon Ibid pag. 33. Gc. the same Article; for they say, God did, Certas quisdam singulares Personas ---ex toto genere humano seligere, select out of all mankind (not fallen into sin, as others expresse themselves, but simply considered) certain singular persons. And after, they say, Deum in eligendo omnes homines considerasse in pairistain: That Pag. 34. God in his Decree considered all men in a like condition; using the word [Paritie in an equivecall sense; for it may either be referred to the state of man before

stitia sua vindicantis, certos ex humano gene-

re (simpliciter, non autem lapso) homines, nec

gratia nec gloria donare, sed in peccatum libe-

re prolabi permittere & in peccatis relinquere,

justeque tandem propier peccata condemnare

constituit. By the Decree of Reprobation

Scribe of the Synod at Dort, Baltafar Lydia us, Gisbertus Voetius, &c. But these very Supra-lapfarians, of what fort foever, will Subscribe to this, That God did not Predestinate men to damnation, without any respect to fin. They blind their opinion with a distinction betwixt Reprobation and Pradamnation, or Predestination to damnation. For they say, it is one thing to Predestinate and create to damnation, another thing to Præs destinate and create to destruction. Damnation, being the sentence of a Judge, must be past in consideration of sin: but Destruction may be the Act of a Soveraign, and so inflicted by Right of Dominion, as was shewed above. To this purpose, those Ibid. pag. Deputies, De Cansa Reprobationis, 35. m. do conclude, Causam adequatam cur Deus aliquos non eligendo Praterierit, esse solum divina voluntatis beneplacitum: That the Adaquate cause why God doth passe-by some, is the sole beneplaciture of his Divine will: Causam vero cur cosdem damnare decreverit, esse non tantum actualem oblata gratia divina rejectionem, sed etiam alia omnia peccata, tam Originalia, quam actualia: But the cause, why he decreed to condemn them, is not

the fall, or under it. The chief members of

this Synod of South-Holland, were Henri-

cus Arnoldi President there, Festus Hommius

onely the rejection of the divine grace, but also all other sinnes, as well the Originali, as
Actuall.

Besides, the Synod in those their Decrees,
where they thought it most plansible, to fix
Predestination upon the fall of Adam, they

confesse God did not reprobate the most part of the world without all respect of sin; because they suppose all mankind infested with that corruption and stain of Originals sin, in and with Adam, and God cannot but behold it, because nothing is conceased from his eye: but they never confesse that God had respect to sin as the impulsive or Meritorious cause,

the torments of hell. For they say, if God had been moved by sin, to passe the Ast of Reprobation, He had reprobated All without exception; because All had sinned in Adam.

Again when they say, God did not do this, without respect of ANY sinne, they

for which he did reprobate and ordain any to

this, without respect of ANY sinne, they confesse, it may be granted, that he had some respect, to some kind of sin, to that of Adam, committed more then sive thousand years agoe, without the consent or knowledge of those, who are reprobated, and to that Originals sin, that doth follow from that sirst sin, by unavoidable necessity: but they

do not say he had respect to any Personall

no respect to any such personall sins, (Infidelity and Impenitency,) unlesse it were for the introduction of them, by an efficacious permission, as means connected with the end, in the same Decree, for the infrustrable execution of it. And therefore the Deputies, Ubi supra. forementioned, do reject it as an Errour, in those, that hold, Cansam cur Deus aliquos rejecerit esse infidelitatem & impænisentiam prævisam. That impenitencie and unbelief are the cause, why God rejects men. And the very Decrees of the Synod affirm as much; For (Cap. 1. Reject. 8.) they Reject it as an Errour in those, who teach, that God out of his mere just will hath not decreed to leave any man in the fall of Adam, and common state of sin and demnation But suppose the Synod did grant (as their very nice and wary distinction, [absque emni ullius Pecçati respectu makes it more than Probable, they did not) that God in mans Reprobation had some respect to his Actuall Personall sin, yet if that sin be such as those Reprobates could not possibly avoid, the whole matter will be reduced at last, to the respect of that onely sinne of Adam. And

sin or sins, committed freely and with a deli-

berate will, of those who are reprobated; I

say, according to their Doctrine, God had

toward to all good tending so salvation, forward to evil, dead in fins, shaves to sin; and neither Will nor Can (without the Grace of the Holy Ghost regenerating them) set straight their own crooked nature, no nor so much as dispose themselves to the amending of it. So that if the Synod had granted a respect of personall fins in the Reprobation of men, yet they had understood no other sins than such as had been unavoidable to those Reprobates; For they fay, those Reprobates want the Grace of Gods regenerating Spirit, that they may avoid sin; and they say also God hath Decreed not to give it them; whence it follows, that they cannot possibly avoid those fins; but, through the strength of that first fin and corruption, which they lie under, when they are commanded, by the word of the Gospel, to repent and believe, will they, nill they, they shall fall into those foul sins, of Infidelity, disobedience, impenitency, and the like, as necestarily, as a mill-stone falls downward by its own weight, for which inevitable fins notwithstanding, they should be said, to be praordained to the eternall and horrible torments of hell. And then, if God ordained the sin of Adam, and made that necessary and una-

thus the Synod hath determined,

That All men are conceived in fin,

and born the children of wrath, un-

€4.3,69 4. A11.3.

verre (and the Synod hath no G ordinariwhere rejected it, that I can reone necessarid lapfum member) the Reprobation of the ese. * Deum Amost part of the world will be reduced undeniably to the mere damo legem dedisse ut ewill of God, what ever publick am transgre-Profession they have made to dederetur, &cc. test it. Sententia Petkingi nostrorumque Theologorum hac est, lepsum illum evenisse Dei voluntate transeunte in rem permissam, h. e. Deum voluisse, ut Adamus Laberetur. D. Twise. in vind. Grat. L. 2, p. 1. Sed. 2. c. 12, vigr. 3. p. 142. col. 2. A fourth Doctrine, which the Synod doth purposely disown, and publickly professe to detest, is, That Reprobation is the cause of Infidelity and Impiety, in the same manner, as Election is the fountain and cause of Faith and Piery. That sin follows the Decree of Reprobation by an unavoidable necessity, is the expresse affirmation not onely of Piscator, Zanchy, &c. But of many Synodists also; Reprobationem tria consequentur, privatio gratia, peccata, & pæna peccatorum; Difp.dePrasaith Gomarus, There are three deft. Rejp. things which follow Reprobati-Oiten. on, the deniall of Grace, Sinne, and the Punishment of Sin. And that they

voidable too, as Daneus t and Pis-

cator and others do positively a-

† Adamum

Dei constlio

+ Thefaur. Reprobationis sunt desertio vel Catech. pag. privatio gratiæ Dei & mediorum, 216. induratio, &c. The fruits of Reprobation are desertion or the deprivation of Gods grace and means (sufficient and necessa-Divines of ty) induration, &c. And the Wedderau do confesse, that a ne-De 3, & 4. Art.in Corol. cessity of sin doth follow from the Decree of Reprobation. And this p.134.par.2 is the Doctrine of the whole Synod in their Canons: for they say man cannot but sin, without Gods regenerating Grace, which he hath Decreed to deny or deprive them of; as was shewed above. Even Master Baxter himself, doth acknowledge and professe that the Decree doth tie the End and Means together; and what is the Means of Damnation, but Infidelity and Impenitency &c. as he tells us from the Synod, in the seventh Section of his Preface. There is a necessity therefore of these sins in the Re-Loquinur de adultie voprobate † else he should not perish, as such an insidel and impecatis. penitent. Whence is this necessity? not from the nature or will of the creature; therefore from some Act of God; and what is this Act of God, but that Reprobation, whereby he denies unto the Reprobate

do follow it as the fruits of it, is the affirma-

tion of Festus Hommius t. Frudus

Grace sufficient and necessary unto Faith and Repentance; and then his Law, whereby he requires the performance of those duties, which without that Grace are not performable? But saith the Synod, Reprobation is not the cause of Insidelity and impiety, in the same manner, as Election is the fountain and cause of Faith and piety. But whatever fallecy there be in those words [in the same manner,] certainly, according to their Doctrine, Infidelity and Impiety do flow by as inevitable a necessity from the one Decree, as Faith and Piety doth from the other; so that it Vid. Antiis no leffe impossible † for those dotump, 47, who are Reprobated to believe Luod ati-qui in tempoand repent, than it is for those who, are Elected to remain imperesided Des nitent and unbelievers. Comraridoneniur, aorum eadem ratio, eadem scientia liquinon dopantur, id ab est, say the Divines of the Palatiaterno ipfices nate*. Exiisigitur, que de Eledecreto pro-Etiane supra diéta sunt, de apposita venit. Syn. Reprobatione, ejufque descriptione, Der. cel. I. ATT. C. quid statuendum videatur, band difficile est pronunciare. Reproba-* De Repro. tion then is no sesse the fountain prepos. 1.p. of Infidelity and Implety, than E-19. PAT-2. lection is the fountain of Faith and Piety. If we lift to cavill about the word [Canses] (which is here made use of

ept expression, to say Election is the Canse of Faith; For Election in an immanent Act in the minde of God, not an Egression out of him, that produceth any effect in man, though Faith doth infallibly follow that AC, by the emanation of another power, which God, according to the Decree of Election, will exercise, to the irresistible production of Faith. And thus it is acknowledged by Pijcator, that, although the Decree of Reprobation be not effective, in respect of insidelity in the Reprobate, because it doth not properly effect or produce that infidelity; yet it is efficax efficacious, Antidot. p. 48. because that Decree being made, infidelity follows of necessity, For example. Suppose a man blind by nature, or made blinde by the infliction of punishment upon him for some crime; He that commands such a man upon pain of death to read a Protlama. tion, though to speak properly he cannot be said to be the canse, that that man reades not the Proclamation; for his blindnesse is the next and proper cause hereof; yet in sense of Law, and to speak Morally, he may be said to be the Cause, that by not reading, that blinde man becomes defective, as it were, in a duty injoyn'd him and so guilty of death;

to impose upon the unwary Reader,) we could tell them, that tis an improper and in-

not by way of efficiencie, as producing the defect of reading in him, but by commanding that Reading to whom it is impossible to read, in whom therefore after that command, the defect of Reading cannot but follow. After the same manner, according to their Do-Arine, God deals by the Reprobates: first for the transgression of Adam they are punished with blindenesse of minde in things spirituall; so that 'tis no lesse impossible for them to believe, when God commands it, than for a blind man to read a proclamation. And yet notwithstanding they are thus punished with spirituals blindnesse, God commands them to believe under pain of eternall death. Which when God doth, he doth not, indeed, by way of efficiency produce infidelity and impenitency in them, but by his command God is the Cause, or brings it to passe, that they become as it were unbelievers and impenitent; because it is impossible, on the one part, that they should become unbelievers, unlesse the command of Faith doth intervene, and on the other part, the command of Faith being given, they cannot, in regard of that innate pravitie and blindnesse, but be and remain unbelievers. And this is the means, which (for all their Profest dete-Station) is tied to the End, (by the Decree of Reprobation) in order to the execution

of the said Decree, by the Damnation of the Reprobates. Another Doctrine, which, saith M. Baxter, the Synod doth purpofely difown, and publickly profife to detest is, That many harmlesse Infants of Believers are snatch't from the mothers breafts, and tyrannically cast into Hell, so that neither Buptisme; nor the Churches prayers in Buptifme can profit them. That many Infants of Believers are cast into Hell, notwithstanding the Prayers of the Church, and the Sacrament of Baptilm administred, (according to Christs institution and command) for their Salvation, is the expresse Doctrine of Calvin, Beza, Zuinglius, Martyr, Zanchy, Piscator, Paraus, Perkins, &c. For the Infants of unbelievers, it is the Doctrine of Gomarus and the Divines of Drent, exprelly, that they are Reprobates. Gomer. All. Synod. Dor. par . 3. de Reprob. th. 7 & Judic. Drent. pag. 24. **5** pag. 83. circa. 1. Ari. thef. 18. For the Infants of Believers dying in their Infancy, whether the Decree of Reprobation layeth hold on them and makes them liable to damnation, the Divines of Ibid. pag.36 South Hollands judgement is, Nonesse ouriose inquirendum, we ought De primo Arnot to be curious in inquiring after ticulo, ubi it; and the British Divines say, Ad supra par. 2.

rationem electionis divina five po-

nendam

p.10.thef.z.

nendam sive collendam, circumstantia acatis est quiddam impertinens, & nihil provins operatur. The circumstance of age is a thing altogether impercinent, and works nothing touching the Decree of Election or Reproduction. Their meaning is plain enough, and 'tis confonant, no doubt, to the sense of the whole Synod. We may therefore observe a twofold Fallacy in the Proposition, which they publickly professe to detest, I. In the word See the An-tidotum cap. [Innoxios] harmelesse Infants; For the truth is, they acknow-4.6° 5. pag. 52.6°c. ledge none fuch, every Infant of a span long, from its first Conception being guilty of Adams fin : # AA. Syfor which it is justly liable to nod. Der. condemnation; and for that fin many are damned *; as is delivered in Reject, & Cap. 1. Another Fallacy is in the word [Tyramice] tyrannically, cast into Hell: For when God doth Reprobate such Infants, and cast them into Hell, he doth not do it, they say, after the manner of a Tyrant, who is bound by some certain Law, the transgression whereof is Tyranny: but he doth it juve dominii, as an Absolute Lord, whole Soveraignty is without Law or controll, and therefore he may dispose of them at his pleasure. That this is their sense (notwithstanding what they publickly profeffe

tion (as they call it) they alleage that of the Apostle, Rom. 9. 20. O man who art thou, that replyest against God? And that of our Saviour, Mar. 20. 15. Is it not Lamfull for me to downat I mill with my own? Texts of Scripture which the Creabilitarian-Supralapsarians, as well as the Existentialists make use of for proof of their Decrees; and they are just as much to their purpose, that is, altogether impersions to the use those severall Parties do make of them. Amongst those Doctrines which the Synod doth purposely disown, and publickly professe ta detest, there is another, which I wonder Master Baxter hath omitted; which is this, That this Doltrine of the Calvinists maketh God the Author of fine But perhaps he bath smelt out the Fallacy express in the Fifth Article of the first Chapter; where they say, Incredulitatis istens, ut & omnium aliorum peccatorum, causa seu culpa neutiquem est in Deo, sed in homine. The cause or fault of unbelief, as of all other sins, is in no wife, in God, but in man. Here are two wordsmade use of, as of the same importance, [Causa 616

fesse to detest) may easily be collected, from

the 18, Art. of the 1. Chep. Of Predesti-

nation) where to stop the mouthes (as they preced) of such as murmur at the grace of

free Election, and severity of just Reproba-

state and constraine men to fin, nay, worketh fin in them; yet shall they be excused from prevaricating the Doctrine of the Synod; for though to ipeak properly God be the cause of fin, by fuch manner of working to the production of it, yet Culpa the fault of sin can In no wile be ascribed to him. Zuing lim and Keckerman have given the Reason of it, because there is no law made to bind Almighty God to the contrary, but man onely: For confirmation hereof they adde: Sient Tanrus cum nunc bas, nanc illas vaceas promiscua G vaga Venere init, adulterii culpa non tenetur, sed homo, si cum aliorum uxoribus rem babeat, eo quod huic, non illi, probibens lex lata sit; ita Deus percato sen culpa non tenesur, cum creaturam ad hos Gillos altus movet, sed tantummodo creatura ipsa, quia ei lex probibens lataest, non Deo. I shall not so much as English it for shame. I cannot leave Master Baxter till I have followed him to the very last stage of his Preface, which he shuts up thus, [We should live in peace, if the advise of the Synod (ibid.) were followed, [A Phrasibus denique, iis omnibus abstineant, que prescriptos nobis genuini Sanctarum Scripturarum sensus limites exce-

en culpa Cause or fault, by which, while

many of their Doctors do affirme that God

doth incite and irritate, arge and impell, nece-

bere possint, doctrinam Ecclesiarum Reform tarum sugillandi, aut calumniandi. But the Synod should have done well to have left us an example herein by their own practice. But we find that when the British, Hastien, and Bremish Divines moved to have the harsh and incommodious speeches of some of their Doctors declared against and rejected; they were out-voted and cried down upon this account, Ne Phrasium istarum rejettione Orthodoxa dostrena ab illis Soffien. 1300. asserta & desensa pariter damnıri videreiur. We may see by this it is a great deal easier to give good advise than to follow it. And this appears further by that Admonition of Master Baxter in the next words, And if withall we were humbly Conscious of our own frailing and fallibility, and could maintain that unfeigned charity to our Brethren, which beseemeth all the ciples of Christ, and which would ause . 10 Jay and do by others (even in our Controversall writings and private Speeches of them) as we would have them (ay and do by us. But alas I the Disciples of that Synod, will neither be persuaded to be the first, nor do the last; they will follow none of these Prescriptions; no not † Physician heal thy self. 10 much, as Singular M. Baxter+; witnesse his proceedings

dunt, & protervis sophistis justam ansam pre-

sgainst Tilmu; and his Mence in taking leave of his Dear Brocher, in his last Period. Which Course because he hath not taken, I suppose, by that time he hath read this, and that which is like to follow, he will wish he had taken it, or else been silent.

.

Master

A TOWN

Table of the Decrees Salvation& Damnation Predestination Supralapsarian ral Creabilitarians Creation. Election Supral: Existentialists. The Fall. Sublapfarians Reprobation. Sublapfarians God's hatred to= wards the Reprobate. CHRIST toward the Elec Mediator. Illumina= tion. The Word. Effectuall His Holineße Repentance. Calling Calling No Calling Softning neffectual Obedience. Faith. Ignorance. Faith. Taft. Death. Blindnesse Agnition of that Call hybifica= tions Remission Zeate. Reprobati Senje. Buriall.mputation Dominion of the Grave Deception of Sinne Greedinesse in finni Mortificatio Refurrection Obduration Sanctifi= Vivification Relapse Ascension. cation. Malice. Repentance Session Inbeliefe. New Obedi= Pollution. Inter= Apoftacy. cestion. Glorifi= Damnation. cation. Death. DeathEternall Eternall Judgment., Life. Declaration Declaration of Justice. of Mercie, GOD's Delineated by MrW. Perkins GLORY In his Armilla Aurea. Place this at Page 41 M.Perkins his Syno- In Armitapsis, or Table, shewing la Aurea. (according to his account) the Series of Causes, both of Salvation and Damnation; or the Decrees of Election and Reprobation with

the Means and Order of their Ex-

ecution.

notice with me,

B Ecause this Table contains an Ocular demonstration of the matter of Fast, charged upon the Calvinists and their Synod by Tilenus; I thought it convenient to intert it, and to make some Reflexions and Observations upon it, for the benefit of the Reader;

who upon a view of this Diagram may take

That there are three leverall Selts, contending as well against one another, as against the Remonstrants. They are usually divided into two Parties, Supralapsarians and Subla-

two forts: I shall distinguish them by severall Names. The first fort, who Gomar.difp. make the creature, not in its A^* de Prædest. Eluall existence, but in its conditi-(1604.) on of Possibility, the Object of the thef, 13. Decree, These I shall call Supralapsarian Creabilitarians. The second fort, who make the creature in its Actuall Existence, but yet Innocent, the Object of that Decree, These I shall call Supralapsarian Existentialists. The third fort, who make mankinde faln in Adam, and by Divine imputa. tion guilty of Originall sin, the Object of the said Decree, Thele are called Sublapsarians. * And although these severall Para * Piscator ties differ hugely in fixing the Obindeavours jett of the Decree, yet there is no to reconcile considerable difference amongst all three othem, touching the means and pinions. manner of carrying it on, from Confideratio. nes illa non. the Fall of Adams to the Finall funt opposite, Execution of it. Of which, the fed tanishm Synods Canonicall Declaration is diversa: 40 proinde o. this, That Election is the unchangemnes locum babere posunt : sicut de revera habent. -- Objectum prædestinationis effe hominem confideratum & ut nondum condisum, G ut conditum, sed adhuc integrum, G ut lapsum peccalogue corruptum. Idem Trait. de Gratia Det pega 173. Gr. Cap. 1. Arisc. 7.

rians. But because Supralapsarians are of

able purpose of God, by which, before the foun. dation of the wirld, according to the mist free pleasure of his will, and of his mere Grace, ont of all mankind, sallen, through their own fault +, from their first integrity + So they call into on and destruction, he hath Adams fin. chosen in Christ unto salvation a set number of certain men, neither better, nor more worthy then others, but lying in the common misery with others: which Christ also from all eternity be appointed the Media:our, and Head of all the Elect, and foundation of Salvation; and so he Decreed to give them to him to be saved, and by his Word and Spirit, effectually to call, and draw them to a Communion with Him: shat is to give them a true faith in him, to justifie. Sanctifie, and sinally glorifie them, being mightily kept in the communion of his Son, to the demonstration of his mercy, and praise of the riches of his glorious grace. They say, Ibid. Art. 15. Murequer, the holy Scripture berein chiefly manifests, and commends unto us this eternall and free grace of our Election, in that it further witnesseth, that not all men are elested, but some Not-elected, or passed over in Gods evernall Election, whom doubilesse God in his most free, most just, unreproveable, and unchangeable good-pleasure, hath decreed to leave in the common misery (whereinto by

their own t default they precipita-† That is, Adam's fall ted themselves) and not to bestow saving faith, and the grace of conversion upon them, but leaving them in their and under just judgement, at last. own wayes. and everlastingly punish them, not to condemn onely for their unbeliefe, but also for their own 2 fins, to the manifestation of Is their his fustice. And this is the Deopen fault e. cree of Reprobation, which in no ven now wife makes God the Author + of mentioned as fin (a thing blasphemous once to ny of them? conceive) but a Fearfull, unre-† Artic. 5. They ay, proveable, and Just Judge, and Cause or Revenger. Fault of fin, Thus farre the Synod. erc. 2. Observe, That according to this Order of Canses, the Apostles Doctrine is inverted; for he saith, If ye live after the stesh, ye shall die : but if Joshrough the Spirit; Rom. 8. 13. do mortifie the deeds of the body, ye hall live. But on the contrary, here the Doctrine runs thus; To shall live; therefore ye shall mortifie; Or, Ye shall die, therefore ye shall live after the slesh. For fin is acknowledged to be the fruit Norton, ubi and effect, or as others who speak Supra, pag. more nicely and warily, an infal-52. lible Confequent of the Decree,

Eclipse, if not quite evacuate the movie and satisfaction of Christ. For to be chosen to such salvation, is to be in Gods highest savour; and then what room is there for the intervention of Christs Merits and the price of his blond, to latisfie Divine Justice, appeale Gods wrath, to make an atonement and produce a Reconciliation? This will help to establish, at least to countenance the Sociman Doctrine, who take advantage of these opinion to argue against the satisfaction of our Saviour after this manner; They who are no longer under wrath, but in God: Grace and favour, they have no need, nay they cannot by the death of Christ be delivered from wrath and restored to Gods savour. But these whom God loves unto eternall salvation, are no longer under wrath, but in Gods favoure Therefore there is no need, may they cannot be delivered from wrath and restored to Gods favour, by the Death of Christ. 4. That Christ is appointed a Mediator onely for the benefit of these Elect, to die

3. By this Table, Almighty God is sup-

posed to have loved a certain number of per-

fons, with an unchangeable love, and so dearly, as freely to have elected them to enjoy a

communion with himself in joyes and glories everlasting, and that before Christ is given to

be a Mediator for them, which doth much

whose falvation was as sure before, as the Decree and love of God could make it. & 5. That Faith, Sanctification and Obedience, are not considered in this Decree, as qualifications in the person to be elected: but are provided to be brought in by it, to dresse him up for Glory. 6. That these Elect Persons, in their appointed time, shall be called so infrustrably and irrefiftibly, that it is not in their power to makeit void or hinder it. -7. That no fin can put them out of that road, Series, or File of means drawn by the Divine Decree, to lead them from Election to Glory: No not their foulest or filibiest sins; Hereupon Master Perkins reckons it amongst the Priviledges which waite upon their Adoption. Hinc etiam mul-Armilla Au_ tis Privilegies donantur, saith he, rea.cap.37. They are indowed with many Priviledges, I. They are heirs of God. 2. Cobeines with Christ, and Kings. 3. All their asflictions, their failings also and falls, tantum funt castigationes paternæ ad honum illerum; they are nothing but fatherly chastissements, designed for their Good. And such is the Judgement of the Divines of Par. 3. pag. 275. f, Drent, inserted amongst the Acts of the Synod, Whereas, say they,

for them, and procure falvation for them;

the Remonstrants do maintain that the faithfull may fall from Grace; there are a thoufand Testimonies of Scripture against it. (And presently after) We will adde but one Testimony more: It is said Rom. 8.28. That all shall work together for good to them that love God. If all the evills, wherewith they are chaftized; then their very sins also; Quæ peccata, quemadmodum in impile, interdum habent rationem pænæ : Sic etiam ipsissima peccata, etiam in sidelibus habent rationem paternæ castigationis, Which sins, as in the wicked, they have sometimes the nature of pinishment: so the very self same sins also, in the faithfull have the nature of Fatherly correction. And may not Godbe the evill of punishment is from him?

Amos 3. 6. 8. From hence it undeniably follows, that the fins of these Elect, must be of another rank, and of a far different nature, from the very same sins (for kind and quality) of the Reprobate. For example, the Adultery, Sedition, Murder, Oppression of I Cor. 6. 9. Gal. 5.19. the Reprobates do shut them out of Gods favour and kingdome: But the same sins (for nature and kinde) in these Elect; their Adultery, Sedition, Oppression, Murder, cannot shut them out, either of Gods Grace here, or his Glory hereafter. On the other side, Observe 1. That acopinion amongst them, they are Reprobates (as to the demerit of Preterition) onely upon the account of Adams sin, which was no more in their power to prevent or avoid, than to hinder Gods imputation of it, or to forbid their Parents Banes of Matrimony; and for Altual lins, they do commence upon the Rock of this sin Originall. 2. That Christ was not given, according to Gods intention, for their benefit; They have no interest in him; there is no tine of communication drawn betwirt bim and For 3. at least, upon the Fall of Adam, Gods implacable and immutable hatred was extended towards them. And hence 4. His calling of them is but uneffectuall; so that 5. Though they own and answer that Call, so farre as to be inlightned by it, repent at it, believe upon it, relish the heavenly Gift, and grow zealens of Gods Glory, yet this doth not remove them one step out of that read or line drawn, by the Decree of Reprobation, to lead them to eternall death, according to

cording to this Synopsie, containing Master

Perkins's and the Judgement of all the Crea-

biliterians. That the farre greatest part of

mankinde are Reprobates before they are

Creatures; and according to the most modest

Deceitsuluesse of sin must and shall inevitably and necessarily prevaile to bring them into a Relapse, which shall beighten their pollution and guilt, by an accession of obduration and malice, unbelief and Apostasie, and so cooperate to the aggravation of their condemnation and terments. And this is the very Dostrine of the Synod of Dorr, as it is All. S. Dor. delivered, in the Judgement of par. 2. p.62.. th. 24. 25.. the Divines of Embden; For speaking of the means by which the Decree is executed in the Reprobates, They lay, Prima & summa corum exitii Causa, The first and chiefest cause of their destruction, is the sorruption of our first Parents, Spontanea Adami voluntate, of Adam's own accord, first brought upon himself, and afterwards by the full judgement of God propagated unto his whole Posterity: in which if God had left all, he had done injury to none, because he is debtor unto wone . The second (Cause) is, becamse, either God vouchsafes not to call these Reprobases at all by his Gospet; or if he calls some of them outwardly by the Gospel, yet it is not accompanied. With any internall Spirituall efficacies or if in some of them be begets a certain affent, and some kinde of faith; yet he leaves themall it

the Series and processe whereof (which is im-

last, in their blindnesse and voluntary corrupti-9th, and doth not vouchsafe them his saving for loc. com. Question, Whether the Repro-de Repr. rab. base can do good works? he makes this answer. They may do good works sometimes, but not persevere in them: as the Prodostinate in like manner do fall into most grievens sins. Therefore, saith he, we may conclude, that Good works are sometimes inservient unto Predestinution, and sometimes unto Reprobation. By good works Predestination dothillustrate Gody glory; and in respect ef Reprobation they are many times reasons, Why fin is aggravated. For they that fall from God, when he Bathwaderned them with good works, as they do more grievously sin, so are they more severely-punished. Lastly Observe, that, according to this Series, or Table of Causes, The onely Glory that God defigns and aims at primarily and by it felf, as to be drawn out of the Rationall Creature for himself, consists in the Salvation of some for the Declaration of his Justice and Mercy, and the Damnation of others, for the Declaration of his Power and Justice. Whereas the Scripture informs us otherwise, viz. That the Glory, which he intended to have, and therefore requires and expects from us,

from it. To this purpose we have our Saviours own warrant, Joh. 15.8. Herein is my Father glorified, that ye bear much fruit; and his example, Joh. 17. 4. I have glorified thee on the earth: I have finished the worke that thou gavest me to doe; and his Command, Matth. 5. 16. Let your light so shine before men, that they may see your good works, and glorifie your Father which is in heaven; and Gods own approbation, Pfal. 50. 23. Who so offereth praise, glorifieth me, and to him that ordereth his conversation aright, &c. So that Gods Glory is intended all the way; Whether se eat or drink, or what somer ye do. do all to the Glory of God. This is that duty, we are all primarily designed for, and called unto, by the dispensations of his Grace, (1 Pet. 2.9. Ephel. 1.6.) And if men will not comply, by a voluntary obedience, with this Gracious designe, that the Goodnesse of God may triumph in our exaltation: then, for their contempt, or neglett of his honour and service, as he bath threatned, so, he reletts them, and AB. S Dor. glorifies his Justice in the inflictipar. 2. pag. 104. tb. 5. on of their deserved punishment, Martinius therefore acknowled-

doth consist in the oblation or persormance of a free and dutifull obedience, or results

geth, that the condemnation of the wicked is an event of Gods Calling, which is not intended of God, by it selfe: but by accidem it is an attendant upon mans transgressi-On. His autemeventus per se non intenditur à Deo : sed per accidens hominis Cutpa sequitur. As for that Glory of God, which the Bleffed Saints and Angels do eternally celebrate in heaven, that is not designed by Almighty God, for a part of Mans duty (the Scene whereof lyes here on earth) but for his Reward upon the performance of that duty: which duty the wicked having neglected, they are by way of punishment, Reu. 7. 84. for ever debarred, from having a. 25. 36. 17.24. ny communion in that bleffed fotemnity, which is the Masters joy, into which none are admitted but such as have been faithfull fervants. This (by the way) will afford a fufficient answer to that Maxime in Logick, What is first in the intention, is last in the execution, (Whence some Admired Doctors would inferre, that punishment was intended before finne, and Glory before obedience.) But the Maxime will not hold in the Di-Aribution of Remards and Punishments, which

This is easily seen, and many times complained of in Civil administrations, † Call to the wherein, as the Magistrate that Unconverintends reward before obedience, ted. p. 84. A is accounted imprudent: so he that Rulers will as Lawgiver designs a personall punishment beis fift and fore there be a fault, shall not principally escape the Reproach of being a that hislaws Tyrant. be obeyed,

doe alwaies (where Instice holds the Sword

and ballance) presuppose duty and fault, re-

spectively, as the Ushers to go before them.

Ge. See the reft.

AN

E XAMINATION

OF

Master B A X T & R S

X I X, and X X. Sestions.

Wherein the state of

D A V I D
AND

PETER
is Debated.

The State of DAUID and PETER, Debated.

Answer to Section XIX.

Hough I have not troubled my felf

much to examine how violent and

rigid an Adversary you are to Master Pierce, in the point of Perseverance; yet in that superficiall view, which I have taken of those and some other of your Papers, I observe that after your pretended landnesse, and an offer to thake hands, you no fooner unclaspe, and begin to take leave, but (such is your temper,) you must have a parting blow, that exasperates your Dissensing Brethren and lends them away as little fatisfied in your moderation as when they field met you. This is no great temptation to invite a peaceable spirit, to interpole in any of

The State of DAUID and PETER, Debated.

Answer to Section XIX.

Hough I have not troubled my felf

much to examine how violent and

rigid an Adversary you are to Master Pierce, in the point of Perseverance; yet in that superficiall view, which I have taken of those and some other of your Papers, I observe that after your pretended landnesse, and an offer to thake hands, you no fooner unclaspe, and begin to take leave, but (such is your temper,) you must have a parting blow, that exasperates your Dissensing Brethren and lends them away as little fatisfied in your moderation as when they field met you. This is no great temptation to invite a peaceable spirit, to interpole in any of

your Disputes. Yet finding that M. Pierce growes weary (upon such like confiderations) of drawing-this Saw of contention (and I cannot blame him, having had so much harsh and jarring noise about his ears, as is more than enough to fet any ingenuous persons teeth on edge) and resolving hereupon to give himself a little truce and respite, till he meets with further provocation; And finding you also (I hope unfaignedly) professing, that you are truely and heartily willing of further information † , I shall in all + And you do . Christian mecknesse, at least in ore not hold it . der to mine own latisfaction, if it fundamental may not prove so to yours, reprethat the Jufent my present thoughts by way fified values of Reflexion upon those arguall from ! ebeir justiff. ments that you have laid before cation. Of us in the nineteen and twenty Perseo. pag. Sections of your Preface, But before I consider your arguments I shall premise. r. That according to the course of Gods ordinary dispensations, Christians are not made Per saltum: (I speak of the Adult, and persons arrived to capacity) I conceive, a man, notwithstanding those habites of grace pretended to be infused at that instant, cannot be a found Habituall Christian at his first Conversion. My reason is, because Christi-

upon a mature and sober deliberation, as out Saviour Christ hath taught us in that two: fold Parable. S. Luk. 14. 28. &c. For which fitteth not down first, and counselb Deut. 26.17 of you insending to build a tower, the cost, whether he hash sufficient 15, 22. to finish it? lest haply after he bath laid the foundation, and is not able to finish it, all that hehold it begin to mock him, saying, This man begun to build, and was not ablesto finish. Or what King going to make warre against another King, sitteth not down first, and consulteth whether he be able, with ten thousand, to meet him that cometh against him with twenty thousand? Or else while the other is yet a great way off, he lendeth an embrisage, and desireth conditions of peace. Thus is the Discipleship of Christ to be entertained upon a deliberate, due and full account taken of all the cost toil, and difficulties, which we must be at, and encounterwith in the profession of it. But, if in the constitution and framing of every good Christian, Non sola intellectus illumi: natio, O cordis sanovificació; sed ipsa quoque voluntatis immagatio, hoc est; gnalitatum, seu facultatum, & virium supernaturalism in voinntatem infusio, ejustemque ad conversionem. Se fidem effican flexio Orinclinatio, fit irrifici-. biliser; as the Hassian Divines have declared

anity is a profession and a matter of choice

will of man, work also alsum quemcunque aetum vera pietatio, foiliget, issum voluntatis motum efficienter assingendo, hoc est voluntatem ipfam applicando O determinando ad volendum er eligendum; as Estius and those In 2.Sent.d. of that way do constantly main-28.Sell.2. tain; A man that is fure of such a Magazine provided for him, and such invincible forces levied to his hands, bath no reason in the world to sit down to consult about the charge of his infuing warfare; and if he advanceth, upon any account besides this, he doth but reckon, (as they fay) according to these mens opinion, without his Host. Besides, as the seed of the word is a necessary ingredient to the ordinary conception of this neuman; fo is the travelt of the Ministery no selfe requilite to the parturition of him: and for want of this Regular way of new · birth, Saint Paul calls bimself an I Gora I 5.8 abortive. And to show the nevelfity of our own cooperation to Heb. 12, 15. this work in us, ne defimus gratie 2 Cor. 6.1. Dei, that we receive not the grace of God invaine, nor bewanting to it, we are injoyned to pur off the old man, which it corrupt according to the decelefull infly, and (not onely) to be renewed in the spirit of our

if Goddoth by a Physicall operation, in the

Eph. 4. 22, 23, 24. nosse and true voluesse. And as we are injoyned, to are we inabled to it too; For where the Spirit of the Lord is there is liberty (and this is the dispensation of the Gospel, and the priviledge of those 2 Cor. 3. 6. with 17,18. that live under it) whence it follows, that we all, with open face beholding as in a glasse the glory of the Lords are changed into the same image, from glory to glory, even as by the Spirit of the Lord. Hereugon saith Basil Mag. Habeo in creatione id quod secundum imaginem est. Fio Homil, 10. ancem proposito O voluntate etiam Hezan. secundum similitudinem. Ubi tua est gracia? Cur tu coronatus es? Sienins opifex totum N B. contribuit, quomodo regnum ecclorum tibi apertum est? To this purpose it is very considerable, what the Assertors and Defenders of that Physicall operation, are fain to yield. In the division of Grace in operantem & cooperantem, there ariseth a disficulty; for if Grasia operans be distinguished a cooperance, then that Gratia operans must be faid to be that, que operatur in nobes [fine novie] & in velmetate nostra ninl agente; For if our will doth act any thing here, there is no reason why this grace should be called

mindes, (in a passive signification

(on actively) that new man, which

after God is created, in righteouf-

(but to put

peratur, cum autem volumus, & sic volumus int faciamus, nobiscum cooperatur. To this purpose he produceth that place of the Apo-Itle, I Cor. 15. Yet not I, but the Grace of God with me. For when he had said, neither the Grace of God alone, nor Paul alone, he added: but the Grace of God wrought with him; that he was called from heaven, and that he was converted by fo great and efficacious a call, this was the Grace of God alone, To the like purpose Thomas is produced. Upon these authorities and the misunderstanding of this doctrine some have been drawn into an opinion, that in effects gratia operantis our Will doth nihil agere, nec seipsam omnino mowere, but is Passive and moved onely. But 2. Sent. d. faith Estins, this sense is false and 26. Sed. 8. erroneous, and that he proves by three reasons. 1 Because the inward Act which that working Grace produceth in us; as for example, to love God, to hate sin, it is an Act of the will; not onely as of the subject, but also and chiefly, as of the eff dive Principle: therefore the will in respect of that act, is moving, and not onely moved 2. Because that act, by which the will begins to will good, is a free act; there-

operans and not cooperans. Saint Austin is

brought in, in favour of this interpretation,

where he saith, Ut velimus Deus sine nobis e-

one. 3. Because Acts of this kind are under precept. For man is commanded to believe, to convert himself to God, to fly from fin; therefore they proceed from the will freely moving it self hereunto. Wherefore rejecting that false interpretation of those Doctors, he concludes, their meaning was onely this; That God works the first act or good motion of the will in us, by his grace, without any other motion of the will, previous in us, whereby we indeavour, or aspire to that first motion, or whereby the will commands it: because many times a good affection of the will is inspired into a man, when he is very repugnant to it, as it happened in Paul, Act. 9. By this we see, that even in the opinion of those men, that maintain, that way of efficacious Grace, by Physicall operation (as they call it) our reception of Christianity, or which is all one, our Conversion to the Faith, (even in the first act of it) must be a matter of free choise at least. And as it is a matter of choise, to imbrace it at the first, so it is a matter of sudy and industrie to maintain it afterwards in contesting to mortifie and subdue all those corruptions, infirmities and temptations, which make opposition to the power of that

fore in respect of that act, the will is a moving principle, not of any sort, but a free

profession, which is the power of Godlinesse. He that striveth for the masterie is temperate in all things: Watch and pray that ye fall not into temptation: Fight the good fight of faith: and be faithfull unto the death, and I will give thee a crown of life: and a multitude of such expressions. 2. Whether, after long and faithfull service, God may not vouchsafe, of his especiall grace and favour, to reward the devotions and pains of some pious perfons, with a secure establishment in a comforrable estate of indefectibility, this you know, the Remonstrants had no mind to dispute. An verò non aliquando pro absoluto jure suo extraordinaria quadam ratione Deus fideles quosdam, din multumque in Scripta Remonst. Dostadio pietatis exercitatos, & in vagmat. Arriis adversitatibus acque afflictiotic. 5. pag. nibus velut in igne probatos, dona 190. perseverantia indesettibiles remuneretur ad hec, ut veluti luminaria quadim piesatis, patientie & fortitudinis in domo Dei luceant, Deoque pro instrumentis serviant ad alios exemplo suo ad candem fidei constantiam animandos, in medio relinquimus. Methinks, tis very agreeable to the liches of the Divine Goodnesse and Grace, by way of reward or benefit for their long and hard duty, to draw his old souldiers into the securest quarters (aldocted to any persons in the Church Asiliant)

prudence and successe against all assaults of the enemy. That excellent man of so profound a judgement and D. Th. Jack. Ap. to 10. B. learning was of this mind. The e of the Greed is a Degree or measure of Mornsfipag. 3148. cation (best known unto God) which may be obtained before the hour of death, by some later, by others sooner, unto which whosoever doch actain, he is not onely actually instated in this promise of life, but confirmed in Grace, and indued with the Gift of Perseverance. I am much pleased to find a Text of Scripture, that to my apprehension, sounds, so like a promise to this purpose.

Rev. 3. 12.

Him that overcometh, will I make fee vers. 5. a pillar in the temple of my God, and he shall go no more ont: and I will write spon him the Name of my God, and the Name of the City of my God, which is the New Jerulalem, which cometh down out of heaven from my God: and I will write upon him my New name. And such might be * And what those Elect * persons, whom if, in these, I God is said to hive chosen, should grant Master Baxter his twofold cause of stability, 1. the nature or degree of their grace within; 2. the Election and preservation of God without? Of Persever pag. 37.

after they have stood long upon the Guard, and strictly kept their watch, and constantly

maintained the fight with admirable courage,

safe to shorten those daies of tribulation, and did exempt them from the danger of Sedu-Ution, Mat. 24.24. 3. I do not conceive that the fingle Act alone of every grosse sinne, doth utterly destroy the New birth, or excuss Charity and the Spirit of Grace, or cancell his interest in Gods paternall favour, and title to salvation, who is such a Delinquent. 1 Car. 6. 9. When the Apostle saith, The un-Eph 5.5. righteons shall not inherit the kingdome of God; I suppose he doth not mean, every man that doth something that is unjust: but he, whose frequent practice, and contracted Disposition, hath procured that denomination. So when the Apostle, Gal. 21. having reckoned up the works of the flesh, concludes with this intermination, that they which do such things shall not 30b. 8.44. inherit the Kingdome of God, To do such things may import the custome (according to Scripture notion) and the frequentation of them. In that conflict betwixt the flesh, and the Spirit, in the Regenerate, though the flesh prevaile in some fingle combate, we must not presently blow the trumpet and proclaim it king. One may worst his enemie in a sleight skirmish, yet not presently get possession of the crown and

Mark. 13. 20 for whose sake, he did vouch-

Throne. Know ye not, that to whom ye yield your selves servants to obey, bis servants ye are to whom ye obey; whether is be of sinne unto death, Rom. 6. 16. And he that is overcome of his lust, and brought into bondage, he is a fervant of corruption, 2 Pet. 2.18, 19. I conclude then, That a fing's Act, though intertaind with some complacencie, if retracted, before the pleasure hath made too deep an impression, by a long aboad, doth not put a man out of the state of Salvation, nor rescind his gracious priviledges and relations, nor cassate his interest in that grace, wherein God hath made him accepted in the Beloved; but onely then, when fin doth reign and dwel in peace, or when after a hot alarme of its approach, and speciall Erek. 33. 9. warning given to arme and stand upon his guard, if a man then turns coward, lets it in without resistance, and permits it to key forces and stand in competition with the spirit; and much more if he shall invite it in, and assist it against his interest. Whether the sin of Peter or David were of this nature, we shall examine in the sequel. In the mean while, let us consider, what is granted concerning the danger or lad estate that the regenerate men fall into De Persev. by their perpetration of foul sins. Sandorum. Spiritum contristant, indignations

effective jus adregnum coelorum penitus admistunt fideles regeniti & justificaci, saith Doctor Prideaux. Some resemble their estate to rhe condition of a man excommunicated or outlam'd, who loseth his actuall claim to whatfoever is due to him upon never D. Field Ap. so good assurance, so that albeit to 2. B. of the right and title to it is yet inthe Ch. pag. vested in them, yet all prosecuti-313. **6** 834. on of that right is suspended during the time he continues in that estate. Others represent their estate by the condition of the Leper amongst the Jews, who for the time was debarred the use of his own habitation; yet he lost not his right to it; for after he was healed, he might reenter and keep possession. (But by the way, if he died before his actuall cleanfing, he could not do (o.) I suppose rather that their estate might be represented by the Law made against the presumptuous sinner, Num. 15. 30. The Soul that doth ought presumptuously (or with a high hand): whether he be born in the land, or a stranger, the same repreaches h the Lord: and (there was no facrifice to make his atonement) that soul shall be cut off from among his people. His punishment was not segmestration, or exclusion from his

Dei paternam incurrunt, reatum damnabilem

contrabunt: sic ut demeritorie saltem licet non

be according to the quality and degrees of his repentance before his execution) but I observe that by the sentence of God, declared in that law, presumptuous sins do ipsafa-To make an alteration of estate (as great an alteration as is from life to death) in the person that commits them: Now to give us to understand that Davids sin was of such a nature, there is the very character of a Presumptuous sin set upon it, which is, that the Lord is reproached by it. ib. and so 'cis said of Davids fin, 2 Sam. 12. 14. By this deed thou hast given great occasion to the enemies of the Lord to blaspheme. Before I proceed further, I could wish yeu would ferioufly confider the importance of that caution given by the Apostle, Heb. 12. 15, 16. Looking diligently lest any man fail (or fall from) the grace of God, lest any root of bitternesse springing up troubte you and thereby many be defiled, lest there be any fornicator or profane person, as Esau, who for one morsell of meat sold his birth-right, for ye know how that afterward, when he would have inherited the blessing, he was rejected. If you think such caveats and threatnings, being applyed as preservations against desection, do alwaies

People, but excision. I do not here take upon me to determine, what the finall and eter-

nall, estate of such a person was, (that must

nerate; and at most imply but a possibility of their Apostasie, in regard of themselves, not the certain futurity of it, unlesse it be, in the Non-elect, God having put in a bar against it for the rest; I desire you to consider, that a Type being given, and an instance made, in a person who certainly had once a just right to inherit, whether this must not needs imply a reall danger of the event, viz. of falling to those, who for the present have a true right and title to the Evangelicall bleffing and celestiall inheritance. I say, a reall danger of falling, and in some case (which is here let down to aggravate the danger, and consequently to excite their care to avoid it, to the highest pitch) irrecoverably. But to return to David; I think it very easie to conclude him in an unjustified estate, out of your own principles. In Pag. 40. Your Account of Perseverance, you lay these for grounds, (n. 5.) The Dominion of any one sin is inconsistent with faving grace and justification n. 7. You say, He that hath not more hatred then love to any fin, and that had not rather be rid of it, even in the use of Gods means, then keep it, in regard of the Habituated state of his will, is under the dominion of sin, and in the state of dammation. n. 8. He that is thus refolved, and af-

become a means of perseverance to the rege-

not commit it without renewed resolutions against it, and a restlesse importunity of soul to so be delivered; which will prevail. If this be true, (as I am-ready to subscribe to it) David was in a much more sad condition, then you are apt to believe him in. For that he was guilty of a groffe known fin, you cannot, you will not deny; but where were his renewed resolutions against ie? where was the use of Gods means, or the restlesse importunity of his foul to be delivered from it? Did he not give up himself to it, and industriously, make provision for it, and live in it? Nay did he not upon defign and contrivance, against all the ingagements of nobleneffe, ingenuity, (and humanitie) proceed from one wickedneffe to nother? It cannot with any colour be denied. There is but one Salvo in all your three propositions to help you; you will say, perhaps, that in regard of the babituated state of bis will, he had rather have been rid of it, then have kept it. That does not appear, but very much against it. It it had been so, why did he not consult his Prophet, or fast and mourne, as he did afterward for the sicknesse of his child? His babit mated est a:e, it seems, was a very secure state, that the accustomed

fetted against a grosse sin, or any known sinne,

that is under the power of bys will, is not like to

live in, or give up himself to it.: Nay he can-

ciall piece of his Ordnance to awaken him out of it. You adde in your 10. Proposition; That sin doth as naturally breed troubles and foures, as the fetting of the Sun canfeth darknesse, or as a grosse substance in the Sunshine eauseth a shadow. And this from the nature of the thing, and by the will of God. If it be for, what can we conclude, from the want of fuch feares and troubles in him, but that 'tis brobable, God left him for the time under fome degrees of obduration? To And indeed, not so much the palpitation and trembling of the heart, through fears and troubles, as the hardning, of it, is the inseparable companion of presumptuous finning. The Devill carries himself with a kind of bashfulnesse till he finds incouragement. And that man must be lustily steeld with impudence, that will be presumptuous where he hath little interest. It is argument enough that the sinne bath gotten a great force in a man when it is presumptuous. Upon this account it is, that our Criminall prayes so earnestly at another time, Psal. 19. 14. Keep back object ant from presumptions sins, lest they get the dominion over me. Upon le Pfal. 19, which words Amesim saith, Talia flagitia non constunt cum timore

ministery of the Church would not serve the

turn, but God was fain to discharge an espe-

such consumacies. And it is true in sensu complified, as shey lare, and whilest they are Gods servants. But if they betake themselves to the service of another Master, his lusts then they will do. Shall a man need to scree an Apprentality to the trade of sin, befor the conjugate the title of being a forwart cois? His servants years to whom ye obey; saith the Apostle. Suppose David had unely been surprized at first, with the beauty of the woman (though indeed, thole line, whole horrid enormity is to great that the very light of nature commands us alwaies to be in arms, and stand upon our guard against them. can never be excused or extenuated upon the account of a surprizall.) But put case I say. he had been surprized at first yet upon whole command was it, 1: That cafter sufficient time of recollection and advisement, when he should have been at prayers) he sent Mellengers, and fure some presace of courtship was used to flatter and seduce her, and to took her and lay with her. 2 Sam. 12. z. That he afterwards fent for her husband, from his duty in the Leaguer. 3. That he advised him so earnestly to go home and wash his feet - and sent a messe

Dei. Such crimes cannot confist with the sear

of God. Indeed he infers from thence,

that the fervants of God are not inthral'd to

Of meat after him. 4. That he blamed him under a pretence of pity, that he went not down to his house. 5. That he had him tarby till the morrow, and then invited him to an cutertainment, Where he made him diunken. 6. That he laid so cunning a plot to murder him (whom he had so fately debauched, that he was fcarce awakened, or at leaft fearce recovered out of his diftemper) and then wroten letter, with so much formality, to foat, to acquaint him, how he should manage and carry on this projected stratagem, and lastly, that he fent it by Uriab's own loyall hand making him carry the Warrant for his own unworthy and treacherous execution; At whose command, I fay, did David do all this? Was it not at the command of Lust? and then, did he not obey her, as her servant? What clearer evidence can there be in the world then this to prove, that sinne hath got the Dominion over a man ? I'le offer you but one argument more, from the doctrine of Saint John. 1 Joh. 3. 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17 verses. Take it in this form, No man that is not of God, that hath not eternall life, nor the love of God abiding in him, but is of the Devil, and abideth in death, no luch man is in the state of justification: But David, guilty of the matter of Uriah, is such a man, viz. not of God, not having e-

The Major is undeniable, being the ex-The Minoris thus proved out of the fame Apostie. He that committeeth sin, and doth not righteousnesse, that loveth not his brother, that shutteth up his bowels of compasfion from him, that not onely hates, but a-Aually murders him, (like Cain for the 10tisfaction of his lust) he is not of God, hath not eternall life, nor the love of God abiding in him, but is of the Devill, audabideth in death. But David in the matter of thresh committeth fin, doth not rightcousnesse, doveth not his brother, shutteth up his bowels of compassion from him, and actually murders him. Ergo. The Major is again the expresse words of S. John: and the Minor is proved evidently by the History which containeth the matter of Fact. I am the more confirmed in the certain truth of this doctrine, by reflecting upon the fcope and method of the Apostles discourse upon it. Having represented the great priviledge of Adoption, he proceeds to declare that this priviledge is to be preserved by a purity of soul and life, suitable to that state;

ternall life, not the love of God abiding in him, but is of the Devil, and abideth in

death. Therefore, co.

and because, (as he urgets,) in-1 月南. 3. 4. instice and uncharinablenesse are al-4. to 17. together inconfisions with it. elvereloge he earrickly diffuades from diem, as a most certain means, according to the foolekure of the benefit thereof. abid. v. 2. Beloved, non are me the finnes of God (faith he) by inchoation, adopted theo that state, of speciall grace, and favour, to give probation of our filial ingenuity and obedience, in purifying our felves, that we may be advanced to a due and fitting capacity, for the glorious prefence and communion of the Holy God. Thus we are now the lone of God: but it doub not yet appear, what we shall be y foir that gloty is not yet revenled in ur; neither have we yet performed the condicion, which is requi-Aug doth dired to qualifie and dispose us for stinguish beit; for we multiwithdraw our twixt sens by felves from all pollutions, and be Regenerate. devoted by a special separation to on, and fons by Pradestihis service; As obedient children, nation; as in not fashioning our selves according your Ac. of Perfeu pag. to the former lasts in our ignorance: but as he which buth called posits 216. 1 Pet. 15 14, holy, so must we be holy in alternmen-- 150 · 11 ner of conversation; Whitefore come out from among them (Heathenilli poland be ye separate, saith the Lord, lutions)

and touch not the unclean thing, and I will receive you. And will be a father 2 Gor. 6.179 unto you, and ye shall be my sonnes and damphrews, saish the Lord Almighty. This Priviledge of Adoption, is not absolutely our own free-hold, our tenure in it is conditionall, (no lesse than that of being his house, and his Desciples, which Meb. 3. 6, imports the same benefit under di. Joh. 8, 31. vertified expressions) and this condition is the fincere and con-Rom. 2. 7. stant performance of our faithfull duty and service, which consists Luk, 1, 75. in a course of holinesse and righteouswesse before him all the dayes of our life; according to the covenant made with Abraham All the Divines that Phave met with (at least to my best remembrance) do set Justification before Adoption (in order of nature, if not of time) and yet, you your felf confesse, that that just i-Apper.of Ju-flif. disting. fication, of which the person hath true possession, though it be ours a-21. 1. 1 Those onely Etually after futth, Jet tis but conare his praditionally, viz. upon condition of dicall conperseverance in faith and sincere oquering Dibedience. If that Justification, sciples, who actually perwhich we are intitled to after fevere. Difp. faith, be held upon such terms, of Sacram. pag.94. then much more, that Adoption

are agreed, but I doubt it will not hold long-For if the question be asked, whether every Regenerate man will infallibly perform this condition, you answer in the affirmative; and indeed according to your doctrine he cannot do otherwise, unlesse you take up your distinction for fashion sake, and say that quead fe, he may fail in that performance, but respectu Dei, itis impossible; because, to speak openly and plainly, tis not be that doth it I and how comes it then to be his duty, and rewardable?)but God by an infu-Preface, Seperable power, according to his Biomes. absolute purpose to cause this condition in him. Let us come at last to your reasons. I. I do not finde (you say) any mention of shem (David and Peter) or any others, that mere twice, Regenerated, or santtified in Scriprore ... Answ. 1. Then all those * Then there can never be of whose pollutions and backslidings Almighty God so bitterly any other complains in Scripture, were either truly Prodinot regenerated and lancified at eall fon; butall (and then it would be someonely Adam. what strange, that a most wife Ba. 1, 21. God should complain that men 2 Ret. 2.18. had corrupted themselves and pol-19. with 60. Fer. 18.11, with 13. Fer. 2.12,13. Ezek 16.38,

which follows it. Here then you and Tilenus

without prejudice). That after Jer. 3. 12. Ifa. 1.18. so many earnest invitations and wooings to return, and such a Hose. 2. 7, with 14. gracious reception given by Almighty God to luch returners; Jer.3.1'12, 14. Hof. 14.4 that notwithstanding al this, every Revolting and backsliding person should be damned, is to me utterly incredible. 2. The Metaphor of Regeneration, may deceive us; For it signifies the Treatife of production of new Qualines and Convergen, new Relations, as you say, I may pag. 8. adde, new Capacities too. For consider the whole world as lying in wickednesse, dead in trespasses and sinnes, under the curse of the Law, and the sentence of Gods wrath; Christ coming to take away this curse, and make expiation for that fin, and to appeale that wrath, may very well be said to have begotten us again to a new hope, in respect of that capacitie, which we were put into by the benefit of his death and refur-+ 2 Tim. 1. rection t, even before our em-9, 10. bfacing of the Gospel. The Re-I Pet, 1.3. surrection is called a Regenerati-

luted their wayes, who never had been san-

ctified:) or else that they did all perish in

such their pollutions and backslidings; and

this will seem no lesse strange then the other,

to any confidering person (who attends to it

on too, as Beza and Deodati interpret that Text, Mat. 19.28. Regeneratio sumitur pro illa die, qua electi incipient novam vitam vivere. i. e. Cum animo & corpore fruentur illà bareditate collesti, saith Beza, and Deodati to the same purpole; so that you see the Scripture mentions a twofold regeneration. But this last will not serve our turn, and therefore I shall reflect upon what you grant, as the Doctrine of the Synod, in your 3.3. Sect. They deny not, you lay, but men may fall from a present capacity of saturation, and under the necessity of a renewed Repentance, to put them again into a present capacity. But, say I, after a laple into groffe and foul fins (which are find to corrupt and defite and pollute the foul. especially upon any aboad in them) there is and must be a production of new qualities, as well as a new capacitie, (if that new capacitie doth not rather consist in such qualities,) therefore, in that case, (this being a Regeneration) the man so lapsed is twice Regenenated. 3. How can there be an actual iteration of the travell of the Ministery, about the very same persons, without a possible iteration of the new birth? Yer we see, the Gal. 3. 2. Apostle to the Galatians, who had c, 5. 4. e. 4. 6. received the Spirit, and were in a state of Grace, God having sent

he saith, My little children of Gal. 4.19. whom I travell in birth again, un-Deodati Antill Christ be formed in you. That not. Eng. is, saith Deodate, My little children, for whom I indure great pains and anguishes, as a woman that is in travell, untill such time as Christs pure doctrine is re-establitht amongst you, as I had planted it, to frame in your souls a leving image of Christ, in righteousnesse and true holinesse. And what can you make of this but regeneration? 4. You do confesse, All those five words, viz. Conversion, Repentance, Ro-Treatife of generation, Santtification, Vocation, Convergen, are used in Scripture to expresse pag. 6. the same work upon the soul; and there is another word, you put in Ibid.'pag.8. (a page or two after) the Newcreation. But what more frequent in holy Scripture, then at least the implyed-iteration of Conversion, Repentance, Santtification, and the new creation? For Conversion take that place Hosea 14. 1. O Israel return unto the Lordiby God; for those hast fallen by thine iniquity: Faln! from what? from one wickednesse to another? No, from the grace and favour of God, vers. 4. I will beal their back-

the Spirit of his Son into their hearts, crying Abba, Father, (all which are sofficient e-

vidences of their Regeneration) yet to these

slidings, I will love them freely: for mine anger is turned away from him. Let us also confider that of the Prophet Fer. 3. 1. If aman put away his wife, and she go from him, and become another mans, shall he return unto her again? Shall not the land be greatly polluted? yes, according to that Law made and provided on that behalf, Deut. 24. 1, 2, 3. But thom hast played the barlot with many lovers, yetreturn again to me, saubtbe Lord. Where we may take notice, s. What their Relation was to God; He was married unto them, vers. 14. (and to be a wife, sure is as near a relation, and imports as great an indearement, as to be sonnes and daughters). 2. What their transgression was; fornication, which, in a spirituall sense, as relating to God, was Idolatry; and that doth, ipso fatto, alter the state, and rescind the Covenant; whence it was, that Moses finding the people playing the Harlot with the Calf, to intimate that the Covenant betwixt them and their God was cancel'd and made void, he broke the Tables in pieces. Yet 3. God invites them to a restitution; return again to me, saith He; and more fully, Versitz, 14. Turn, Oback-sliding children, saith the Lord; for I am married unto you; and though I might give you a finall bill of divorce for your backsliding and Idolatry; yet I will take you again (upon your

they were iwice Regenerated. What exceptions you will make against these instances, as relating to a nationall Church, I know not: but what ever they are, I am confident I shall be able to affiver them out of your own doctrine, delivered in your Disputations, of Right to Sacraments. 5. That the new Creation (an-Treatife of Conversion, other expression as you acknowledge, to describe Regeneration) pag. 8. may be repeated, fundry Scripture instances will evince. As Ezek. 18. 31. Make you a new heart and a new spirit, and that of David, (who certainly had this new creature, or the new creation wrought in him once before) Psal. 51. 10. Create in me a clean beart O God, and renew a right spirit within me. And to whom doth the Apostle direct his Epistle to the Ephesians, but Ephof. 1.1. to the Sainis and faithfull in Christ Jesus? Yet he exhorts them to be Cap. 4.24. renewed in the spirit of their minds. To put on the new man: as was said above. 6. Repentance, you say signifieth the same work upon the foul, as Regeneration doth. What shall we turn Novatians? No iteration of Repentance neither? Why was that

repentance.) So that here we have fome, at

least, that were converted a second time from

a state of aversion from God, and therefore

title given to Repentance by Tertullian, Hierow and the rest that followed? why did they call it, Secunda Tabula post Concil.pro-Naufragium? Prima tabula, qua vinc. Colon. subnixi ex diluvio peccatorum tam mibi pa.121. in Adam velutistirpe, quam postea a. b. male vivendo, quacunque tandem ratione contractorum enatamns, Baptismus est, post quem acceptum, si rursus naufragium secerimus, nulla pro peccatis nova hostia restat, sed tantum surerest hac secunda tabula, Panitentia, quam si gnaviser (donec vita superstes est) apprehenderimus, ac apprehensam persequuti fuerimus, non dubium, quem rursus ad satutis portum pertingemus, quamlibet-etiam periculosi sint, in quos postea incidimus, peccatorum scopuli. It is not onely possible for the vessels of the Regenerate to leak and let in a litttle salt water; but they may run against the rock of Presumptuous sinne, 1 Fit. 1.19. and make hipporack of faith and a good conscience; for that is the dammage sustained by their miscarriage, who are embarqued upon the bottome of Christianity, as S Paul tells us; and this plank of Repentance is thrown out by speciall indulgence and grace to such, to preferve them from immersion and utter ruine, and transport them again to the defired haven of eternall happinesse.

imports no lesse; And the Practile of the Ancient Church confirms it. The Apostle delivers up the Incestuous Corimbian to Satan: to what end? for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus, I Cor. 5 5. That is, saith Deodaii, to macorate and pull down the body extreamly, even to death, if God would have it so: as oftentimes by means of the aforefaid things Annot. in (viz. excommunication, with its attendants (in those first daies of the Christian Church) horrours, anguishes of spirit, and torments of body) death did follow, and at his last passage, if the sinner did shew a lively repentance, he was loosed from those bonds of excommunication, and readmitted into the peace of the Church, and into the Grace of God, and so died with comfort, cased and relieved with the publick and private prayers of the faithfull. Finis ex-Beza. nos. communicationi proposicus non est minor. excommunicati exitium sed salus, nt videlicet hoc remedio d'metur ipsius caro, ut discat spiritui vivere. Whence it clearly appears, that in the judgement of these Learned men, grovnded upon the Scripture, such as lay under the sentence of excommunicati-

The institution of the discipline of Repen-

tance (or as the Ancients call it Pennance)

on were not in a state of justification, and consequently that by the use and practise of this wholesome Discipline they were to be regenerated, and brought forth as it were anew unto it. And this was the end of the same Censures inflicted upon Hymenaus and Alexander; who had actually repelled a good conscience. And this was no more. than was contained in the com-1 Tim, 1.19 and 20. mission upon the donation of the Power of the Keyes; What ye bind Mat. 18 18 Joh 20, 23. on earth, shall be bound in heaven; and whoseseever sinsyeremit, they are remitted; and whosesoever sins ye retain, shey are retained. I am not ignorant, that there is an extemporary Repentance † (as Meisner calls it) in order to the continuall 4 1 70b. 1.9. ebis was fi expiation of intercurrent fins in enified, Lothe bloud of Christ; sins of ignotione pedum. rance, and infirmity, of inadver-Job. 13.10. tency and sudden surreption, which the regenerate daily fall in. Lak. 19. 7. to, who yet are said, (in respect of the change of state) to need no repentance. But there is a Solemn repentance after enormous, wasting fins, (commonly called Mortal fins). Why was this instituted and to be performed with so much discipline of severity (as we find it practised by

the Church, and the grace of God, and hopes of pardon) but to fignifie that such persons had extreme need of such a Repentance as might import a change of state, whereby they might be recovered again after a fall wherein they were given for lost? and this is called repentance unto salvation, 2 Cor. 7.10. That this Discipline should be instituted onely for the use and benefit of such as never were regenerate (and I cannot see what else is to be alleaged to avoid the force of the argument; for a second Regeneration) is so ridiculous to imagine, that I presume no rationall man will offer to affirm it. To conclude this argument then. Whosoever may Repent and be converted, and be renewed and sandified and become a new creature, a second or third time, he may be twife or ibrise Regenerated: But a poore sinner

may repent and be converted, and renewed,

and sanctified, and become a new creature, a

fecond or third time, Ergo. The Major Pro-

position is undeniable; because, Repentance,

Conversion, Renovation, Sanctification, and

Regeneration, do all signifie one and the

the Apostle and the Primitive Church (for 7,

9, 13, years together upon one and the same

person, before his restitution to the peace of

not onely by Bucun, and the $\overline{T}ro$ Buc.loc.com. fessors of Leiden, but also by your 30.p. 294. Synopf. disp. self. The Minor is evident by the proofs alleaged. I shall but 32.thef.2.p. adde, what is faid by the Provin-440. Treatife of ciall Councell before named, Conversion, touching this matter of Repenpag. 7. tance after groffe sins. Remedium Sane in Ecclesia summe necessari-Ubi supra. um, que sublato, quantula quese, hominum pars fuerie, que post baptismum, nullius peccati, aut etiam criminis fibi conscia, vita aterna participationem sperare queat? Ut nulli nobis immaniores Haritici unquam fuisse videantur, quam Novatiani, qui tam necessarium anime medicamentum medie tollere conati sunt. And yet which of the two is more pernicious to Religion, viz. to deny a capacity, in some grossy sinfull, to receive the peace of the Church (which cannot keep them out of heaven, if they be truly penitent) or to deny in others a possibility to loose the peace of God; this I say, I shall referre to the judgement of the sober and learned to confider. In the interim I proceed. 2. You say, Those Passages, Heb. 6. and 10. Seem to import, that if men should thus wholly excuss the spirit of God, there were no renewing them by Repentance. Answ.

same work upon the soul, as is acknowledged

much, they may really import something lesse. You know they have been understood of a renewing by the iteration of baptime. Name qui post baptismum (in quo cum Cencil. prov vinc. Colon. Christo cruci configimur & confepelimur) labitur, ac putat aliam 120. renovationem baptismatis superasse, is Christum denno Crucifigit. Semel crucifixue est Christus, semel peccaso mortuus est, at modo non moritur ergo & unicum oportet ese in ecclesia Baptismum, non plura. 2. By the word impossible, which the Apostle there useth, may, (according to the frequent use of Scripture) be meant, it is, exceeding difficult, to renew such sinners, not that it is absolutely impossible, as the word is used by our Saviour touching those that were too much, for the present, wedded to the world, Mar. 10. 25, 26, 27. 3. You may lay an Emphasis upon that expression, If men should thus wholly excuss the Spirit: how do you mean? Renouncing utterly their Christianity and Baptisme, and doing despite to the Spirit of Grace, by persecuting all that are faithfull Disciples to it; and this against the light of conviction, and the sweetnesse of experience in Gods gracious dispensations; This may amount to the sin against the Holy Ghost. But though some fall by such fins irrecoverably; yet it

Answ. If they do but seem to import so

quenching of it, to the losse of Gods favour and their justification) may fall and be renewed again by Repentance; For there may be an Apostasie totall and not finall; And fo much is implyed in the very text. If they, viz. who have tasted of the heavenly gift, and were made partakers Heb. 6.4.5. of the holy Ghost, and have tasted the good word of God, and the po-Cop. 10.29. wers of the world to come, If they Shall fall away thus, viz. treading under foot the Son of God, and counting the bloud of the Covenant, wherewith they were sanctified an unholy thing, and doing despite to the spirit of grace; It is impossible to renew them again unto repentance. Yet others that fall away and excuse the spirit, but not thus adding contempt and persecution to their Apostasie, they may be rexewed again unto Repentance. The Apostle puts in his exception, onely against one sort of Apostates, and it is a Rule in Law, Exceptio firmat Regulam in Casibus non exceptis. 3. Your next Argument, is Metaphoricall, and confequently, none of the most Cogent, but it is taken from Christs words, who saith, that the Hearers like the good ground that give deep rooting to the seed, do not fall

doth not follow, but others (who do excuse the Spirit, so far forth, as that signifies the

Answ. 1. I might argue as well, à Remotione consequentis ad remotionem antecedentis: But David and Peter did fallaway in triall. Ergo. But they were good ground you lay, by Gods own testimony; and 'tis granted you for truth. 2. Therefore, I deny your Major; where doth Christ say, that the Hearers like the good ground that give deep roging to the feed, do not fall away in triall? I can finde no such assertion in all the three Evangelists which record this Parable. Luk. 8.15. Saint Luke laith, they bring forth Mar.4.29. fruit with patience, and S. Mark Mat. 13.23. and S. Matthew adde some an hundred fold, some fixty, some thirty. But he doth not so much as intimate that this good ground is immutably fruitfull. Are not thornes and briars the naturall off ipring of our Red-earth? At least they are very apt to spring up from it, for want of tillage and manuring; Hereupon Hef. 10. 12. God calls to his own people, Break up your fallow ground, and some not among thornes. 3. Whence then did you draw that Predication of good Hearers? they do not fall away] Perhaps you collect it by way of opposition, to those on the rock, which when

away in triall: But David and Peter, were

such by Gods own testimony. Ergo.

Cap. 8.13. Saint Luke hath it. Now what do you inferre from hence? That thole Hearers, that are resembled to the good ground, do not fall away, and by consequence you conclude, that David when he was tempted to wantonnesse, did not fall under the power of that temptation: nor Peter when he was questioned about his relation to Christ, did not Prævaricate; and so the Adultery and murder of the one, and the deniall of Christ and perjury of the other, were not falling away. I pray what will you call them? Bringing forth fruit with Pasience ? What? either an hundred, or fixty, or thirty fold? Had you duely consulted the other two Evangelists, they would have prevented that Fallacy wherein-you were taken, I suppose, for want of fuch advisement. They would have told you what the temptation is that our Saviour speaks of, viz. Tri-Mat.13.21. bulation, affliction or persecution Mar. 4 17. for the words sake; represented by the scorching Sun, in the same parable. But what is this to David, who burnt himself in other flames, of his own kindling? or to Peter, who willfully run into the fire? The Sophisme then, is a disto secundum quid, ad

they heare, receive the word with joy; and these have no roote, which for a while believe, and

in time of temptation fall away. So

diltum fimpliciter. The honest and good heart well manured and husbanded like good ground, that receives the feed and lets it fink, and take deep root, and bring forth fruit with patience, doth not wither and dry away through the scorchings of hot and violent persecution. Ergo. The man that hath fuch a heart, when, grown remisse or prefumptuous, he is drawn away of his own lusts and inticed. Then Jam. 1.14, when lust hath conceived, it bringeth forth sinne: and sinne when it is finished, bringeth firth death. The man falls not away then neither by your doctrine; which is not contained in the parable. Nay the Parable tels you, the feed may be destroyed, though the sun of persecution never touch it, by those thorns that grow out of the ground it self. But because you insist so much upon Parables; (for you have another not far off) I would have you to confider; where the point of discrimination lyes, in reference to the fruitfulnesse and unfruitfulnesse; not in the nature of the feed, or in the influences of the Sun, or any other heavenly dispensation; but in the qualification of the soile onely. We may be instructed further from the Plough; that be the ground never so good the feed doth not take root, much lesse deep root in it presently upon the first sowing;

many times a storm doth so beat and discompose it, that the seed is left naked, for a prey to vermine; And though it hath taken root, it is not firmly settled, till a vicissitude of soft showers and warm beams, have stiffened and confirmed the soile about it, and then 'tis lodged fore. 4. Your fourth Argument advanceth in these words; No Scripture tells us that David or Peter were void of charity, &c. Answ. Nor doth it tell us so of Judas +. You know negative arguments + Where doth from Authority are not concluit tell us of ding, especially for matters of Noabs, or of fact. What Scripture tells us, that Lot's repen-Master Richard Baxter, is, or iance. should be, Teacher of the Church of Christ at Kederminster. 5. You argue: David prayes Psal. 50. that God would not for that sin, take his holy Spirit from him: which implys that yet he had it. Answ. 1. Create in me a clean beart, O God, and renew a right Spirit within me; implyes the contrary; and this Petition was before the other. 2. Take not thy boly Spirit from me, may signifie no more, then what is repeated by way of further explication in the verse folowing; Restore to me the joy of thy salva-3. I say, tian, Ge.

for then the earth is loose and mouldry, and

his restitution, when the Spirit of God was returned to him, in renewed breathings, and visitations; whereof these holy patsions, and devotions were a strong effect. 6. You lay, The thing in it felf seems miterly improbable to me, that David or Peter should have no love to God, after those particular fins. Answ. 1. Every kinde and degree of our Love towards God will no more serve Gods turn, then every fort and degree of his will serve ours. There is a common grace (as your declare many times in your writings) which is reall, true and good, yet not See your speciallsaving Grace. Wherefore Saints Reff. you tell us, we must not onely in-Second Ediquire into the truth of the All or

3. I say, this Psalme was composed after

on): but also and much more and 93. into the Morall truth of it, as it is a grace or duty. The unregenerate, and wicked you confesse may love God; because they may apprehend it as good in it felf, and good to their persons. I shall adde, (though it should seem a Paradox) that the very damned in hell, do carry some affection towards God, as he is the chief Good; else I cannot conceive, how the Losse of the beatificall vision (which consists in the fruition

Habit (in a Metaphysicall noti-

lion. And of

Faith, p. 23

Saving

must presse you therefore with one of your own Conclusions; that the fincerity of love (without which it will not be faving) is laid in the prevailing degree, not in Ubi supra. the act of it. This you confirm by the words of our Saviour. Mat. Saints Rest. Second Edi-10.33,37. He that loveth father or mother &c. more then me, is not Hen. worthy of me. And unlesse yee forsake all, ye cannot be my Disciples. God and Christ being set in competition with the world, and the Spirit set in opposition to the flesh, it is the comparative degree that carries it. To which purpose you quote these passages of Scripture. To Rev. 3. 211 him that overcometh, will I give, Psal.73.2.5 &c. And, that of the Psalmist, Whom have I in heaven but thee, and there is none in earth, that I defire in comparison of thee. This is your doctrine, as neer as I can temember, (in your Saints Rest,) for I cannot now conveniently turne to the place. Whether there were now in David or Peter this Prevalent degree of love, as to preferre God before, not onely the world and the flesh, but their life also, I leave to every indifferent Reader to judge.

of his glorious presence) can be so great a torment as to surmount the pain of sense in

them; as the Ancients affirme it doth. I

But you proceed, and fay, The sinnes I know were odious, and deserved an niter de-Sertion of God: But God inflitts not all shat me deserve. Answ. This is somewhat like the thirty

fourth Excuse of the wicked at Sermon of the day of Judgement, (as you al-Judgement. leage it in their names) and therefore I shall return your own words to you; viz. God knoweth his own mercy better then Jon do; and he bath told you how far it shall extend. If those Scriptures of the Prophet and Apostles, When the righteous turn away from his righteousnesse ---- If any man draw back--- Ezek. 18. 24. Hebr. 10.38.] be no-Gospel with you I cannot help it. If those sins deserved an utter desertion of God; we are to govern as our lives, to our judgements by his Covenant; for to depend upon any Extraordinary supererogations of Mercy, more then his superabundant Grace hath contra-

sted for, or promised, is high presumption. But you go on, and tell us, I. That alphough Altually in the time of sinning, the power of sensuality prevailed against the Alt of Charity; yet that Habitually God was afterward set lesse by then the sensual pleasure, by these Holy men, is utterly improbable.

Answ. 1. When our Saviour Christ saith, If any man cometh to me, and hate not (which

not be my Disciple. Doth a habituall estimation of God, satisfie the Precept, under the attuall breach of it? or can that carry Gods acceptation? I thinke not. (2) Can the Habit wall estimation of God confist with an indulgence to the pleasure of fin, and sensuality? I would fain understand how far they are reconciled? and how long you would keep them friends? I hope not till doomes day. And if you can think fit they should be separated after some considerable time of cohabitation; you must in all reason give them a bill of divorce in Davids Case; whose remorssesse Conscience, for so many moneths together, doth sufficiently evince his indulgence to the pleasure of his lust or sensuality. 3. We may observe in some persons, that sins are many times recurrent, especially sins of some fort, and are content to divide with Almighty God, not onely in point of time, but likewise in the affections and devotions of the sinner. His zeal for God shall be all fire and tow, at such times, and upon such occasions, and in such company; yet at the return of such and such temptations, (which may be frequent too) he is periodically captivated to the law of that luft. I hope you

fignifieth to love lesse) his father

Luk. 14.26. __yea and his own life also, be can-

will not vote such a person into the state of justification, while he is thus divided betwixt God and Mammon. And that David had sinned himself into this, if not into a worse temper, what hinders us from concluding? That he had espoused Bathsheba and so gotten the temptation into his bosome; this was to make provision for his Lust, not for his Repentance; and while we find him unrelenting at the crime, we ought to conclude, his design was to perpetuate the pleasure, under a colour of legitimating the use of his Adultery; and therefore 'tis obser-2 Sam. 2. vable, God was angry at the aflast. ter marriage, as well as at the forcap. 12.9.10 mer murder and uncleannesse. And this may in some sense be urged against Peter: but of him more anon. 2. You say, You cannot imagine that the Faith of David and Peter were Habitually extirpated, and they were turned unbelievers. And I cannot think (what ever the Papists have yet said to the contrary) that a sound Christian faith is separable from Charity, though a superficiall apinionative belief may. Auswer. To the first branch of your imagination, I shall say but this for the present; we are told by the divine Revelations, that we must be judged by the mork of our own performing, and not by the Habites of Gods

understand such a faith, as you have defined a saving faith to be, in some of your writings, I think you will have no Papifts much leffe Protestants, your Adversaries: but then I hope, you cannot think fuch a Faith any more separable from chastity, brotherly kindnesse, or loyalty to Christ, then from charity: Aut yet we see these separated from the faith of David and Peter respectively; Therefore the faith that they had now, was not that found Christian saving Faith. 3. You ask a question and then resolve it your felf, thus; Do you think, that if David or Peter had after this sin, been upon sober deliberation put to it, they would not bave chosen the love of God before the world or sinfull pleasure? I think they would. Answer. 1. Doubtlesse Judas would have done so too. Esan did so concerning his fathers bleffing. But what matters it, what men would have done; when woulding is too late, their will having undone them. 2. The neglect of Jober deliberation many times betrayes men to destruction. The Oxe knoweth

infusing. 2, Misbelievers as well as Unbelie.

vers may be in an unjustified state; and if

Fairb without good works be dead, and cannot

justifie: then Faith with dead works is dead

and damning also. 3. As to your second branch, if by a sound Christian Faith, you

his owner, and the Asse his Malfa. 1. 3. sters Crib: but Israel doth not know, my people doth not consider. Jer. 8. 6. No man repented him of his wickednesse, saying, what have I done? Every one turneth to his course, as the horse rusheth into the battell. 3. Peter had an hours respite after one temptation; and Luk. 22.59 so long a time, is sufficient to make the killing of a man be adjudged a prepensed and willfull murder by our common Law; and whose fault was it, that he wanted such a sober deliberation as you speak of Why did he not put himself to it, to chest the love of God? He had a naturall power, to do it; (to use your own distinction) and why he had not, and did not exercise a morall Power, you must fetch the reason from his own, or (to excuse that) from the will of God. For Davids part, he had a pritty considerable time to deliberate in. Sure it was the love of pleasure, not the love of God that kept him from it. 4. You demand; Is it likely that this one Act should turn their hearts into as Gracelesse a frame, as the ungodly themselves that never were sanstified? It is not likely. Yet so it must be, if they excussed all the Love of God. Answ. If they excussed all the love of God?

long fince, out of your own writings, that every degree of the love of God will not serve the turn : but onely that which doth preponderate and prevaile; And therefore (though all the love of God be not excussed, if that prevailing degree be excussed, they remain unjustified, whether or no their hearts be turned into as Gracelesse a frame, as the ungodly themselves that were never sanctified. But this doth follow, you fay, and it is not likely that it should be so. I answer, 2. That a Gracelesse frame of heart, may be so denominated, either from the totall absence and privation of grace onely, or else it may imply also a contracted vicious habite in opposition to Grace: In the first sense, I grant their hearts may be said to be turned into as Gracelesse a frame; but not in the later; because though their hearts may have as little grace for the present, yet are they not, through custome of sinning, reduced to such an indisposition to receive the impressions of Grace, as are the hearts of the notorious ungodly, who were never sanctified. And yet I must tell you. 3. That (as it is observed of water that hath been heat, it will be congealed and freez the sooner; so) such, as have felt the heat of that Divine fire, and been inlightned and melted, and warmed and refreshed

Why all the leve of Ged? I told you not

by the sweet and efficacions beams and influences of that Grace; they are in danger, for their great ingratitude, to Hebr. 6, and be permitted to fall into a state more miserable and hopelesse, then such as were never sanctified. But 4. you alleage, Is it likely that this one ASt? Answ. 1. There are some fingle Alts of sin so heinous, that their enormitic doth equalize the Habites of many sins, and of some they do manifestly preponderate and surpasse them. And such Atts, though they proceed not from a habite, but are onely once committed, they do exclude a man from the kingdome of heaven. One Act of unmercifull severity to his fellow servant, brought an implacable wrath and endlesse torments upon him, who had not long before received his Lords Acquittance, though he had not passed a very fair Ac-Mat. 18.34. compt to him. What more then a single Att deprived Esau of Heb. 12. 16. the bleffing? and that sinne unto death, mentioned by Saint John, 1 70b.5.16. feems to be no more. And what Mark 10.21 followed Christs unum tibi deest, to the young man in the Gospel? yet that was but an Omission neither. But 2. why do you call it (but) one AA? when it was so accumulatively and exceeding sinfull?

Account of by one ast did lose his habituall Perfever.p. state of Grace, and Relation to God, 13. becoming unholy and unjustified: therefore so may we: you deny the Antecedent; For, you say, it was not by one Alt, but by many that Adam so far fell. But sure here was no lesse, if not a much greater combination of finfull Acts in the fall of David and Peter, then in that of Adam; therefore neither of them ought to be contracted or extenuated into one fingle Ast. 5. Your discourse runs on in these words; I think it was the Habit of Grace, that the Gracious lookes of Christ on Peter, and the words of Nathan to David, did excite and bring again to Act; Peter was converted indeed by a particular Conversion from that sin, when he Repented; but surely he was not converted a second time from a state of unbelief, or of ungodlinesse, or uncharitablenesse, or unbolinesse. Answ. 1. I suppose you mean an insused Habit; and if so; you know it is questionable whether there be any such In 4. dist. or no. Dominicus Soto saith that 14. q. 2. Art. 5. till the Councel of Vienna Which was An. 1311. Nulla fuerit in-

There was a complication of many finnefull

Acts, as well in the fall of Peter as of David.

To that Objection, that Adams

tis. There was no mention made amongst the Doctors, touching the infusion of any [permanent] qualitie [into the foul] And that Council did define and choose Carranz. it but as a more probable opinion? Sum.p. 820. And therefore till the Councill of Trent it was not held (in the Petr.as Jos. Id. tb. sp. Church) as a matter of Faith, that there were any such Habits; L. 4. c. 8, and I doubt you will hardly adopt Resp. 1. it into your beliefe upon the account of that Authority? 2. If you mean an Habit acquired by frequent Acts and the industrious exercise of (vertue) * the motions of divine * So I call grace influencing hereunto; there it, supposing it, in sieri. is nothing more reasonable then to conclude that this may be lost. Nihilest magis rationi consentaneum, quam eodem modo, unumquodque dissolvere, quo conflatum est; is a Rule in Law; and it will hold here, by Master Barlow's concession, (mentioned in your Ac- Pag. 41. count of Perseverance) Loose we may, what addition (He speaks of Habituall Grace) by our cooperation with it, we have gained; and by parity of Reason, if the Habit it self be a matter of our acquist, or gaining (under the transient influences and

ter Doctores habita mentio de infusione qualita-

motions of the divine affistance) doubtlesse it is also in our power to make shipwrack of it.

3. Grant there be infused Habits, yet enormous sins may expell them, if not essicienter physice, yet moraliter seu demeritorie; because the sinner so grievously offending, and so highly dishonouring his gracious Lord and sather, Merito amittit jus quod babebat ad hareditatem colessem, tanquam silius Dei adoptious, & consequenter spoliatur gratia santitus ficante, in qua tale jus sundatum

† See Rev. † erat. So the Romanist; and 22.14.

Mai. 5.8. your self acknowledge, as much in part, in opposition to M. Barlow, (in the place before mentioned) you say, A man may be astive in destroying grace, that was but passive in receiving it. We may merit the diminution, and so may be astive. And why may I not adde, that by frequent vicious acts, opposite to the habite of Grace;

like it better) expelled, and at 71bi supra. length the contrary vitious habit introduced? In your answer to that objection against the certainty of Perseverance, drawn from the Apostacy of some persons rarely qualified, who have turned Quakers and Licentions, if not Insidels; you yield an utter expulsion of such Habite. For,

that habit may be extinguished, or (if you

you say, Some answer the foresaid Objection by telling them, that as in actuall sin (like Davids and Solomons) the habit of grace was alive under contrary aftings: So in the foresaid actuall Errours, the babit of sound faith may possibly be alive in many that seem to be fallen quite away. Though I do make use of this answer, you lay, in some cases where there is hope of such habits Remaining, yet I am afraid of using it in most of the forementioned cases, I dare not say that a man that long deliberately and industriously crieth down the Godhead of Christ and the holy Ghost, and that denjeth the Scripture and the Immortality of thee soul, &c. can be at that time in a state of Salvation. Here we are agreed. And indeed, as S. John's exhortation does intimate, that, upon our Apostasie or neglect of duty, we may 2.p. vers.8. lose, what we our selves (cooperating with the Divine grace) have gained: so that prayer of David, Take not thy boly (pirit from me] and that intermination of our Saviour [Take his Talent from him] do imply that, upon the like account, God may, and many times doth) take away what he hath given. If any man pretends to an interest in any promise of the Gospel to secure him against this danger; that promise is either conditionall or absolute. If he saith,

dormientibus jura subveniunt. The remisse and carelesse, much more the highly guilty, do forfeit and lose the benefit of them. If he claimes by an Absolute promise, he doth but begge the question, and gets nothing by it. 4. If the Habit of Grace remained in them, they might have recovered of themselves, without any new influences of a-If that be Auall exciting or affilting grace true, that e-(Gods simultaneous proportiovery new denable concurse, which is never gree of grace wanting, allwayes supposed); is infused as the first was, which you will hardly allow of; as you feem And yet the proof is clear from to imply. the nature and office or proper-Of Perf. ty of a habit, which is, not onely Pag. 41. I can see neito furnish the facultie with ther any nestrength simply sufficient, to discessity, nor pose, and incline it to Act, but wiefulnesse of also to do it with facility; As we your semanent. üfused see by experience when a power is babits. For instructed with a habit in things frustra fie naturall. But this you will hardly per piura, grant (as I faid) in the restitution erc. + Tet you of David and Peter . say, The new nature or disposition of such a man will not suffer bien to be long without Aduall Repentance; Disp. of Justif. p. 398.

conditionall, I must say of such promises, as

they usually say of lawes, Vigilantibus, non

5. Whereas you argue, that Peters Conversion was but particular, not from a state but a single sin: I must desire you to restect upon what was faid before upon that head. Some fingle Acts of fin are not capable of such frequent repetitions as should make them fruitfull enough to beget a babit; Yet this doth not extenuate, but heighten their enormitie. Such was the crucifixion of our Saviour; and there may be some others, if not Parallel, yet in some measure proportionable to it, whose execrable clamour will not onely outery the habits of many other fins: but in a manner justifie them. And that you may not think the fin of Peter so inconsiderable; you must not look upon it as a sudden furprifall; for he was forewarned, not onely by the generall application of a prediction, [All ye shall be offended, because of me this night | but also by a per- Mark 14.27 sonall admonition. Luk. 22. 31. Simon, Simon: behold satan hath defired to have you, Mar. 14. 30. Before the cock. crow twise, thou shalt deny me thrise.] He was forearmed too, if he had followed his direction, [Watch and pray, that pe enter not into temptation] and Mat. 26.41. retirement was suggested to him also, as his best posture of defence If yee seek me, let these go their Joh. 18.8.

way. That he was honoured, to be the first in order, of the Colledge Apostolick, the mouth of all the rest, whose confession was made the rock and foundation of the Christian Church, and in whose person, the power of the Keyes was conferred upon the whole body of the Priesthood; that such a man as he, † should, (not fly the + After so persecution, or faint under the bigh a propressures of it, but) will fully festion of siexpose himself to question, and delity too. notwithstanding all our Saviour Though I had done to fortifie him against should die with thee. it) then lye, and persist in it, Mat. 26.35. and forswear and curse himself, and all this, out of a bale unworthy fear, to fave his skin, rather then own a person, who was his gracious Master, his God and his Redeemer; who had formerly told him; Wholoever shall be ashamed of me and of Luk, 9.26. my words, of him shall the Son of man be asbamed, when he shall come in his own glory, and in his Fathers, Mat. 10.37: and of the hely Angels. And again, Luk.14. 26. He that loveth his own life more then me, is not worthy of me]. So many and signall aggravations are enough if not to mount his sin up to the highest pitch of a pardonable guiltinesse, at least to have his name inrolled in the Catalogue of such sinin order to their restitution to grace and pardon. 6. Your fixth Conjecture, (for your arguments are no other) discovers it self in these words. I verily think that after his fin, David went on in his ordinary course of Religion and obedience in all things else (abating in the degrees): otherwise his Apostasie would have been noted by those about him, and so his very sin would scaree have been hid, which he desired to hide. And I do not think that he went to God daily in publick and private (was that to hide his sin too?) without any love at all. These things to me are interly improbable. it is sold to be Answ. Ishink, and I shink, and I verily think; is this a good way of arguing? Other men will be allowed their liberty to think as well as your felf, and (if they see cause) to think otherwise, and yet think as verily as you dos and make no wonder or scruple at it as all that David should go on in his ordinary course of Religion and obedience in all things else. It is so ordinary a thing for Religion to be made a clock or a vizor, or a stalking horse to thelter the design, when another game or mark is aimed at, that no man of observation can think this part of your conjecture improbable. Saul in the very time of his grand rebellion, will offer sacrifice. Absolon will go

ners, as stand in need of a solemn repentance

pay a vow at Hebren when he intends an infurrection. Naboth shall not have a falle Industment drawn up against him, and witnesses, sons of Baliat suborn'd to make the charge good upon oath; but a solemn Fast and Prayers shall be proclaimed to usher in the Tragedy. Why, you know well enough that men will be very strict in observing the new Moones and Subbaths and Solemn Feasts and appointed Measings: they will appear before the Lord, and that they may not appear empty, they will bring amuteitude of sacrifices Make in and oblations, and make many prayers, and spread forth their bands; and yet their hands are full of blood. Have you lived to these years, and are you John 3.11. become so great a master of Israel, and knowest not thou these things? Verily verily I say unto thee, &c. The very Heathens had taken up that for a rule, Chare fi sion Caste. And the adulterous woman, when the hath caten the bread of leudhess, the wipes her momb very formally, B100.30. and saich, I have done no wic-20. kedness But you lay , you do not think, that he wone to God daily in publicks and private (Sir, you were not Clerk of his closet, and therefore know little of his private devotions; the publick, I grant it probable, he did frequent, to the end you mention, which

wards God: bus) jou do not think be did obis without any * love at all. It feems 4 As great now, that in your opinion, any mens quick love will ferve his turn, who is goods are unce Regenerate. It comes out of a presumed to Silver-mine and is of the right be of a better kinde or stamp and must pass for current, breed, than God cannot refuse it: But the the like love of a poor unregenerate, when goods of it is put into the scale against all their poor Neighbours the creatures of the world, if it for Nobledoth not preponderate and turn mens geefe, the scale, it weighes nothing at all as the prowith you. But to proceed, there verbe is, are is no doubt, men may have so swans.) So there be much love to God and fo much ome who zeal to his fervice (in fome partiwill have all cular instances) as may lead them qualificatiinto an expectation of receiving ons, whether of life or very great favours from him, and raffice, all yet be (that while) in a very unlafe alls of duty, and unjustified condition. I need or performances to be no other evidence to prove this of a better than Gods own attestation. He kinde or arraigns the Jews upon this very rank in the Eka, than they are in others. And as Belief, so Mortification, in them especially, how little soever it be, so it be true, will suffice unto salvation, Dr. Tho. Jackson. B. 10.p.3147.

proceeded more out of felf-love, then love to-

account, and gives order to his Prophet, to profesure the Indistment against them, in these words fory aloud, spare not, lift up Isaiah 58. thy voice like a trumpet, and shew A, 2. my people their transgression, and the house of facob their sins. Tet they seek me dayly, and delight to know my ways, as a nation that did righteousness, and forsoek not the Ordinance of their God: they ask of me the Ordinances of justice: they take delight in approaching to God. Wherefore have we fasted; say they, and thou foest not? I hope, by this time, (whatever they be to Master Baxter) to the indifferent Reader, These things will not be utterly improbable. So much for your Conjectures and Imaginations. To your arguments, 7. You say, Christ prayed before-hand for Peter, that his faith should not fail: therefore his charity was not totally Extinct. Answ. The Church of Rome tells you, by the mouth of all her Doctors, that it doth not follow. Faith they say, may be separated from Charity. But admit it cannot; yet where iniquity doth so abound that Charity waxeth cold, there Faith will grow to weak and danguide, yea so dead, that it will not justific. It is Bot the Metaphysical but the Moral truth or goodness, that makes our Faith or our Charity a grace, or duty, and tis the prevailing degree onely that qualifies it for acceptance un-

tude, I leave you to confider. But I am perswaded otherwise; that the prayer of our Saviour (in that respect) was a preservative only against a Final, not against a Total defection; and the admonition, which our Saviour gave him to improve his restitution for the benefit of others, doth confirm me. Et tu aliquando conversus confirma Luke 22. 32. fratres suos. And when thou art converted, strengthen thy brethren. Here is a new conversion, which in Scripture notion, (as hath been shewed out of Amefins and others, and your own writings) signifieth the same work upon the soul, that Regeneration doth. 8. To conclude this: you say, They that build on the Rock, persevere in trial, (Matth. 7.25.) became they build on the Rock; But David and Peter had built upon a Rock: Therefore, I think they did not totally fall from habitual grace. Answ. Here's a hard argument indeed; hewen out of the very Rock: but the best' on't is, 'tis but Metaphorical, and Rocks. may be removed or rent a pieces; and so I' doubt not, to do by this, by his affiftance,

to justification; (which if I mi-

stake not is your own avowed do- Vbi supra:

ctrine) and whether the prayer of our Saviour

prevailed so far, or was extended to that lati-

whose kingdom was resembled to a Dan. 2.34; little stone cut out of the mountain 45. without hands. 1. You lay, they that build on the rock, persevere in trial: now I pray, give me leave to assume: But David and Peter did not perfevere in trial. Therefore they did not build upon the Rock. But you say, they had built upon a Rock: Therefore they did not fall totally. Answ. 2. An argument drawn from the time past to the time present, or time to come, is of no validity, unless it be understood of things that are absolutely immutable as God is, who is therefore stilled, which was and is and is to come. Indas had been faithful unto Christ, shall we conclude; therefore he continued so? So David had been a man after Gods own heart, but in the matter of Uriah the Scripture tells us he was not so. And Peter had own'd and honour'd Christ: but in the high Priests hall he did otherwise. 3. Therefore we must consider, that the Hebrews (whom the Greek writers of the New Testament are supposed to imitate as much as may be) having none but a Participle of the Present tense, are wont to make use of the Preterperfelt tense of their verbes in stead of it, which lignifies any part of time indefinitely, (as is to be observed, Psal, 1, 1, & 2. 1, & 10.3, 6, 10,

So that when our Saviour faith, He that heareth these sayings of Matth. 7. mine and doth them, is like to a man that built his house on a Rock; His speech imports thus much; He that hath for the time past, and doth for the time present, and shall for the time to come, hear these sayings of mine and do them, He is like unto a man that built his house on a Rock. Hereupon the Apostle exhorteth us, Let us not be weary in well doing for in due [ea-Gal. 6; 9. son, we shall reap if we faint not. 4. Our Saviours words are, Whosover heareth these sayings of mine and doth them; But was Davids gazing upon the beauty of Bathsheba, and then lusting after it, and afterwards sending messengers to her house to court her into his embraces; was this like the keeping of Christs sayings? Or after Christ had forewarn'd Peter of his danger, [Simon, Simon, behold Satan hath defired to have you, that he might fift thee as wheat] and torearmed him with his counsel Go into some place of retirement"; watch and pray, that ye * Job. 18.8. enter not into temptation, for him Mat. 26,41. to run into the Court (which he had reason to suspect would be nothing but a bloody Inquisition) to satisfie his Curiosity;

17. & 11. 1, 7. and frequently in other places) and so it doth denotare attum perpetuum;

not onely put his Faith to a stand, but overthrew it by a flat lie, to return again to seek out the temptation, &c. Was this keeping Christ's Jazings or doing them? This is just expounding the Holy Text by the Divels Comment. For tempting our Saviour Manb. 4.6. to cast himself down from the pinacle of the Temple; He useth this argument; If thou be the Son of God, caft thy self domn: For it is written, He shall give his Angels charge concerning thee, and in their bands they shall bear thee up, lest at any time thou dash thy foot against a stone. "I is true God hath promised the custody of Angels to secure his children tis Pfal. 92.13. in omnibus viis suis, in all their wayes. That is in their vocation. In Mas.4. Ibi vie pro vocatione, Scripture Phrasi ponuntur. Saith, Ægid, Hunnim. But the Divelapplies this promise to that most desperate precipitation of himself, to which he tempted our Saviour; Whereupon he repells the affault with these Matth. 4.7. words, It is written again thou shalt not temps the Lordthy God. In like manner, Christ hath said, Whosoever heareth these sayings of mine and doth them, is like unto a manthat built his, house upon a rock, and the rain descended and the flonds came, for the

and after one modest Interrogatory which did

windes blew upon that house and it fell not, besause it was built upon a rock. But (as the Divel left out [in all his mays,] there: so you leave out, whosever heaveth these sayings of mine and doth them bere, and apply the stabiliey, or lecurity (which in the words of our Saviour doubtless belongs onely to the obedient (to David and Peter, in their highest impiety and prefumption; not remembring, that he builds upon a far different foundation, who acts against his conscience. Quod se Contra conscientiam adificat ad gehennam. 5. I finde you infnared in the same fallacy [A disto secundum quid, ad distum simpliciter] as before; for the fecurity intimated by our Saviour is against temptation, from without. The rain descended, and the Mat. 7.25% flouds came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house, and it fell not; for it was founded upon a rock. Storms and tempelts and floods of advertity and persecution, though never so violent and impetuous, such obedient Disciples of Christ are secured against, continuing their duty: But if there be a Mine within that rock, or under-neath it, and fome barrels of powder lodged within it, and such a train laid, that if it be fet on fire, the Mine will spring; then the house may and will fall. And Metri 5. 19. so it is here, For out of the heart

of death. To this, let us adde in our constant practife Saint Judes direction, and Ver. 20.21. then (by Gods assistance which in fo doing, we cannot fail of) we shall never fall. Beleved, building up your selves on your most holy faith, praying in the hely Ghost, keep your selves in the love of God, looking for the mency of our Lord Jesus Christ unto esernal life. Answerto the 20 Section. Ruly Sir, (you say) I am willing to learn better that Dostrine that is according to Godiness, and to disclaim all that is against it? But you must bereafter learn to do us that justice, as not to take our expressions of the worst that the mercy of God will cover in a man obedient in the main, to be our descriptions of Godly men. Answ. Since you ask no favour in this caule, and you tell us, we must learn to do you

proceed evil thoughts, murders, adulteries, for-

nications false witaess, blasphomies, and these

were they that overthrow the honse in David and

in Peter. And therefore tis the duty of eve-

ry manto keep a special watch here; for his

Prov.4.23. it. Keep shy beart with all dili-

eternal weal or wo depends upon

gence; for out of it are the issues

ption of your Godly man or no (but it feems he may be fuch in those rags as well as when he is cloathed in that fine and clean linnen mentioned in the Revelation) when they are apparently incouragements to continue men in their difobedience and angodlinels, they ought to be reproved; And if you be as willing, as you pretend, to learn that Doctrine better, which is according to Godlinels, such reproofs will be acceptable and wellcome to you. In hope whereof, I shall indeavour to make it evident, that those Doctrines, viz. of election and perseverance, &ce. In the vindication whereof, you have taken so much, Unchristian liberty, to asperse and revile Tilenus, is not according to Godliness; and I prove it thus; That Doctrine whereby a groß sinner. v. g. an Adulterer or perjut'd person, is taught to be certain of his falvation, not onely certitudine objecti but also certitudine subjecti, before the renewing his repentance, that doctrine is not according to Godliness: But by the Synods doctrine and yours, touching election, perseverance, &cc. u gross sinner, v. g. an adulterer, or perjur'd perion is taught to be certain of his Salvation, not only Certitudine objetti, but also Certitudine

that justice, justice you shall have sand it is this
that when your expressions of what God will

cover, whether they amount to a full descri-

subjecti, before the renewing his repentance. Therefore that doctrine is not according to Godlines. The Major is evident, because such a doctrine, doth infeeble at least and weaken all exhortations to mortification and repentance; if it doth not evacuate the necessity of them. The Minor shall be proved by these four steps, 1. They hold not onely that every man may: but also that it is his duty, and he ought to be Certain of his election: De hao aserna & immutabili sui ad salutem elettione, eletti suo tempore, variis licet gradibus, & dispari mensura, certiores redduntur; saith the Synod: And in their seventh Reje-Alla.Syn. de ction; They reject those which Divin. preteach, Electionis immutabilis ad destart. 12. gloriam nullum in hac vita esse frup.243.fol. Etum, nullum sensum, nullam certi-P.247 tudinem, nisi ex conditione mutabili Gecontingente. The Divines of + Judic. Geneva * say, Hec electio nobis par Theolexters tefit in tempore, --- ut spem aterna p.56.thef 5. gloria certam concipiamus. Those ed in.fol. * Jud Theol. of North-Holland do affirm $^*: oldsymbol{D}_{oldsymbol{ heta-r}}$ Provinc. um in hac vita snos Electos per P.39.m. Spiritum Santtum, de hoc tanto 🐠 incomprehensibili suo (Electionis) benesicio cer-

tos facere. Sibrandus Lubbertus saith *,

Alignis de sui Electione in has vita, citra

* Ib.p.17. 1k:11,12.

yea, sensum & gustum Electionis sui percipere. And to this suffrage subscribed fo-bannes Polyander, Autonius Thysius & Antonius Waleus. The Divines of Hassia * do affirm, Fud. though no man can know him-Theol. ext. self to be of the number of the p. 32. m. Elect, a Priori, yet all and every believer may be certain of his election to cternal life, a Posteriori, that is, by the revelation of the word, and the testimony of the Spirit dwelling in them, and by the fruits or effects of their Election, which beleevers finde in themselves. And those of Geneva * say, there is not one of the Elest, that is arrived to the capacity * 1b.p.49.f. of reason, that doth not, afore his death, receive a most certain perswasion of that decree. To deny the sense and certainty of Election in this life, is to render Election it self unprofitable to the elect in this life, yea to abolish it, tum quead gratiam, tum etiam quoad gloriam, say the Divines of the Palaimate. * And those of Wed-* 1b.p=18 f. derav say, not onely Potest : † He † 16, p. 39. 16, 7. * 16, p. 44: may be certain of his Elect. But Oportet: * It behooves him to be th. 7. so. Nay, Potest & debet: He may and he ought to be certain of it;

peculiarem revelationem, certus esse potest---

say the Divines of Embden: ‡ and # 1b. p. 72. Unnsquisque too; every man pr. ought to be so. And the Belgick Professors By as much. * And this *Jud. Theol. certainty is without any If's, or provinc. p.7 thef.5. And's, saith Gomarns; † Fideles in + Ibid. hac vita de Electione sui salvisica P. 22. J. certi sunt, non solum hac conditithef. 12, one si perseveraverint : sed etiam absoluté. Quia sese perseveratures esse per Dei & Christi gratiam certi sunt. The faithful are certain of their Election, in this life; not only upon this condition, if they shall persevere: but absolutely. Because they are certain by the grace of God and Christ, they shall persevere. And this is the Doctrine of the whole Synad; who therefore do reject those who teach, There is in this life, no fruit, no sense, no certainty, of immutable Election unto glory, but upon a mutable and contingent condition; as was declared above. As they hold that every man may & ought to be certain of his Election, So they hold of his persevarance also; Of this preservation of the Elect unto Salvation, and perseverance of true beleevers in the faith, the faithful themselves may be and are ascertained. † And in their fisch Rejecti-🛉 A&a Syn. on, they reject those that teach, de pers. shat no certainty of future persever-San& thef. ance can be had in this life, without 9. p. 267.

frages of the Divines; but seeing they all subseribed these Arricles and Rejections, it will be
to no purpose to type the Reader with more
quotations, especially seeing they
maintain it to be the fruit and ef1.pa.268.

fest of Election.*

2. The second step to the probation of that
Miner proposition, [viz. That by the dostrine
of the Sunod a gross sinner, it tand by to be cor-

special Revelation. I might bring in the suf-

Miner proposition, [viz. That by the dostrine of the Synod a gross sinner, is taught to be certain of his satuation, before the renewing his repentance shall be this; that he who hath once examined his state, and findes himself thus certain of his election, and perseverance, may be able to remember it; if not, 'tis but taking his pen and ink and setting down the time when he took this examination, with that certainty which he found to be the result of it.

3. My third step, (or possulate) shall be this; that he who hath taken this pains to examine and shrive himself, and sound this com-

fort, a certainty of his election and perseverance; may notwithstanding fall into gross sins: Wherefore, saith the *AA. Syn. Synod *, they must continually depersev. Sand these watch, and pray, that they be not 4. p. 266. led into temptation, which when they do not, it is not only possible, that they should be carried away by the slesh, the world and the Divel, into grievous and beinous sins, but some-

ried away: which the lamentable falls of David, Peter and other of the Saints, described unto us in the Scripture, evidently shew. Whereupon Zanchy faith, Quod negem electosin atrocissima scelera ruere posse, calumnia est, quasi nesciam, & non deceam Davidis sce-* Lib. Mifc. lera, adulterium & homicidium, fuin depulf. isse atrocissima & gravissima.* Calum. 4. My fourth and last step topag. 307. ward a proof of that proposition shall be this; that he who is fallen into such heinous sins, may be able to remember the do-Arine that hath been taught him, concerning this point; or if he should forget it, he may have recourfe to his Authors, out * Inlibello of whom he hath learn'd it: And Chr. Queft. if he consults Beza, * he saith Indo Resp.p. 688. terrumpi interdum fateor in gravibus tentationibus Spiritum ___ nunquam tamen penitus eripidico; Et Paulò post. Sic veram sidem & ejus effecta in electis interrumpi dico, ut in iis qui lethargo laborant, & in Ebriis in quibus impediuntur anima facultates, non tamen anima ipsa tollitur, quum inter lethargum, ant Ebrietatem, & mortem ipsam plurimum interset, aterna verò vita cer-*In Engra. tum pignus habeant, qui adoptionis Catechet, Spiritum babent. And Ruardns Aq. 53.[11. fol. 89. crinius saith, * alshough, in the

times also, by Gods just permission, they are car-

be also manifold sins of ignorance and omission, doing what they should leave undone, and neglecting what they should perform; yet because these infirmities are covered with the merit of Christ, and for Christs lake are not imputed, they do not excusse the holy Spirit. Rom. 8. 1. There is no condemnation to them, &c. fo that should they assent to an errour, Quo fundamentum salutis, vel in totum vel ex parte, evertitur, whereby the foundation of Salvation, is, either in whole or in part, overthrown, or violate the command of God, against conscience (repugnante conscientia) and by that means sin mest grievously and foully, and through the most just judgement of God, loose the greatest gifts of the holy Spirit: yet are they not deprived of all, nor forfaken totally and finally. For God, who is rich in * All.Syn.de mercy, saith the Synod, * accordpersev. Sanc. ing to the unchangeable purpose Art.6.f.266 of Election, doth not wholly take away his holy Spirit from his, no not in their grievous slips, nor suffers them to wander so far, as to fall away from the grace of Adoption, and state of justification, or to commit the sin unto death, er against the holy Ghost, or to be altogether forsaken of him, and throw themselves headlong in-

sonis (of the Elect) the flames of lusts, of revenge, of hatred, and the burnings of divers

wickednesses do oftentimes arise: though there

that Minor proposition, viz. That a gross finner may be certain, &c. before his actual repentance of that sin. Whosoever may be certain of his eternal election and final persoverance, may be certain of his falvation. A man guilty of gross sin, v. g. an adulterer or prejur'd person, without an actual repentance of his sin, may be certain of his eternal election and final perseverance. Therefore. A man guilty of gross sin, v.g. an adulterer or perjur'd perlon, without actual repentance may be certain of salvation. The Major is evident of it self; because elettion and perfeverance do contain all things necessary to salvation. The Miner is proved thus, Wholoever after his unfeigned conversion, and some good progress in holiness, may become guilty of grossin, as adultery, &c. He, without an actual repentance of that sin, may be certain of his eternal election and final perseverance. A man after his unseigned conversion & some good progress in holiness may become guilty of gross singes adultery, &c. Therefore, a man guilty of gross su, as aduktery, &cc. without an actual repontance

so evernal destruction. Out of these four

grounds, I shall now give you the proof of

The Minor is evident by the examples of David, Peter, and others of the lapled Saints.

The Major is proved thus,

Whosoever may have examined the fincetity of his conversion and holiness, and may

of that fin, may be certain of his eternal ele-

Ction and final perseverance.

rity of his convertion and holinels, and may remember, the refult of that examination to be a certainty of his eternal election and final perseverance, he, though he becomes guilty of gross sin, as adultery, may without an actual

repentance, be certain of his eternal election and final perseverance. A man though he becomes guilty of gross sin, as adultery, may have examined the sincerity of his conversion and holiness, and may remember the result of that examination, to be a certainty of his eternal election and final perseverance. Therefore

without an actual repentance he may be certain, &c.

The Major is manifest; because election, and perseverance (supposed to be the fruit and effect of it,) are said to be absolute and immutable; therefore, he that is once certain is for

ever certain of them; not only certitudine objecti; but certitudine subjecti. The Minor is undeniable; because this examination of his state, and the certainty which follows it, being; said, to be possible, and his duty; A man that is unfeignedly converted, and hath made some

performed it. Let me illustrate this very considerable truth by an example. Suppose a Prince makes a Decree that every person, who is listed under his Command, and ingageth himself in fight, against the common enemy; shall be a Pensioner to him during life: he that knows himself to have been inlifted, and to have fought against the enemy, though he be for the present a captive in the power of the enemies hands, yet, supposing that Prince, to have an absolute, insuperable, and irresistible power, and will to execute his said Decree, (as the Synod hath determined in our case) he may (if he were sure of life, as men are of immortality) affure himself, to be that Prince his Penfioner, with as great a confidence, as if he had never been taken captive. By this you may see upon what soundation the Antinomians build their judgement, [mentioned by you, in the ninth opinion, of Pa. 12. p. the Saints Perseverance that though a believer fall into adultery and murder with David, or into Incest and drunkenness with Lot, he ought not to fear the loss of his justification, nor to be bumbled with such consideratiens, nor to rise from the sin with Wid: p. 39: such a motive. And though you think this opinion so gross, you need lay no more of it then disclaim it; yet, it

good progress in holiness, is presumed to have

had become a wife Master builder, much better, to have razed the foundation, of such an edifice, as gives harbour to such monsters of opinion, and to have plucked up the roots of fuch a pestiferous weed; which, I have some reason to believe, you had so full and sair a view of in the decyphering of those opinions. For the short is; A man may be certain of his immutable election and final perseverance, or he may not. If he may not; then (in the opinion of the Synodists *). * Fud. Theol.ext. the foundation of firm and folid 216.aph.9. confolation is blown up. If he GP. 223. may; (as they unanimously, and 1b.5.p.249: strictly maintain) then he may be 9.9. Jud. Theoloprov. so still, after he be fallen (as he P. 243.16.2. may be) into the most gross and tr 276. borrid fins imaginable; as is prothe [. 2. ved above. From hence I shall draw another argument to prove this Dodrine, to be a Dollrine not according to Godliness; which is formed thus. That Doctrine, which takes away (from some fort of men, under the guilt of gross fins) all fear of Gods displeasure, of hell fire, and of judgement to come, that is a Doctrine not according to Godliness. This Doctrine (which the Synod maintains) that a man may be absolutely certain of his immutable election and final perseverance, takes away, from

sins, all fear of Gods: displeasure, of bell fire, and of judgement to come. Therefore this Doctrine is not according to Godlines. The Major is proved; because the holy Scriptures do so frequently inculcate this fear of God, hell and judgement, as a prefervative against defection and a spur to Godlinels. Now the just shall live by faith that if any man dram, back my loul shall 4 Hebr. 10, have no pleasure in hims . Fear 38. him, who after he hath killed, hath power to cast into bell: yea, I say + Luke 12. anto you, fear him t. We must all 5. .. appear before the judgement feat of Christ-knowing therefore the terror of the Lord, me persmade men ** + 2 Cor. 5. 10, 11. The Miner is proved by what went before; and the undeniable consequence of the Synosis Doctrine. Qui sto Electi sunt, saith Tossanno, peninis rejici & deserinunquam possant stum quia ex decreto Dei certo & immarabili eliguntur;, tum quia non . pessant non semper diligis in Chris * Didifield : As and Who are to bleded can new per quest saken, both because they are chosen by Gods certain and immutable Decree, and also because they cannot but

some sort of men under the guilt of gross

apply it to one; that lies under the guilt of gross fin as he might,) for he argues thus; That which is impossible Of the S. Persev. (or certainly not future) need not, and ought not, and if known to be p. 28. f. Ge. such, cannot be the object of rational fear, and care to escape it. But the damnation, and the Manufactor any of the faultified, is impossible, or not suitire, and known so to be: (according to the Doctrine of the Calvinists) therefore it used not and must not be the object of their fear, and care to ofcape it. M. Baxter may please to take notice that the argument may be made use of, as rationally, by any perfor, under the guilt of Adultery, or any other walting fin, if he bath had any former sense, gift, or certainty of his immutable election, according to the Doctrine of the Synod. But what answer can M. Baxter give to this argument? For my own part, faith he, the answer ibat satisfieth me is this: 10. p. gi. that it's true that a known impossibility or non-faturity of evil doth evacuate rational fear: But then he that will be perfectly freed from that fear, must have a perfect knowledge of the impossibility, or non-futurity. But Christ and his Apostles knew that those, whom they wrote to, had no fuch perfect know-

always be beloved in Christ. M. Baxter had

notice of this argument (though he does not

M. Baxter distents from them; for he faith farther,) Nay more, it is not (at least by any ordinary means) to be expelted in this life, that this knowledge of our sincerity, Instification, and perseverance should be so perfett as to have no degree of doubting, habitual or altual. An ingenuous confession ! And, such is Ibid. p. 28. the force of truth, it limb drawn 2 further acknowledgement from him in thele words; Moreover, was annot deny but that carnal security, not onely in hypocrites, but in the godly themselves, may possibly, and too frequently take advantage for increases from the Doctrine of Perseverances In confideration whereof he con-Pag. 39. cludes afterward, that, a very great cautelousness according to the meight of our work, would be necessary, if our assurance of perseverance mere perfect. All and the second This, proceeding from so cleer, and full a conviction of the danger that inseparably attends those Doctrines, I cannot but wonder what should induce M. Baxter, with the hazard of his judgement to dispute so earnestly against the opposite Tenents; and not without manifest interfering in the procedure of his discourse, as will appear by the Bid. p. 14. reflexions now to be made upon

ledge: (It seems all the Divines of the Synod

had, however they came by it, and herein

en of those Ancients (saith he; meaning the Greek and Latine Fathers that were before the dayes of Angustine *) and of the Jesuites, Arminians, and Luche- * Pag. 3. rans, who deny an absolute personall Election of men to faith and perseverance, and so maintain indefinitely a totall and finall falling from a state of justification, without excepting such elect themselves, is an errour of dangerous consequence, against the grace and fidelity of God, if not against his wisdome and his power, and against the peace of the Saints: and therefore is to be carefully avoided and refifted; by those that would not wound their faith: Answ. I consesse 'tis of dangerous Consequence indeed, if it be against Gods grace and fidelity, &c. but, who faith it is? Do the Maintainers of that opinion judge so? No. Then tis possible the inference of an Adverfary may be drawn out by passion and prejudice, and so not naturally follow, but onely as it is forced to serve an interest. Whether this be of fuch an extraction, we shall examine presently, assoon as I have demanded, How those severall Parties forementioned, could except such elect themselves, (as you speak) when (as you confesse) they deny there are any such etell? But let us look upon the dangerous Consequence of this, which

another Passage in that Treatise. The Opini-

you call Errour. 1. Against the Grace of God, you fay; Then it seems the whole Church of God, or next to the whole; (as you confesse) hath held an errour of dangerous consequence, against the Grace of God for thirteen or fourteen hundred Account of years at least (as you write, though Perfeu. pag. somewhat incongruously; for it should have been more properly, fourteen or thirteen hundred at + Of Sav. least: (but as you tell M. Barlaw, it Kanb. pag. 24. we all write incongruously sometimes; therefore that may passe). We cannot extoll the Grace of God hufficiently: But we do not advance, but undervalue it, when we take upon us to boundar, 'or weigh it out at our own pleasure. Doctor Sanderson hath observed, the word [Grace is one of the three words, that occasions most of the greatest controverses in the Church, for want of a due explication. But how were those Antients, and how is that opinion, (which you call an Errour of dangerous consequence) against Gods Grase? Doth it conv clude a man may be converted and faved withour Gods Grace? you will not affirm it. Doth it follow from that opinion, that a man may receive the Grace of God in vain, or be wanting to it, or fall from it? If any of these, or all of them be the Errour and of fuch a dangerous Consequence; tis at least a Consequence of Scripture. Receive not 2 Cor.6.1. the grace of God in vain. Take Hebr. 12. beed lest any man be wanting to the Gal. 5. grace of God: ye are fallen from Grace. Lastly, is this opinion against the Grace of God, because it implies, that mans Cooperation is indispensably necessary with it, that it may avail unto his finall falvation? This is not onely Bernard's Doctrine but Saint Austins also. Tolle liberum Arbitrium, non erit quod salvetur; tolle gratiam, non erit unde (alverur; saith Bernarda: and Augustineb, to the same purpose, a Troft. de grai. & lib. Sinon est Dei gratia, quemodo salvat mundum? Si non est liberum b Ep. 46. ad arbitrium, quomedo judicat mun-Valentinum. dum. If there be not grace how shall he save the world? If there be not Free-will, how shall he judge the world? 2. You say this errour is of dangerous consequence against Gods Fidelity. Why against his Fidelity? Fidelity relates to ones word or promises. Fidelis quia est verax in omni verbo, ac speciarim Jacob Laur in 1 Pet. 4. in omni promisso sao? Faithfull is бі, ; he that hath promifed, faith the Apostle. But hath God passed his Heb. 10.23. word or promile to any man for

Perseverance, as you there speak of? I trow not. There are conditions annexed to his promises, upon which they are suspended. Heb. 4. 1. Let us therefore fear, least a promise being left, of entring into his rest, any of you, should come short of it. Be thou faithfull unto the death, and I will give thee a Rev. 2, 10. crown of life. If we fail not of our Fidelity to him, doubtlesse he will not fail of his to us; He will make good his word and perform his part; Faithfull is he that hath called you, who also will do it. Nay though we be un-# Thef. 5.25 faithfull, yet he abideth faithfull, See 2 Tim. 2 he cannot deny himself; but, ha-11.12,13. ving past his word to that purpole, if we deny him, he will de-Account of ny us. You say, indeed, It is Perfev. pag, impoffible that true Grace (hould be 37. lost totally and finally. First, bebath not onely decreed the perfevecause God the sanctified, but also the Holy rance of Ghost hath undertaken it as his speciall charge. To which I answer, I Whether God hath decreed the perseverance of the Sanctified, is the queltion; and that you are not certain of the truth of it, appears, in that you dare not venture your salvation upon it, as Abid. p. 17. you confesse. 2. If the Holy

such an absolute personall Election to Faith and

Incumbency, those under his charge, as they may grieve him by abusing their liberty to evill, so may they despite him, and drive bim quite away, by their contumacy in it. But, Secondly, you say, the faithfullnesse of God (as farre as I can yet Ibid: understand it) is by his promise engaged for the perseverance of all the truly jua stified and Santtified Believers. Antw. Shew us such an (absolute) promise, and it sufficeth. If you cannot produce any but conditionall; we are where we were, and no further. 3. You lay, If not against his wisdome and his power. Why, [If not?] was it not a suggestion to render the opinion, you coatest against odious? Sure you know there can be no such matter; For 1. Who hath been Gods Counsellor? must be forfeit his wisdome, if his Decrees be not calculated to every man's humour? And 2. for his Power, how is that any way impeached by this opinion? Doth it suppose him to Alt to the uttermost of his pomer, and yet to be defeated in his enterprise. Thus never did any Divine that was well in his

Ghost hath undertaken that charge absolutely;

then every miscarriage in such a person under

his custody, is that undertakers failing, and

argues want of power, of care or fidelity. If

he hath undertaken that charge but condition

onally; then, notwithstanding his office and

Groo Relig. Self: 12. tency. Well may a man despise the riches of his goodnesse and forbearance and long-sufferering: But Rom. 2. 4. (in this tense) Who hath resisted Rom. 9. 19. his will? Saint Augustine, whom you look upon, as your great Fanter and Paeron in this cause, (though you diffent from bim too) shall shut up this. To this question; Whencesthe good will (in men) should be; if by nature, why is it not in all, seeing it is the same God, that In libr. de is the Creator of all? If it be by Spiritu G lithe gift of God, why is not this in tera ad Marall likewise, seeing be would have cellinum" c. all men to be saved? To this que-33. frion, his Answer is very remark. able to our purpose, Unit Deus omnes homines salvos fieri, non sic tamen, ut eis adimat liberum arbitrium, que vel benè vel male utentes justissime judicemur. Quod cum sic, Insideles quidem contra voluntatem Dei facione, cum ejus Evangelio non credunt : nee ideo tamen eam vincunt, verum seipsos fraudant magno & summo bono, malisque pænalibus implicant, experturi in supplictis potostatem ejus, cujus in donis misericordiam contempserunt. You fee then that this opinion is of no dangerous consequence against the grace,

wits say, as you confesse, that

Grace is the effect of Gods Omnipo-

Preface to

you unjustly charge upon the other? Is it not against the Grace of God, 1. In your preterition, which denyes Grace to the farre greatest part of mankinds 2. In your Physicall irresistible operation, which turns Grace into necessity to all others? Is it not against Gods misdome to injoyn that, under promimises of ite, and threatnings of damnation, to perfors, that cannot possibly refuse it, to whom likewise he is supposed to have promised the irresistable effecting of it? And is it not against his wisdome, to invite others, and affure them, by oather and objeffacions, of a free and hearty well-come to the fruition of than shoth and and means, which by an instrume able Decree he have absolutely debart'd them of, from all eternity, according to your Doctrine? , Indeed I find you have made a good Provision to secure Gods Endeling from violation in reference to the unregenerate; maintain, though they be in Co-Disput. of venant with him and oblige them-Right to Saselves, yet he is not obliged, as a cram, p. 420. Covenanter to them; for he bath nomere outside promises, when he Pag. 422. meaneth not as he speaks. And after

or fidelity, much lesse against the wisdome and power of God. But hath not your own Do-

Ctrine that very dangerous influence which

are really in covenant as to their externall ingageing alt; and this they may break. But doth it follow that they cannot violate their own promise, unlesse God be altually obliged by promife to them? This may very well fecure Gods. Fidelity: but whether his fincerity will be salved by it, I make some question. Doth he by his Embassadours and by himself, use so Mat. 23. much holy courtship, with prayers Luk. 19, and tears to allure poore finners, and draw them into covenant to ferve him, and all the while keep himself disingaged, make them no grant, not so much as passe his word, for any thing really sufficient cominable them to do, what he with so much importunity and the greatest expressions of love and tendernesse imaginable, urges and ingages them to do? This to my apprehension, doth intrench so much upon the riches of his mercy (whereby are given unto us exceeding great and precious promises, that by these you might be partakers of the Divine + Divinæ nature) † that I cannot readily, natura no-(without much greater evidence) mine, non ef. subscribe to it. seniam sed participationem qualitatum intelligit, qua imago Dei in nobis restitut-tur. Bek. not, min. ad 2, Pet. 1. 4.

you tell your Adversary, Unregenerate men

upon this opinion, afore you leave it. You charge it therefore in the Rear, That it is against the peace of the Saints. Answ. 1. I wonder that you of all the Calvinists in Eu. rope should make this objection, having written so much against it. Do you not confesse in the same papers, that the Lutherans, Armimians and othersof that opinion have as much peace, and with as little doubting as your selves? It is very clear, you say, that the denyall of the Doctrine of the Per-Account of severance of all the sanctified, doth S. Persev. not necessarily destroy all Christian Pag. 19. consolation. And a little after, It were unreasonable and uncharitable to think that none of the Antient Churches (who wese all of these Opinions as you confesse for thirreen or fourteen hundred years together) that differed from us in this, had Christian peace; that none of the Lutheran Protestants, or Arminians now have peace; that such holy men as Austin and Luther &c. were deprived of peace. A little after; If we could not have joy and peace in believing, except we receive it from the certainty of our own perseverance, then it would follow, that exceeding few even of them that bold the Doctrine of the Persevenance of all the justified, have joy and reace in believing. For that Dostrine of Perseverance can give assu-

But you have one affault more, to make

rance of their own perseverance to Ibid.peg 20. none but those, that are certain of their sincerity and justification.---But too fad experience, you lay, telleth us that there be but few, exceeding few of the godly, among us, that are certain of their sincerssy, justification and salvation. Insomuch that you. conclude not long after; I never knew the manthat attained any more then such a strong persuasion, mixed with some doubt-P.42. 214 ings and fears, yet so far overcoming them, as to live a peace-Peg. 25. able joyfulllife. And foure pages after, you say, We cannot deny but shat the Doctrine of the certain perseverance of all the Sanctified, may accidentally occasion much more trouble then consolution, to many doubting jouls that are fincere. 2. Whom would you gratifie by your Do-Ctrine do Those sierce Disputers for Assurance, which you mention, that lay they are sure of their salvation, with a great confidence; for want of other Arguments; Or those Opinionists, that no sooner run away 1b.p. 20.21. from the communion of the Church, but find themselves prefently wraps up with such a seeming certainty: or the passion are feelings of Hypochendriac all wemen, who after such a sudden sit of pretonded assurance, fall into stronger pangs of

will serve, but that of the flesh. But care would be taken, lest this pretended peace of the Saints, Mould justle out the reall fear of God; and to the Preachers of it be worthily reckoned amongst those, that are lovers of pleasures more then lovers of God. 3. 'Tis most certain, the work of righteousnesse shall be peace, and the effect of rightcoulnes quietness and assurance 150.32,17. for ever. But would you have Cordials for them in their lapses, Adultery, Insest, perjury, drunkennesse, Oc. Take heed you do not cry peace, when there is no peace; For there is no peace to the wicked, saith my God. 'Tis your affertion, findoth as naturally breed troubles and fears, as the setting of the Sun causeth darknesse, or as a grosse substance in the Sunshine can- Ibid. p. 40. seth a shadow; And this from the nature of the thing, and by the will of God. Therefore that of the Pselmist is considerable: I will bearken what the Lord will say; for he shall speak peace to his Saints; but let them not turn back to folly: Why? Becaule then, he will speak to them in his wrath. 4. In this case, (towards the renewing of repensance, the opinion you condemn doth afford much more incouragement and comfort

doubting and trouble than any others? I pro-

fesse I cannot see, what interest that Doctrine

ders of it hold no man excluded by an Anteeedent irrespective Decree from that peace of God which passesh all understanding; nor from the intercession and other benefits of Christs bloud, which speaketh better things then that of Abel; as yours do; if not in word, yet certainly in effect. Lastly, what provision have you made for the Saints Refuge, or to secure Pag. 22. f. their peace? you tell us ingenuoully, in your-forementioned Pa-There is no man of greatest bolinesse certain that he shall not fall into some odious Scandalous sin; For though there be promises of our perseverance in a state of grace (you should put in Conditionall) yet in the judgement of all, there is no promise to the best of us all, that me shall not fall into any such beinous particular sinno: No man is certain but he may be Drunk as Noah was, or Incestuous as Lot was, or commit Adultery and Murder as David did, or deny Obrist as Peter did. Now what shall become of such a man, if he so die, and there is no man that can be certain, (without extraordinary divine Revelation) but he may so die before Repentance? In Disput, of this cale after one or two conje-Justif. pag. ctures, (which you have little 388. grounds and no proofs for) you

then that you maintain; because the Defen-

reloive, in these words; If we should conelude that God hath purpofely left men of such a middle condition (and no man is sure but he may be left so) without any certainty how be will deal with them, that so no man may be incouraged to fin, and in impudency, I think it no dangerous Doctrine, nor injurious to the body of saving Truth. And thus you see, what your pretended errour' [of such dangerous confequence, against the grace and fidelity of God, if not against his wisdome and his power, and against the peace of the Saints] is come to. Your next thoughts, (which I shall reflect upon in this 20. Section of your Preface) are, That men are to be judged Godly or Ungodly according to the predominant Estimation, Election, Resolution, and Operation of their souls, and the bent and course of their lives, and not by a particular Act: because no act will prove us holy indeed, but what proveth a Habit; and a predominant Habit. To this I shall make no difficulty to subscribe with these restrictions. 1. If when you speak of a particular Act, there be no equivocation in the word particular; As when David is taxed for the matter of Uriah, and Peter for his thamefull denying of Christ; if you take these for Particular Acts (wherein notwithstanding, there was such a complication of continued enormities) you may as well take a

life, befor that up that it begets no prejudice against such a predominant estimation &c. For if after they have escaped the pollutions of the morid, through the knowledge of the Lord and Savieur Jesus Christ, they are again intangled therein and covercome, the latter end is world with them than the beginning. 2 Pet. 2, 20. And if any man draw back, my soul shall have no pleasure in him. Hebr. 10:38. In his trespasse that he hath trespassed, and in his sin that he hath sinned, in them shall be die. Ezek. 18.24. And if the tree falleth toward the South or toward the North, in the place where the tree falleth, there it shall be. Eccles. 11. 3. 3. That one single Act of grosse sin doth set a man further back, then a single Act of the contrary virtue can let him forward; because though the good cannot deserve heaven, the had do demerit hell; and (2.) though one fingle Act be not the performing, yet one may be the violating that Covenant, which doth oblige us to serve God in bolinesse and righteousnesse all the dayes of our life. Luk. 1. It follows in that 20. Section, That men thus Habituated, never live in a course of wil. full fin:--- and the ungodly have never one true act of saving love to God. This is true Re-

Sedition or Rebellion carried on with violence and bloud for severall years together for a

particular Act. 2. If the last Scope of a mans

duplicative as thus Habituated, or ungodly and in sensu composito: but not insensu diviso; For the Righteeus may turn from his rightesusnesse, and so may the ungody from his angodlinosse. Intervals and vicissitudes they may have: but instead of judging one by the best, or the ether by his worst hour, tis our duty to call the sinner to repentance, and to tell the dutifull; Blessed is that servant, whom hes Lord when he cometh shall find so doing. For your, advise to Master Pierce, to try bimself, I like it well, if it proceeds from a charitable Monitor; not so well, if from & supercilious censor: And truely the close of your Section carries some suspicion that it doth so; For you say (and in perfect sense of your own severity; which induced you to usher in your faying, with this Preface; Be not angry with me if I tell you) that if I must needs choose one of the two, I had rather die in the state of David before Nathan spake to him, or of Peter, after he had denied his Lord, then of M.Pierce, that hash committed no such sin, nove after this Book, which it's like you repent not of (with the rest of your failings, which are known to God.) A strange Option, to fall from a considering Person! You say (Sect. 18.) that the sin of Peter and David put them into that present incapacitie for heaven, that Actuall Repentance, and deep and serious Repentance 100, was necessary to their recovery and forgivenesse:

What, had you rather die in the state of David or Peter, (under the guilt of those wasting sins) that is, in such an incapacitie for heaven, that Actuall Repentance, and deep and Serious Repentance too, were necessary for your recovery and forgivenesse ; had you rather die in this state, then in the state of Master Pierce? If you be advisedly of this mind, where is your Faith for your felf? Do you believe a third state after this life wherein your foul may be purified and made fit for hear ven? Where is your charity to M. Pierce? Of evils we presume you would choose, that which you thought the least. And do you think his foul in a worse condition then theirs, who are in a present incapacity of salvation, and stand in need of an Actual Repentance, and a deep and serious Repentance too, in order to their recovery and pardon? Why, what evill hath he done? He hath written a book, a very learned book (as M. Baxter worthily commends it) in vindication of him. self from those slanders, which an eager Anragonist, had cast upon him for a former vindieation of his God. Herein Master Baxter (as if he had taken his line and plumet, and sounded the depth of Master Pierce's heart

You would tempt a man to think that you do not believe your felf, or at least, that you

uttered those passages very unadvisedly.

to the very bottome, and discovered clearly from what fountain, they issued) finds (in his opinion) uncharitable passages; and these induced him, to resolve, if he were put to it, to prefer that Option. Be not angry, Sir, if I put S. James his question to you upon this occasion: Are you not then partiall in your self; and become a judge of evill thoughts? 7am. 2.4. For you are clean contrary to God in judgement. He judgeth the person by the works: you judge the works by the person. The bitterest expressions that sall from your Dissenting Brethren, you can have this excuse for; We are united in Christ, and Disput. of Right to Sain hearty love to one another----We are so far agreed, that we do cram, in the without seruple profess our selves of Preface. the same Faith and Church: -- And if any salt be mingled in our writings (which is nsuall in Disputes which are not lifelesse) it is intended rather to season then to fret, or to bire that which each one takes to be an errour, rasher then the man that holdeth it.---eAnd thus on both sides, those that erre, and those that have the truth, doshew that errour is the thing which they detest, and would disclaim it, if they law io; and that Truth, is it which they love, and are zealous for it, so farre as they

know it. Sir, a little of this candor or charity

those passages in Master Pierce his book (at least to alleviate your censure) than what you put upon it. But the judgements of some men are so byassed towards the Party they have espoused; that what they account but veniall or infirmitie, if not laudable in them, shall be censured as damnable in those against whom they fet themselves in opposition. To this purpose I find an observation so pertinent, in that profound Doctor, D. Thomas fack fon, thre I cannot: B. Io. of bis Comforbear to transcribe it for the bement on the nefit of the Reader, The Turks, Creed. pag. sith he, being ignorant, or not 3181. confidering that there is an Immus. table goodnesse precedent so the Act or exercise of Gods will; A Goodnesse, whereof his will; however confidered, is no cause; For it is coeternal to his will, to his wisdome and Essence: they fall into grolly absurd errours. And confequently unto this their ignorance for to: the common errour, that all things are good one ly because God willech them, they sometimes bighly commend, and sometimes deeply discommend the selfsame practises for quality and circumstances, with as great vehemency of zeak and spirio, and with as fair protostations of obedience in all things to Gods will, as any other men do.

would have made a better construction of

the reason? Selimus his attempt sound good successe ; for he prevailed against his Father, and this was an argument, that it was Gods will that he should so do. But Bajazet miscarries in his attempt against his Brother, and his disafter was a proof sufficient that God was displeased with his attempt, it was not his will that he should prosper. And seeing his will is the onely Rule of Goodnesse, seeing he did predestinate shese two Princes, as he did Jacob and Elau, the one to a good end, the other to an evill; the self same. Fast or attempt was good in the one, but wicked in the other. We all condemm in as an errour in the Turk, for mousuring the difference between good and evill, by the event. But oven this errour hath an Originall which is worse. They therefore measure all good and evil by the event, because they ascribe all Events (without exception) to the irresistible will of God, and think that nothing can fall out otherwise than is doth; because every thing is irrefiftibly appointed by Gods will, which in their Divinity, is such a necessary Cause of Caules, and by Coasequence of all Effects, as the Author tof the said Epistle would have it to be. Who- + M. Burton

For Selimus to attempt the deposition of his

Father, was in their Divinity a good and godly

Act. For Bajazet to take Arms against kis

Brother, was an abominable impiety. What was

the Cause of Causes) is the onely cause of fach evill. But is the like sinne or errour expressly to be found in Israel? Do any make the same Fast for nature, quality and substance, to be no sin in one man, and yet a sin in another? or to be a little sin in one men, and a grievous out-crying sin in another? Though they do not avouch this of Rebellious attempts against Prince and State or of orber like publick Facts, cognoscible by bumane Laws; yet the Principles of Predestination commonly held by them and the Turk, draw them to the like inconveniences, in transforming the immutable Rule of Goodnesse into the Similitude of their partiall affections in other The Adultery and Murder, which David committed, had been grievous sins in another man, but in David being predestinated, they were but sins of insirmity: sins by which the outward man was defiled, not the inward man. Such a fin was incest, in Lot; Such are all the sins committed by the Elect. Thus facre Doctor Jack on,

loever he be, whether Jew, Turk, or Chri-

stian, which thinks that all events are so irre-

sistibly decreed by God, that none can fall

out otherwise then they do, must of necessi-

ty grant, either that there is no morall evill

under the Sun, or that Gods will (which is

And this is as like Master Baxters doctrine as if that great Prophetick spirit, had been in his very bosome at the writing of those passages. For, saith Master Baxter, The sinne of Peter, David, &c. was exceedingly in regard of manner, ends, concomitants, &c. different from the like Fast in a gracelesse In his Preman, And two Sections after, face Self. 18 Men thus habituated (to Godli-(materially) nesse) never live in a course of more beinous willfull fin (though elsewhere †, Self, 20. +D Spui.Sahe faith, How long Afa or Solocram. pag. mon sin'd we know not: Nor can 331. any man possibly determine justly how long a man may live in the practife of such a fin, and yet have true speciall Grace, and a state of Justification:) nor have any one sin which for Ends, concomitants and all, is such as that of unsanctified men. What I do the Godly mans Relations extenuate his Commissions? Is his fin lesse, because his light, and Gods love towards him have been greater? The more indeerements he hath received, the more is his ingratitude heighten'd: and the more incouragements have been conterr'd, to continue him in his allegeance, the more execrable is his Apostasie and Rebellion; and all those sweet and gracious experiences of Gods favour, which he hath injoyed, by his perversity, are raised up to be Aggravations

of his crime.

But Master Baxter having considered too, That, as it is a greater measure of spirituall refining and purity, that is promised and justly expected under the Gospel, so a greater measure must be looked after by every man in himfelf, and by the Guides of the Church in its members; yet herefolves, that a man may be oft drunk, and oft commit forni-Ib.pag. 319. cation, he knows not justly how oft, and jet have true grace. And a little after, We know many shat we see great signs of grace in, and that are well reputed of as eminent for Godlinesse, that do frequently commit as great fins, as some kind of rash swearing seems to be: For example, It is too rare to meet with a person so conscionable, that will not frequently back bite, and with some malice or envie speak evil of those that differ from them in judgement, or that they take to be against them, or that they are fall'n out with: They will ordinarily censure them unjustly, and secretly endeavour to disgrace them, and take amay their good names, and love those that joyn with them in it: So how many Profes-Pag. 330. Sers will rashly raile and ly in their passions? (yea, and out of their passions too.) How few will take well a reproof, but rather defend their sin? How many in these times, that we doubt not to be godly, bave been guilty of disobedience to their Guides,

and of Schism, and doing much, to the hurt of the Church? A very great sin. Yes, with a witnesse, and would have deserved Sequestrarim at the least, if it had been committed by persons of an-other persuasion, than your Party is of: but in your selves, these and whatever fins else, Adultery, Murder, Incest, Denying of Christ, cannot be of moment enough to cut a man off from the state of grace. But rell it not in Gath, pub-Ubi supra tish it not in the streets of Askalon: in Preface You were loath, you say, these pag. 17. things should have been made publick, as knowing how unfit it was for the eyes of the profune. In your Preface there. This makes me reflect upon what you have written concerning mens placing Treatife of their Religion and holinesse in Conversion, their opinions, and so turning from pag. 297. the life of Faith and Love, to speculation and vain janglings. This is a bait, you say, by which the Devil hath caught multitudes of Jouls in all ages of the Church, and especially of late: when be connot keep men in open profanenesse, then he will tempt them to thinks that such a Party, and such a Sect are the onely right and hely people; and therefore if thou get but among them, and be one of that opinion and Party, then then shalt be saved. And hence it is that we see that men who are so

zealous for their Parties, and glery so much in severall opinions, do yet many, and very many of them live so unacquaintedly with God and Heaven, and are such strangers to Christian charity, and can freely repreach both common Christians and Ministers, and Speak evil of the things they understand not, and take their railing Accusations for their Piety, and walk in discord and hatred, and disunion from the Church of God, and be glad when they can bear down the reputation of their brethren, whose labours are necessary for the good of souls. This I confesse is a most undeniable, though a most sad truth. But it would be considered withall, Whether, it were not some of the present Leaders of the people, that have caused them to erre so horribly in this particular; and whether they do not still flatter and sooth them up in it, by persuading them, they continue in a safe condition, their state of Grace is no whit interrupted, they are very Godly persons for all that? These and such like Doctrines and practices are they; that give no lesse scandall then advantage to the Socinians, as Szliebting in upbraideth Meisner; (disputing that Questia on with him. [Num ad regnum Dei possidendum necesse sit in nullo peccato Evangelicæ doctrinæ adverso In Prafat. Pag. 5. manere?] Hec questio ita est

comparata, saith he, ut homines mirum in modum hic semetipsos ludant ac decipiant. Aliter enim sese de ea sentire credunt, quam revera sentiunt. Nam cum aperte negare non audeent, etite sanctitate, & a vitiis puritate ad regnum Dei possidendum opus esse; si penitius eorum sensum mentemque excutias, longealiter statuunt. Tantoque nocentior est his error, quanto occultior. Meifnerus, certe ita hunc locum disputar, ut non tantum ie, quod asserit Socious, se concedere dicat. fed etiam longe majorem fanctitatis perfectionem requiri affirmet, Socinumque & exemplis, & dictis, & rationibus, & omni denique argumentorum apparatu urget, quod aliquid destanto pietatis rigore remitrat. At si quid in recessu lateat inspicias, deprehende omnia illorum de pietate dicta splendida, atque magnifica, eo tandem recidere, ut in peccatis, vitusque manentibus, quamvis ante vitæ, exitum deposita inon fuerint, indu-Aisoin eorum locum virtutibus, dummodo morientes meritum Christi sibi applicent, peccatorumque dolore tangantur, Regni cœlorum jus & hæreditatem asserant. Hoc verò quid, aliud est, quam quod in speciem necessarium ad regni Dei adeptionem esse dixeras, neipfa non necessarium esse statuere? That is, [Whether it be necessary for a man, to the obtaining the Kingdome of God, that he

Doctrine of the Gospel] This Question, faith he, is so stated, that men do strangoly delude and cheat themselves in the coses which they fancy themselves to have another sons of the businesse, then indeed they have. For although they dare not flatly deny, but such an exact how linesse and clearnesse from fin x is, needfull to the obtaining the Kingdome of God; yet if one look more narrowly into their fense and thoughts, no Bull find them quite of another mind. Now this errour is the more dangerous for being so close and covers. Meisnerus in his disputing the controverse does not onely acknowdeage what Socious afferess but will bewere far greater measure of sanctity required; and sakes Socious to task, both with Examples, Tostimonies, Reasons, and all manner of Arguments elfe, for his remitting any thing of that height and rigour of Riesy. But if a man looks what lyes mithin shoft mens breaks, he shall find all their high and splanded professions of Piety fignifie no more at last than this? They believe that though men ije in never lo many fine and iniquities to their dring day, without any regard to vertuous and good living, yes if at their expiration they can apply the merit of Christ to themselves, and be touched with a remorfe for their sins, they may challenge the Kingdome of beaven as their due right and inberitance. And what's this but to say in plain

continue in no one fin which is contrary to the

terms, that what they had before affirmed in shew to be necessary towards the obtaining Gods Kingdome, is in very deed and really not neo cessary? Thus in his Praface. And in his Disputation he renews the reproach in these words ; Jaman- Pag 50. tè monvimus, Meisnerum, & qui Theologiam istorum sequentur, in speciem rigidos esse pietaris propugnatores, reipla tamen nihil minus quam hoc agere. Nec ulla alia isbius fucati rigoris est caufa, quam qu'id non multura in eo ad falucem situmcesse putent, his quis veta vita landitate lit prædicus, sixe minus. Itaque de pletate sie loquantur, tanquam si in alieno foro res agerentre Serenue pietatem extollunt, etiam minimos, actus, peccati damnare docent, dummodo sidi sine solució discrimine liceat non tantum actus vitiolos committere, sed etiam in habitibus hærere. Probitas laudetur & alget. Revocate tantum ad ulum pietatem, & mox nobiscum sentietis. That is. We told you formerly bow Meisterns and the rest of the Disciples of these kind of These logy, are for their out fide very rigid Champions of Piets: but in with they mind nothing leffer Nor is there, any other reason for this their diffembled rigidnesse, but their persunfrom and belief that it is of lutter or no consequence to salvation, whether is man be en-

dowed with true Holinesse or not. Hence it is shat they fill speak of Piety, as if they were pleading a cause wherein themselves are not at all concerned. They cry it up for excellent, and tell us that the smallest sins will damn a man; but themselves the whilest will have leave both for the Alts and Habit of sin, and yet without endangering their salvation: they are bot in the commendation of Vertue, but cold in the practice of it. Recall but that once, and you had I shall soon be of a judgement. Mnd now it will fall in very leafonably, to examine the truth of what you would fain have granted you (because you are notable to prove it,) in the 36. Section of your Preface. Where you arge Master Pierces (upon a false ground thus ? Let that then be known to be the difference, that they make God * Calvinists. more gracious, and man more sinfull and impotent than you do ! and do not say, that which is not so, that they make Godlesse Gracious, because they make man more finfull. But we must not swallow to grossea fallacy, as you would put upon your Reader; Do not say, that they make God lesse Gracious, because they make man more sinfull. Why, who doth say so? That their making man more finfull, is the Caufe of their making God less Gracious? The Fallacy is Cause pro non Causa. But as they assign other Reasons

impotent.) 1. Which fide is it, that restrains Gods will and intention of faving; to a few, even of those, whom he calleth to salvation? Is it not the Synod and the Calvinists ? Which side doth extend Gods will and intention of faving to all that are called, according to the holy Scriptures, which fay, God would have all men to be saved, and come to the knowledge of the truth. I Tim. 2. and, He would not that any hould perish, but that all might cone to repentance. 2 Pet-3. Is it not Master P. Tilenus and the Remonstrants? which of them then make God most Gracious? 2. Which of the Parties is it, that ascribes to God, none but an externall will, or an outward signification onely, and that in the first intention of God ineffectuall, when he calls the Reprobates to falvation; nay rather, who is it that attributes to God plainly a feigned will, whereby he would seem willing to save them, whom he hath professedly willed and decreed to Reprobate, that is, to destroy, for his own glory? Is this the Doctrine of the Remonstrants, or of the Calvinists? 3. Who are they that do attribute to Almighty God a will, whereby he wills that they whom he

why you make God lesse Gracious. so with

Good Reason they affirm, that you make

man lesse finfull, (though in some sense more

(which he hath willed to deny them the grace to do) abould become guilty of a greater condemnation? Is this suitable to that Name proclaimed to Moses, Exod 34.6. The Lord God Mercifull and Gracious? And is this the Doctrine of the Remonstrants, or of the Calvinists ? But you argue (Section 33. He that saith [All that ever are sanitified truly, shall be saved? doth more advance the grace of God, shan be that saith some that shall never be saved, are fanctified. Answ. 1. You must nor obtrude a fallacy upon us, A ditto simpliciter: For all that are truely lanckified shall be laved, Mat. 5. 8. Blessed are the pure in beart, for they shall see God. But if they cease to be sanctified, the case is altered. Ezek. 18. 24. 2 Pet. 2. 20. 2. When you speak of advancing Gods grace, you may understand it, either of Gods love and favour towards us, or of the effetts thereof, viz. some habit or quality, or operation, dwelling in us, or imprinted, or moving upon us. If you take Grace in the first fense; then indeed you may be said to advance Gods grace, when you tell men, they may often commit fornication, revile and stan-

hath by his own immutable decree willed to reprobate, for the glory of his Name, should

believe in Christ, and if they will not believe

Proclaime a generall Gaole delivery for the very Devils, as Origen did. But, I believe, God will Con you little thanks (as we say) for such commendations of his Grace. It you think by fuch opinions and doctrines to advance the Glory of his Grace; I must take the confidence to declare otherwise. For 'cis his Grace in the second sense, that he desires should be advanced (because that is the best way of advancing the other) and the best measures we can take of the Glory hereof, are his own will revealed in his word (by his Son and his Apostles) and they tell † Tit. 2.11, ust, to be zealous of good works, 12, 14. and to be holy in all manner of con-Epb. 1.6. versation and godlinesse, is the best x Pet. 3.9. praise (we can render) of the glory of his Grace. Hereby is my father glorified that ge bring forth much fruit. Joh. 15. 8. And thus the Remonstrants do more advance Gods Grace than the Calvinifts. As they make God more Gracious, so they make man more finfull, even such of them, (if there be any luch) as deny Originall sin;

der their Brethren, be disobedient to those Guides (both Civill and Ecclesiasticall) whom

God hath let over them, rend the Church

by Schisms, and yet continue in Grace and be

eminent for Godlinesse; Nay in this sense you

may be faid to advance Go & Grace, when you

full onely by an extrinsick imputation (as the Posterity of Adam are said by + See in the Calvin t to be); For this way, Epift. of the Babes of a span long, and the Son Exam.of Tiof God may be sinfull: but that lenus. way, none but Devils, and men arrived to a capacity to confider and make 2. He makes man more sinfull that places the original fountain of his uncleannesse in his own heart, than he that derives that uncleannesse unavoidably to him, through forreign channels, from a spring head, that was opened at a great distance from him, not onely before he had power to oppole, or protest against it, but before he had a being. 3. He makes man more finfull, who makes his sin personally voluntary, and of his own free choise, than he who makes it necessary and unavoidable (ab extrinscco). The Reaion is, that in all these Cases, the one doth aggravate, the other doth extenuate the sin. But to proceed, He that provides a faire and sufficient excuse for Mans sin, doth make man lesse sinfull, than he that provides none, but chargeth all his sin, with all the aggravations of it, upon his own will; The Calvinists do the first, the Remonstrants the last. That Godd oth for the sin of the first Parent, pu-

for sure (1.) He is more sinfull, who is sin-

full by inherent pollution, than he that is sin-

to believe and obey, and after that mult of impotencie inflicted, that he doth require of him the AE of faith and obedience, which cannot be performed without a new power, He that delivers this Doctrine, makes man excusable; The Reason is, there can be no better excuse for the omission of a duty, than an utter inability to perform it: and if he to whom the duty is supposed to be due: hath inflicted that inability by way of punishment, before the obligation of the duty is of force, in all reason such an obligation is to be void, and of none effect. For example; A Prince commits a son to prison for his Fathers treafon, (which he will needs intail upon him,) gives order the man be put in irons, and secured under custody; (and this is the Reprobates case, being tyed and bound in the chaineof Adam's sin, and kept in thraldome under the power of the world and Satan); afterwards he causeth proclamation to be made to summon that prisoner to attend him at his: Court, (though the former Decree for his: restraint continues in full force irreversibly) and in case he doth not make his appearance: (which that Prince his own order and warrant unrepealed, hath made impossible for him) this poore prisoner is sentenced to have t his present and unavoidable milery aug-

nish man with an impotencie, or utter inability

mented by the accession of new and greater torments. Now in this case, whether this prisoners non-appearance at Court; (for disobedience I cannot call it) ought to be accounted a crime, or rather held altogether exensable, and a misery the more to be pitied in that it is unavoidable, I leave to every unbiassed judgement to determine. But this is according to the Dactrine of the Calvinifts. as is evident from what hath been said. above. 2. He that saith no man, whether Elect, or Reprobate, can abstein from sin, unlesse hebe kept back from sinning, by a speciall internall, and in the intention of God, effectuall grace administred every moment, He, when man doth sin, renders him excusable. The Reason is, because his excuse resteth upon the defect of that Divine Grace, which defect depends upon the sole will of God, and which (what ever it was in Adam) is not now in the power of man to hinder. But that the Calvinists say this, needs no other evidence than what hath been alleaged already. Therefore they make man lesse finfull. I consesse, in another sense, you may be laid, to make man more finfull (as he that puts away his wife, is said, (in the Phrase of Scripture) to make her commit Adultery, Mat. 5, 32.) For 1, the Non-elect you make (in

on of those wretches mentioned, Jer. 2. 25 Gr. 18.12. There is no hope but we will walk after our own devises, and we will every one doe the imagination of his evill heart. Why should I attend upon Gods Ordinances, reade, hear, pray, endeavour to mortifie lusts and apperites, and keep a good conscience in all things, seeing these will (if not render me liable to a foarer judgement, and greater condemnation) but make me inexcusable; and not conduce at all, to my salvation; I being lest in an utter incapacitie for that injoyment and happinesse, by Gods eternall and immutable preterizion? 2. As this Doctine makes some men (All the Non-elest) more desperately sinfull, so it tends to make others Presumpruously sinfull; for, as no sin of theirs could hinder their election, that Decree for their sa'vation being irrespectively made in their favour, from all eternity: so no sins, how many or how enormous soever (as was shew.d above) can hinder their finall perseverance; (that being an infullible and necoffary effect of the said Decree of Election) and so all the sins of perfons under that Decree, are reckoned but infirmities, or costigations proceeding from Gods paternall love (as M. Perkins laith) that shall never be able to excusse the spirit of

this lense) desperately sinfull: giving them too just an occasion to take up that resoluti-

confirm it, and likewise to advance their. Glory. And yet, (notwithstanding your Doctrine makes them thus p esumptuously sinful; so ful is it of contradictions, that) it makes them lesse sinfull too; for be whose sins cannot exclude him from the kingdome of heaven, certainly is lesse sinfull than he, whose sins do exclude him from it, (else God, should not judge men according to their. works) But the fins of the Elect, whether Adultery, Murder, Perjury, Incest, or the like; cannot exclude them from the Kingdome of heaven; and yet the fins of the Non-elett, their Adultery, Murder, Perjury, Incest, and the like do exclude them. If you fay this is not from the nature of the sin, but from Gods speciall indulgence and favour. I reply, God hath made but One Rule for all forts of men, and it is peremptory. The works Gal. 5.19, of the flesh are manifest, which are 20, 81. these, Adultery, fornication, uncleannesse, lasciviousnesse, idolatry, Witchcraft, hatred, variance, emulation, wrath, strife, seditions, heresies, envyings, murders, drunkenrese, revellings and such like: of the which I iellyou,---ihat they which do such things shall not inherit the kingdome of God. Now I demand; Are the sins, which the Elett do at

Grace, but serve rather to promote and.

tion, herefie, such as these here mentioned by the Apostle? If they be not such then the Elect even when they do the same Fact for nature, quality and substance, with the Non-elect, are notwithstanding lesse sinful than they are; which is the thing to be proved. If they be the same for heinousnesse, then by this Generall Rule, they must exclude them our of heaven. For he that deth these things whatever he be, shall not enter there; This is further confirmed by that Rule in Logick; That an universall Nega-See Doctor. tive may be simply conversed. If Jackson, 1 &. B. of the no Tree can be a Man, then no Man can be a Tree. If no Adul-Comment. p; terer, no Incestuous, no perjur'd, 3162. no seditious, no disobedient, hereticall, unrighteous person, nor doer of any of those works of the flesh mentioned by the Apostle, can enter into the kingdome of hear ven: Then no man whose entrance into that kingdome is Immutably and irrespectively determined can be an Adulterer, incestuous, perjur'd, seditious, disobedient, hereticall,unrighteous person. If you say, he may be such and yet Repent, and then be capable of entring into that kingdome, which he was not before. I answer, That his entrance being

any time commit, such sins as these, yea or

no? Is their Adultery, drunkennesse, sedi-

his want of Repentance can no more hinder his entrance, than it can resound the Decrees of God; and therefore though you do but incline to think so of a person once sanctified, that though he doth fall into such wasting sins, if he be cut off by death be-Disput. of Fullific page 398. fore repentance, be shall be fully pardoned at the instant of death, and so be saved; yet you say, of Account of Perforer. all the Bleck, you are sure of it: Hence it appears that you hold pag. fuch persons to be leffe finfull then those of the Non-elect. Yea, their very fins of the same nature, for substance and quality, with those of the Non-elect, to be lesse sinfull.) And this you averre expresly more than once in your Preface, Sea. 18, 6 for you fay, The six of David, Peter, &c, mas exceeding different from the like Fact in a Gracelesse man, in regard of End, Manner, Concomitants, Oc. But here I must expostulate. What other end would an unsinctified man propound in denying of Christ, but his own safety to escape persecutions and did not Peter propound Ebat end to himself ? And after what other manner and with what Concomicants could it be attended in an unsanctified man? would he have stood to it with more confidence, or

immutably and irrespectively determined,

have used bigger eather and execuations? For Davids sin, what the manner and concomitants of that were, we have considered before; and I would fain be satisfied what end he propounded to himself in that matter, more than another Adulterer simes at, even the satisfaction of his lust? He did not intertain fuch a thought furely, that it should conduce to Gods glery. You disclaim that opinion your self, in your sheet annexed to your debate with M. Barlow t where + of Saving you say; Either David in Adul-Faith, pos. tery did desire flesh pleasing for it self, or for some ather end. If for it self, then it was his ultimate end in that Act : If for somewhat else as his end, For what? No one will say it was for Gods Glosy. And there is nothing else to be it. This was then your opinion. Thus you see your Doctrine, as it makes God lesse Gracious, so it makes man lesse sinfull; whether you understand the Elect or Non-elect. And yet it makes man more impotent too. (a strange Paradox!) But a true saying; for (according to some of your Calvinifes (as Piscator and Maccovius) it concludes, No man can do lesse evill, nor more good than he doth; His will being infallible and irresistably predetermined to every indiwiduall Act, as was declared above; so that

ther towards hell or heaven, but as he is so predetermined, than adde a cubit to his stature? And you make the Elect so impotent (as I may fay) in respect of sin, they cannot effectually and eventualty hinder, either their Conversion or finall Perseverance; on the other side, you make the Non-elect fo impotent (and under the influences of Common Grace too, as you The state of the s call and define it) that they cannot so much as exert one & Act of a Preface. Sed. 20-Saving love; nor intertain a good b Difp. of purpose or intention b. Such is Justif. pag." your Sufficient Grace. Of which 304. enough before.

he can no more advance one single step fur-

Sacred

SACRED AMULETS, OR.

Spirituall Charmes

Against the poisonous suggestions of the Three Grand Tempters of Mankind, to prevent Apostasie.

I. The DEVIL.

Luk. 22. 31. Behold, Satan bath defired to have you, that be may sift you as wheat. Revel. 12. 4. And the Dragon stood before the woman, which was ready to be delivered, for to devoure her childs a soon as it was born.

The AMULET.

Heb. 3. 12. Take heed, brethren, lest there be

in any of you, an evill heart of unbelief, in departing from the living God. 1 Pct. 5. 8, 9. Be sober, be vigilant: because your Adversary the Devill, as a roaring Lion, walketh about, seeking whom he way devoure,

Jam. 4. 7. Whom resist, stedfast in the faith;
and he will slee from you.

II. The WORLD.

Mat. 4.8, 9. Again, the Devil taketh him up into an exceeding high mountain, and sheweth him all the kingdomes of the world, and the glory of them: And saith unto him, All these things will I give thee, if thou wilt fall down and worship me.

2 Car. 6.8. By bonour and dishonour: by evil

times received I fourty stripes save one:
Thrice was I beaten with rods: once was

report and good report.

I stoned: thrica I suffered shipmrack: a night and a day I have been in the deep: In journeying species, in perils of maters, in perils of robbers, in perils by mine own countrey-men, in perils by the heathen, in perils in the city, in perils in the wilder-nesse, in perils in the Sea, in perils among stalle Brethren.

Joh. 16.1,2. These things have I spoken unto you, that yee should not be offended. They

shall put you out of the Synagogues: yea, the time cometh, that who soever killeth you, will think, that he doth God service.

The AMULET.

I Juli. 2. 15, 17. Love not the world, neither the things that are in the world. --- For the world passeth away and the lust thereof: but he that doth the will of God abideth for ever.

Fhil. 4, 11, 12. I have learned in whatsoever

Fhil. 4, 11, 12. I base learned in Whatsoever state I am, therewith to be centent. I know both how to be abased, and I know how to abound: every where, and in all things I am instructed, both to be full, and to be hungry, both to abound, and to suffer need. I can do all things through Christ, which strengtheneth me.

Luk. 12.4, 5. Be not a fraid of them that hill the bady and after thee base moments that

the body, and after that, have no more, that they can do. But I will forewarn you, whom ye shall fear: fear him, which after he hath killed, hath power to cast into hell: yea I say unto you, fear him.

Rev. 2. 10. Fear none of those things, which

thoushalt suffer, behold, the Devill shall cast some of you into prison, that ye may be tried, and ye shall have tribulation ten

dayes: be thou faithfull unto death, and I will give thee a crown of life.

Mat. 26 41. Watch and pray that ye enter not into temptation.

III. The F L E S H.

Jer. 17.9. The heart is deceitful above all things, and desperately wicked.

Jam. 1.14, 15. Every man is tempted, when he is drawn away of his own lust, and entifed. Then when lust hath conceived, it bringeth forth size: and sin, when it is fini-

The AMULET.

shed, bringeth forth death.

Prov. 4. 23. Keep thy beart with all diligence; for out of it are the issues of life. Luk. 21. 34, 36. And take heed lest at any

time, your hearts be overcharged with surfetting, and drunkennesse, and the cares of this life, and so that day come upon you unawares. Watch ye therefore and pray

alwayes, that ye may be accounted worthy to escape, --- and to stand before the sen of man.

1 Pet. 2.11. Abstain from fleshly lusts, which war against the soul. Job. 30. 1. I made a Covenant with mine eyes; why then should I think upon a maid? Plal. 119637. Turn away mine eyes from beholding vanity: and quicken thou me in thy way. Col. 3.5. Mortifie your members which are upon the earth: Gc. a Cor. 9.27. I keep under my body, and bring it into subjection: lest that by any means, when I have preached unto others, I my self sould be a castaway. 7 71

in The

Ex Synodo Arelatensi, contra Lucidum Presbyt.

1. Anathema illi, qui per Dei Prascientiam (vel Decretum) hominem in mortem deprimi dixerit.

II. Anathema illi, qui dixerit, illum qui periit non accepiffe ut salona esse posser: FII. Anathema illi, qui dixerit, quod vas contumelia non possit assurgere, ut siat vas in honorem.

IV. Anathema illi, qui dixerit, quod Christus non sit mortuus pro omnibus, nec omnes salvos esse velit.

Prosper ad object. Gallorum III.

A sanctitate ad immunditiem, à justitia ad iniquitatem, a side ad impietatem ple. Fosque transire non dubium est: & ad tales prædestinationem siliorum Dei, Coheredum Christi non pertinere certissimum est.

Hieron. advers. Jovin. l. 11.

Tamdiu sciatis vos in generatione De-

mini permanere, quandiu non peccaveritis. Et mox: Si peccaverimus, & per peccati januam ingressus fuerit Diabolus, protinus Christus recedit.

August. de Bono Persever. cap. 8.

Deus autem melius judicavit miscere quosdam non perseveraturos, certo numero Sanctorum suorum, ut quibus non expedit in bujus vitæ tentatione securitas, non possint esse securi.

Prosper ad objest. Vincent. 12. Prædestinatio Dei apud nos dum in præ

Prædestinatio Dei apud nos dum in præ, sentis Vitæ periculis versamur, incerta est.

FINIS.

REFLEXIONS upon

A practicall Discourse Lately Printed at Oxon.

Sir,

For those Judgements (in my opimion) shall never passe the Muster,
so be ingaged in these Controverses, that are
not very bigh-proof against all the impressions that can possibly be made by such weak
discourses. I can as little approve the mans Do-

Etrine, as justifie his Practice. Was not He

sometimes Scholar to Master B. and after-

He Practicall discourse, you sent me,

hath given me a needlesse diversion.

ward his User at Westminster School? Had he not once a design to supplant his Master; and was owted himself? Are not these Sermons calculated, think you, to serve such unworthy ends? Doth he not herein endeavour to set sorth God for a President, of such Decrees, as he would have others execute; vizo The Absolute Reprobation of a very deserving

Master, and the Absolute Election of a most

advancement for his works; they having little or nothing of Merit in them, whether of .Condignity, or Congruity; Yet his Fides Prarisa, (held forth in these Sermons.) He thought haply, might be a fair Quelification and motive to such an Election. But I am apt to conclude, men (in these dayes of light and Reformation) will not affume or exercise a Soversignty more Absolute than what they ascribe to God himself. And though they assirm, he passeth his Eternall Decrees upon men, who lie in Paristan vel conditione : yet I have mer with none to bold, as to affirm, that he doth Reject the morthy, though he Elects the immershy. However Divine Providence, I doubt not, will in time awaken the infatuated world to take notice of what Complexion those menare, who pretend to be the greatest Patrons and Advocates of that Herrible Decree. Sau ETONIUS giving account how Tiberius Was improved into the most iniplerable. Extrant, attributes the Originall of it to his beliefe. That All things are wrought by a Faralys. How can those men P. Dife. &c. flice, (when they invade the Pes.3. Rights of others) who persuade shemselves their wills are tied up so close to

unthankfull Scholar? I call it an Alsolute

Election, presuming he doth not expect such

the will of God, that like lesser wheeles they move onely as that Great Mover doth guide them? In the choice of his first Dostrine; I cannot but observe how Prudent the man is, to prevent the danger of a Confutation; making All mankinde (upon the matter) Incompetent for such an undertaking. Por you can hardly weigh the Reasonablenesse of his Discourse, unlesse you put the Justice of Gods Proceedings into the Bailance with it; And if you dother, he prefently cryes out upon your 10capacitie to be a fudge in such matters. The waies and counsels of God, how prosound and inscrutable soever, we are sure are not so established or carried on as to defeat the Ministeries of his Grace, of their proper Usefulinesse, or to dishonour his Essentiall Attributes. I would ask but this one Question, Whether the Apostle did not Declare All the Counsell of God (touching Ad. 20.27. niars Salvation and Damnation respectively) unto the Church? Who dares deny, what the Apostle afferteth of his own Ministery? If here were all and that declared too; then is there no defect either in respect to the extent, or to the perspicuity of

spect to the extent, or to the perspicuity of this Object. If this Counsell be revealed, is it not a Part of our Rom. 12.1. daty, and our Reasonable service,

of his persuafion, should be able to determine, in these wayes and Counsels of God, (as they do most peremptorily) and that none of mankinde besides should be in a capacitie to examine them? Sure Godunderstands mans capacity better than your Pra-Elicall Discourser; yet how often doth he fummon the ions of men, to debate the Equiry and Justice of his Proceedings, before the Tribunall of their own Reason? The Lord doth not onely stand up to plead himfelf: a but he calls his people to a Isa. 3.13. the Barre too, that They may b. Ifa. 43.26 plead together. Yea, and when Mica.6.2.3. they have done pleading, He is pleased to referre the Justice of his Proceedings to the Sinners own sentence; . And now, O Inhabitants of Jerusalem, and men of Indah, judge, I pray · 154.5.3,4. you, between me and my vineyard. What could have been done more to my vineyard, that I have not done in it? And by another of his Prophets he appeals to them in these words; d Hear now, O d Egik 18. house of Israel; Is not my way e-25. quall dare not your wayes unequalle Chap. 38.17 God will not onely be justified a when he speaketh, but he clear when · Pfal. 51,4.

to fearch into it, as well as the

AB. 17. E.I. Bereans? Tis strange that All,

bring in a verdist to cleare Him, if he hath not a Competent capacitie, in some measure, to judge of the Equitie of his Proceedings? I need adde no more, for the force of those sacred Engines is abundantly sufficient to overthrow his Hypothesis, though it had farre stronger props, than such Arguments as he produceth to support it. But these being so feeble, I shall not give you or my self the trouble to handle them. Onely I shall vindicate the Sacred Text from his misconstruction, and take my leave of this part of his Discourse. Naybut Oman, Quistu! who art Thou !He interprets this of Man, In whatever capacity considered: When tis as clear as the Sunne by the foregoing verses, that he speaks it of Man made obnoxious to the Sword of Divine justice, by having filled up the Measure of his sinne, in despising Gods Gracions Methods, and Dispensations for his Conversion. For of whom speaketh the Apostle this? Is it not spoken of the stubborn Jews, who would not have Christ to reign over them; who would not be gathered by his Gospell : but abused Gods l'auence, Christs intercession, and the Miracles of the Holv Ghoft, as Pharach had done these, vouchsafed by the Lord, and his servant Moses? What then if God deals by these fews, now (stubborn and Re-

be is judged too. But how can the Creasure

bellious, as they are) as he dealt then by Pharach? whom (though he highly deserved it, and had been swept away by that Plague, according to Gods ordinary course of Justice; yet) the made him to stand, or kept him alive still to serve other + Exed. 9. 16. ends of his Divine Providence? 'Tis none but such clay as this, See Jer. 18.through. that vessels of wrath are made aof. And it is such a man whose out. insolency, the Apostle checks with his [Homo, Quisiu!] Nay but, Qman, who art though If the Malefaltor comes to dispute the just sentence of his upright Judge, 'ris time to take him up, as the Lord doth (Jer. 2.29.) Wherefore will ye plead with me? ge all have transgressed against me, saith the Lord. Such persons therefore, when Godenters into Judgement with them, must lay their hands † Jeb 40.45 upon their manthes to But this doth not debarre men the Priviledge to examine the Equity and Justice of those Decrees and Laws by which they are Governed, and upon which their Eternall Meal or Moe dependeth. In this case Abraham thinks it no und ntifulnesse to be inquisitive into Gods Counsels and Pro-Gen. 18.23, ceedings, and to expostulate about 25. them; Wils thou destroy the righteous with the wicked? That he far from thee, to do after this manner, to flay the righteous with the wicked, and that the righteous should be as the micked, that be far from thee: shall not the judge of all the earth do right? But whether your Discourser be of Abrabam's Judgement, I leave you to collect from his own words; He layes down this sense, That God Pag. 2. acts all things according to the Pag. 3. Dictates of his Absolute, Soveraign and und accountable will; And hereby the greatest part of mankind are left in an bopeleffe and irrecoverable condition. Then he brings in and present an objection, (out of veri. 19.) Those wilt then say un- Ross. 9. to me, if our wills are syed up so close to the will of God, that like lesser wheels they move onely as that great Mover doth guide them; then why is God to Angry with fin and finners? why doth be forbid, dehort, and threaten by his Prophets? To what end serve all those examples of vengeance, which we sremble to read of? for if it he so with us, we may be miserable, but we cannot be finfull; if our spirits be put into an unsmitable frame, so as that we walk contrary to God, it is our sad necessity and not our fault; since none can alter, much less resist the will of God, which alone bath made us so. This is the objection. How

from the blasphemy of this imputation? No. In flead of a Solution to that purpose, here follows a clear Concession, as if the Objection were a perfect Truth; For thus he proceeds, [And now the Objection being pressed to such a degree of impiety, that it doth tacitely lay the guilt of all mens Transgressions upon God, the Apostle thinks, it bightime to cut off all further .arguing; which be doth in these words--- Nay but what art thou, O Man, who replyest against God? As if he had said --- Dost thou know who thou art, thou bold inquisitive Creature, or who it is thou dealest with? Consider that them art but a Man, and wilt thou question thy Makers Justice? Forbear vain presumptions man, stand off, and lay thy hand upon thy mouth, for God is in the Bush, God is at the bottome of this dispute, and therefore admire with reverence, what thou canst not comprehend with reason. What the Objector (in the Apostle) did but tacitely, he doth most expressly, viz. lay All mens Transgressions and Misery upon the Absolute and unaccountable will of God; and no man may dispute against it; For this is his Doctrine Man, in whatever Capacity considered, is not a Competent Indge, of the Equity and Justice of the Proceedings, wayes and counsels of God, in the dispa-

doth your Discourser answer it? Doth he

vindicate the Goodnesse and Instice of God

fing and ordering of his Creatures. And what remains then in this case, but that Option of the Pfalmist, Arise, O Lord, plead thine own canse? For his Discourse on, Act. 13.48. I need fay no more, then to evince, how palpably he mistakes the sense of the Text. To this purpose, I shall not tyre you out, to examine a cloud of witnesses, that might be produced in favour of the sense which he rejects: but latisfie my self, in discovering some of those grosse Absurdities, which follow upon his interpretation. If by Ordaned to esernali life we understand, [Abfolmely Elected;]

latisfie my felf, in discovering some of those grosse Absurdities, which follow upon his interpretation. If by [Ordaned to eternalialise] we understand, [Absolutely Elected;] then it will follow; (1.) That All the Prædestinated unto life, that were in this place, believed at once; And (2.) that those which did believe, could not but believe; (3.) That All they who did now embrace the Faith, (upon this preaching of the Apostle) were exhibitately Elected; and that not one of them could forsake the Faith, which he had embraced; (4.) That this was revealed, not onely to S. Paulo but to S. Luke also con-

could for sake the Faith, which he had embraced; (4.) That this was revealed, not onely to S. Paul, but to S. Luke also, concerning the Absolute election of every Individual of these new Converts; How inevitable are these inferences, and yet how Absurd?

at this Sermon? (1.) It followes, that they were All absolutely Reprobated; and yet (2.) that God would have S. Paul command them All to believe in Christ; and (3.) that S. Paul, when he knew them to be Reprobates, and so in no capacitie to believe, and be saved, yet He calls them unto Faith and Salvation, and (4.) threatens them with evernall destru-Etion for not believing; and (5.) afterwards upbraids them, that they judged themselves unworthy of eternall life; and (6.) at last, when they would not believe, that he did, for that cause, turne to the Gentiles; what a heap of foule Absurdities are here! And (which is none of the least,) that S. Luke should give notice, by this writing, That such as now believed were all absolutely ele-Eted, the rest absolutely Reprobate); To what purpose should this be? or what influence could it have upon them? It could serve no end of Divine providence; but might very well serve the interest of Satan, as a means to tempt those Believers to security, and the unbelievers to desperation, and a contempt of those Ordinances, which, (if this exposition of the Text were true) they were assured by S. Lakes Testimony, could never bring them benefit,

how ridiculous? On the other side, what shall we conclude of the rest who did not believe

Having thus bereaved him of His Senses; his Reason must needs want that solidity that should make it considerable, in the accounts of

Dear Sir

Your Faithfull Friend.

For Master B.

Errata, In the Preface.

Pag. 3. 1.25.r. As M.B. himfelf [p.20. 1.10 r.de fato. 1.25.blot out (had) p.24 1.3 r.positivity, p.42.1.8,& 9.r. Master.

In the Apologie.
Pag. 22 1.21.r.in, 18.Art. 1.26.r. third and fourth, 1.30.r. into fif-

teen Art. p. 31. 1.4. r.not effect. p. 37. 1.12. r. Not. 6. p. 39. 1.23.r. and omit. p.45.1.19.r custs and dam. p 62.1.g.r.adde to, p.64.1.14.r. Hols. p.90.1.2.r. Supralapfarian neither Existentialist, nor Creabilitarian, as drosse, line 28, read persons, pag. 94, lin. 24, read Supralapsartan Creabilitarian, pag. 99. lin. 5. read Existentialists and (reabilitarians as well as Sublapfarians, do all. p.118.1.14.propalandis.1.24. judicaret.p.131.1.3 r.if I adde, in Tilenus his behalf, that.l.24.r.ex post factum. p.188.l.29.r.they tell us pag. 189. in mar. 1.2.r.par.2.pag.79. p.200.1.24.r caft. p.224.in marg. 1 5. r.Reject.4.88 5.p.231.1.25.r.effcctuall.p.237.118.r.Amefius.p.242.1.27.r.Martinius p.253.l.27.r. was one of the Synod.p.259.l.21.r.elicited, p.272.l.9.r. imbuing it. p.283.dele marg, note.p.289. dele (generofity) in marg. p.335.l.a2.r.as wel as.p.345.l.19.r.if it be.p.361.l.19.r.impotency, p. 366 in marg for 38, and 4.r.3 and 4. p. 386. l. 10.r Sancti. p. 388. l. 28.r. defend d.p.400 marg.r.Digrel.p.434.1.21.r.indefectibilis. p 438.1.4. ramittunt. p. 439.1-last. r. preservatives p442, l. last. r. consistunt, p. 454. L15.r. quin. p.459. l.9.r superesse. p.470. l.15.r. And. p.480. l 11.r. willfully p. 488.1.17. but 'tis in. 1. last, r, and the winds blew. p. 493. 1.28.r.his Election. p. 516, l. 13.r. papers.

The End,